Uxbridge, Massachusetts Evaluation Report

Project Number: 7533 June 2021

BRIDGE / CULVERT MANAGEMENT PLAN



701 George Washington Hwy Lincoln, Rhode Island 02865 401.333.2382 www.BETA-Inc.com

Evaluation Report Uxbridge, Massachusetts Project Number: 7533

BRIDGE / CULVERT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Prepared by:BETA GROUP, INC.Prepared for:Town of Uxbridge

June 2021

Hartford Avenue East over the Mumford River (Bridge No. U-02-020)

Priority 10

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The current NBI Structure Inventory and Appraisal shows an AASHTO Sufficiency Rating of 50.4.

A rating report dated July 27, 1993 was noted by MassDOT. The report notes that a posting is required for a rating of 20, 25, and 36 tons for a Type H, Type 3, and Type 3S2 trucks, respectively. The report indicates the reason for the bridge not meeting statutory requirements is the placement of an additional 2" of bituminous concrete on the wearing surface. It is also indicated that the removal of this additional layer would approximately bring the structure back to its statutory capacity.

The most recent MassDOT routine bridge inspection report on record is dated December 9, 2018.

MassDOT also made available a routine underwater inspection report dated February 9, 2021. The purpose of this report is to quantify and monitor scour at the east abutment.

Hartford Avenue is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of six W33x130 non-composite beams with an 8" reinforced concrete slab on concrete abutments which are numbered from south to north. Bridge construction can be dated circa 1955. The bridge has an out-to-out width of 38'-6" with a clear span of approximately 43'-0". The hydraulic opening of structure is approximately 14'-3" high, measured at mid-span, by 43'-0" wide. The depth of flow at the time of inspection varied greatly between abutments. A large deposit of sediment at the west abutment has narrowed the channel and directed flow to the east abutment face. The river was flowing southward.

The roadway width over the structure is 25'-9" with 5'-4" sidewalks on either side. The roadway consists of an asphaltic wearing surface with driveways at both approaches. There is an intersection with Whitin Street approximately 200' east of the bridge.

There are overhead wires along the south side of the bridge and a water line attached on the south fascia.

The bridge railing consists of a metal bridge rail. The approach guardrail consists of standard highway guardrail that terminates at the bridge. There is currently no transition from the concrete endpost to either the approach guardrail or metal bridge railing.

There are "6 Ton Limit Ahead", "Road Narrows", and "Speed Limit 30" at both approaches. All signs are for the Hartford Avenue East over Canal crossing located approximately 100' east.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is fair with several deficiencies noted.

The underside of the concrete deck slab was found to be in fair condition. There are longitudinal and transverse cracks typical throughout (Photo 3 and 5). The steel beams were in fair-to-poor condition with areas of deterioration noted. Heavy paint failure is typical on all steel beam flanges. Moderate to heavy corrosion of beams, diaphragms, and plates are typical throughout (Photos 3 and 5). Beam 4 was noted to exhibit heavier corrosion. The bearings are in fair condition but do exhibit some deterioration. Bearing plates and anchor bolts at both abutments typically show moderate paint failure and surface rusting. However, bearing plates at beams #1 and #2 at the west abutment show more advanced signs of deterioration.

The abutment concrete is in good condition with only minor problems noted. An area of water staining was present at bay 1 on the west abutment, which continues over the beam seat onto the abutment face (Photo 12). Full height water stains are also present under bay #5. Moderate amounts of debris were typically found on the beam seats. The east abutment has minor honeycombing throughout as well as minor scaling at the waterline. The channel has shifted and flows swiftly in front of the east abutment, leaving most of the abutment susceptible to scour.

The northeast and northwest training walls show loss of pointing and chinking stones at the waterline. Approximately 60' upstream, the northeast wall has a 6'x3'x2' deep void at the base that is causing the wall above it to crumble (Photo 6). The southeast and southwest walls exhibit heavy loss of mortar and chinking stones along with heavy vegetation growth. The southeast wingwall corner has a spall and vegetation growth at the joint (Photo 13). The southeast training wall is also crumbling at the end (Photo 14).

The roadway appears to be newly paved and is in good condition. The guardrail is in poor condition with heavy surface rusting and paint failure. Additionally, the railing post plates and bolts show heavy corrosion up to 100% (Photo 19). Also, the approach guard rail ends at a terminal section rather than a standard transition.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of the substructure is fair, while the superstructure condition is fairto-poor with more advanced deterioration. Due to the age, current posted load rating, and heavy corrosion, it is not cost effective to repair the superstructure. BETA recommends a long-term replacement of the superstructure and substructure repairs to prolong the service life of the structure:

- Remove sediment in front of the west abutment and fill scour hole at east abutment. This should be considered priority because of the high risk to undermining of the east abutment.
- Repair void at base of northeast training wall to prevent crumbling of wall above.

With the eventual superstructure replacement, it is recommended that the guardrail be fully replaced with a MassDOT approved rail.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Substructure Repairs

Construction:	\$100,000
Engineering:	<u>\$25,000</u>
Total:	\$125,000

Superstructure Replacement

Construction:	\$1,005,000
Engineering:	<u>\$255,000</u>
Total:	\$1,260,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Inspection Report Dated December 20, 2018

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021

MassDOT Routine Underwater Inspection Report Dated February 9, 2021



SCALE: 1" = 500'

U-02-020



Photo 1 Looking North: South Bridge Elevation



Photo 2 Looking West: West Abutment



Photo 3 Looking West: Underside of Beams



Photo 4 Looking East: East Abutment



Photo 5 Looking East: Underside of Beams



Photo 6 Looking Northwest: Northwest Training Wall



Photo 7 Looking East: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 8 Looking Northeast: Northeast Training Wall



Photo 9 Looking Southwest: Southwest Training Wall



Photo 10 Looking East: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 11 Looking Northwest: Beam End 1 Corrosion



Photo 12 Looking West: West Abutment Water Staining in Bay 1



Photo 13 Looking Southeast: Southeast Wingwall Spall



Photo 14 Looking South: South Training Walls



Photo 15 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 16 Looking West: East Approach



Photo 17 Looking North: North Rail



Photo 18 Looking South Rail



Photo 19 Looking South: Deterioration of Rail Base



Photo 20 Looking West: Water Line Support

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 11

STRUCTURES INSPECTION FIELD REPORT ROUTINE INSPECTION

BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-020

03 1DM			R	OUTINE I	NSPE	CTI	ON				ι	J-02	-02	0
CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE			8ST	RUCTURE NO. U02020-1D	M-MUN	-NBI	11	-Kilo. P 000 .	OINT .612	41-STATUS P:POSTED	90-R0	DUTIN	ie ins 20,	P. DATE 2018
07-FACILITY CARRIED HWY HARTFORD AVE				MEMORIAL NAME/LOCAL NAME 27-Y			27-YR 19	built 955	106-YR REBUILT 0000	YR R	ehab C	D (N 000)))	
06-FEATURES INTERSECTE	D			26-FUNCTIONAL C	CLASS		DIST. BR	IDGE II	NSPECTI	ON ENGINEER	M. Az	izi		
WATER MUMFOR	RD RIV	ER		Urban Minor	Arterial									
43-STRUCTURE TYPE				22-OWNER Town	21-MAINTA Town	INER	TEAM LE	ADER	Z. Gikas					
302 : Steel String	jer/Giro	aer		Agency	Agency									
107-DECK TYPE 1 : Concrete Cas	t-in-Pla	ace		Clear	1EMP. (air) 6°C		R. RO	GE	RS					
ITEM 58	E		ITE	M 59	Г	F			ITEM	60				
DECK	3	DEE	SUP	ERSTRUCTU	RE	ວ	DEE	S	UBST	RUCTURE		b		DFF
1.Wearing Surface	7	-	1.Stri	ingers		Ν	-	1	. Abut	ments	Dive	Cur	6	
2. Deck Condition	5	S-P	2.Flo	orbeams		Ν	-		a. Pedesi	tals	Ν	Ν		-
3.Stay in Place Forms	N	-	3.Flo	or System Bracii	ng	Ν	-		b. Bridge	Seats	N	7		- M-P
4 Curbs	7	-	4.Gir	ders or Beams		5	S-P		с. васкw d. Breast	walls	7	7		-
5 Median	N	-	5.Tru	sses - General		Ν	-	-	e. Wingw	valls	7	7		
	7	M-P	a.	Upper Chords	Ν		-		f. Slope i a. Pointir	Paving/Rip-Rap 10	N	N N		
7 Derenete	/ N		b.	Lower Chords	Ν		-		h. Footin	gs	7	7		M-P
		- -	c. Web Members N			-		i. Piles		N	N		-	
8. Railing	4	5-A	d. Lateral Bracing N			-		<u>i.</u> Scour k. Settlen	nent	6 7	6 7		<u>M-P</u>	
9. Anti Missile Fence	N	-	e.	Sway Bracings	N		-		l.		N	Ν		
10.Drainage System	N	-	f.	Portals	Ν		-	2	<i>m.</i> 2. Piers or Bents			N		-
11.Lighting Standards	N	-	g.	End Posts	Ν		-		2 Dedage		N	N	N	
12.Utilities	6	M-P	6.Pin	& Hangers		Ν	-		a. Pedesi b. Caps	tais	N	N		-
13.Deck Joints	N	-	7.Cor	nn Plt's, Gussets	& Angles	6	M-P		c. Colum	ns	N	N		
14.	N	-	8.Co	ver Plates		Ν	-		d. Stems, e. Pointir	/Webs/Pierwalls 1a	N	N N		
15.	N	-	9. Bea	aring Devices		6	M-P		f. Footin	g	N	N		-
16.	N	-	10. Di	aphragms/Cross	Frames	5	M-P		g. Piles		N	N		
		s	11. Ri	vets & Bolts		7	-		<u>h. Scour</u> i. Settleri	nent	N	N		
CURB REVEAL	175	3 200	12. W	elds		7	-	_	i.		Ν	Ν		
(In millimeters)	175	200	13. M	ember Alignmen	t	7	-	3	k. 5. Pile F	Rents	N	N		-
APPROACHES	_	DEF	14. Pa	aint/Coating		4	S-P		a. Pile Ca	ins	N	N	IN	_
a. Appr. Pavement Condition	n 6	M-P	15.			Ν	-		b. Piles		N	N		-
b. Appr. Roadway Settlemer	nt 7	_	Year	Painted	1955			4	c. Diagor	nal Bracing	N	N		
c. Appr. Sidewalk Settlemer	nt 5	M-A			Plazes over	ain	-		u. norizo e. Fasten	niai bracing iers	N	N		<u> </u>
d.	N	-	None	$e(\mathbf{X})$ Minor()	Moderate () Se	vere ()						volci-	
OVERHIEAD SIGNS								JNDERM		_o pie	ase ()	hiain		
OVERHEAD SIGNS (Attached to bridge) (Y/N) N					vr () Moderate () Severe () COLLISION DAMAGE: None (X) Minor () Mode					oderat	e () Sev	/ere()	
a. Condition of Welds	N	DEF	None	e(X) Minor()	Moderate () Se	vere ()	s	SCOUR:	Please explain	oderat	e(X)) 50	vere (
b. Condition of Bolts	N	-	Any F	Fracture Critical I	Member: ((Y/N)	N			,		- (**	,	
c. Condition of Signs	N	-	Any C	Cracks: (Y/N)	N				I-60 (Dive	e Report): 6	<i>I-6</i>	0 (This	Repo	rt): 6
			-	. ,					93B-U/V	V (DIVE) Insp		01/:	26/2	018

RTN(1)7-96

2-DIST

B.I.N.

N=NOT APPLICABLE H=HIDDEN/INACCESSIBLE

PAGE 2 OF 11

OTTV	TOW	λ.T.			ID	TN	т	DD DEDT NO		CTDI	IOTI	DE	NO				
					В	ח.ו. וחו	Ч. Вл	BR. DEPT. NO.	۲ ۱			RE NM	NO. 7 ralini ni	וסו			
UXB	RID	3E				וטו		0-02-020		JU202	20-11	אוכ		ы		0, 20	010
ITF	CM 61				6		11	TEM 36 TRAF	FIC SAI	ETY	COND		DEE	ACCESS	BILITY	(Y/I	N/P)
CHA	NNE	L &					A. E	Bridge Railing		0	4		S-A			Needed	Used
CHA	NNE	L PROTECTIO	N				В. Т	Transitions		0	7	-	-	Lift Bucket		N V	N
			Dive	Cur	DEF		C. A	Approach Guardrai	il	0	7	-	M-P	Boot		T N	N I
1.Cha	annel	Scour	6	6	M-P	•	D. A	Approach Guardrai	il Ends	0	7	-	M-P	Waders		Y	Y
2.Em	bankn	nent Erosion	7	5	S-P		WE	IGHT POSTING	Ţ	Not A	nnlica			Inspector :	50	P	N
3.Det	oris		7	7	-	H 3 352 Single Rigging N N								N			
4 Ver	netatio	n	7	7	-		Actual Posting 20 25 36 N Staging N								Ν		
5 1 1+11	itios		, N	N			Rec	commended Post	ing 20	25	36	N		Traffic Cor	ntrol	N	Ν
6 Din	-Don/	Siona Protoction	N	N		_							00/0000	RR Flagge	r	N	N
7.400		ion	7	7	-		war		At bridge		ate: C			Police		N	N
7.Agę	gradat		/	/ 	-	_	Sigr	ns In Place		W	E		W	Other:			
8.Fen	nder S	ystem	N	N E	- -		(Y=) NR=	Yes,N=No, =NotRequired)	Y	Υ	Y		Υ			N	N
9. 01	annei	vvali	IN	5	3-F	-	Leg Visi	jibility/ ibility	8 8	3 8	8	8	8 8	TOTAL H	IOURS		8
							CLE	ARANCE POSTIN	NG	N .	<i>.</i>	S		PLANS	(Y/I	N): [Y
							Not			in n	tt		n meter			,	
<u>STRE</u>	AM FL	OW VELOCITY:					Post	sted Clearance		0			0	(V.C.R.)	(Y/N):	Ν	
Tidal () Higl	h () Moderate (X) L	.ow () Nor	ne()		Circu.		At bridge))	A	dva	ince	TAPE#:			
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): 6 ITEM 6	1 (This	Repo	rt): 🧲	5	(Y=)	Yes,N=No,		5				List of field f	ests performed		
93b-U/W INSP. DATE: 01/26/2018 NR=Not Required) Visibility																	
RATI	NG													ES please give	priority:		
Rating	Repo	rt (Y/N): Y					Reco	ommend for Ratin	g or Rerat	ing (Y/I	N):	Y	н	GH () MEDIUI	M() LOW (()	
Date:	(07/27/1993					REAS	SON: Over 10	vear old	atina.							
Ir	Inspection data at time of existing rating																
l 58: 6	159): 6 I 60: 8 Da	te : 1	2/01	/1992	2											
								CONDIT	ION RA	TING	GUI	DE	(For	Items 58, 59, 6	0 and 61)		
	CODE	CONDITION						D	EFECTS								
	Ν	NOT APPLICABLE															
G	9	EXCELLENT	E	xceller	nt condit	ion.											
G	8	VERY GOOD	N	lo prob	lem note	ed.											
G	7	GOOD	S	ome m	ninor pro	blem	1S.	ana minar dataviavatian									
F	6	SATISFACTORY	۵ ۵	Il prima	arv struc	tural		ents are sound but may h	n. have minor se	ction loss	cracking	a sr	alling or scour				
P	- 5 FAIR All primary structural elements are sound but may have minor section loss, cracking, spalling or scour. - 4 POOP Advanced section loss, data increase.																
Р	P 3 SERIOUS control of section roots, acceleration, spalling of section. P 3 SERIOUS																
-	Cracks in steel or shear cracks in concrete may be present. Advanced deterioration of primary structural elements. Fatioue cracks in steel or shear cracks in concrete may be present or scour may have																
С	C 2 CRITICAL removed substructure support. Unless closely monitored it may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is taken.																
С	C 1 "IMMINENT" FAILURE Major deterioration or section loss present in critical structural components or obvious vertical or horizontal movement affecting structure stability. Bridge is closed to traffic but corrective action may put it back in light service.																
0 FAILED Out of service - beyond corrective action.																	
DEFICIENCY REPORTING GUIDE																	
DEFIC		Y: A defect in a str	ucture	that re	equires o	correc	ctive ac	ction.									
	GORI Gori	ES OF DEFICIENC	which a	are mino	or in natur	e, gen	nerally do	o not impact the structural into	egrity of the brid	ge and could	d easily be	e repa	aired. Examples inc	clude but are not limite	ed to: Spalled concrete	Minor po	t
$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{Y}} \end{vmatrix}$	vere/N	faior Deficiency - D	corrosi eficienc	ion of st	eel, Minor ch are moi	scour	ring, Clog ensive in	ogged drainage, etc. n nature and need more planr	ning and effort to	repair. Exar	mples inclu	ude b	ut are not limited to	o: Moderate to major	deterioration in concre	e, Expose	ed
	,	ar	nd corro	ded reb	ars, Cons	iderab	ole settle	ement, Considerable scouring	g or undermining,	Moderate to	o extensive	e cor	rosion to structura	I steel with measurabl	le loss of section, etc.	turolist	arity
$\begin{bmatrix} C-S = \\ C \end{bmatrix}$	Critica	al Structural Deficie	ncy -	A def of the	bridge.	a stru	uciural el	element of a bridge that poses		are condition	afe conditi	ie tail	the public, but doe	nure or the element w	tural integrity of the br	dae Ever	miles
С-Н=	Critic	al Hazard Deficienc	y -	include l etc.	but are no	t limite	ed to: Lo	cose concrete hanging down	over traffic or pe	edestrians, A	A hole in a	sidev	walk that may caus	se injuries to pedestria	ans, Missing section of	bridge ra	iling,
URGE	ENCY	OF REPAIR:															
I = Imi	mediate	- [Inspector(s) immedia	ately co	ntact Dis	strict Brid	ge Ins	pection E	Engineer (DBIE) to report the	e Deficiency and	to receive f	urther inst	tructio	on from him/her].	n Panorti			
A = AS P = Pr	oar- ioritize-	Shall be prioritized by	y Distric	ated by ct Mainte	enance Er	nginee	er or the l	Responsible Party (if not a S	State owned brid	e owned bri ge) and repa	iuge) upon iirs made v	when	funds and/or man	ower is available].			
L																	

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the plans, the approaches are West and East and the elevations are South and North. This is a single span steel beam bridge with 6 beams and 5 bays numbered South to North. The river flows North to South.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing Surface

The wearing surface has an 18 inch diameter bit. conc. patch in the center of the Westbound lane at midspan.

Item 58.2 - Deck Condition

The underside of the concrete deck has several areas of minor to moderate scaling, hairline transverse and map cracking, with efflorescence and heavy moisture staining throughout, heaviest in bays #2- #4. **See photo 1**. There are three core holes behind the West end diaphragm in bay #1.

Item 58.4 - Curbs

See Item 58.6.

Item 58.6 - Sidewalks

Both sidewalks have moderate scaling and several minor full width transverse hairline cracks throughout. There are minor chipouts at both ends of both sidewalks in the curb area.

Item 58.8 - Railing

Both bridge rails have moderate paint peeling, surface rusting, and pitting throughout. There is severe corrosion with up to 100% section loss to the anchor bolt nuts on both rails. **See photo 2.**

Item 58.12 - Utilities

The utility in bay #5 is missing 2 feet of metal sheathing at the East end, and the insulation is sagging. **See photo 3.** The metal sheathing is slightly displaced in several areas.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. Pavement Condition

The East approach to deck transition has full width transverse cracking. **See photo 4.** The West approach has heavy cracking, breakup, bit. conc. patches and settlement throughout. This area of deterioration begins 12 feet out from the approach to deck transition. **See photo 5.**

Approaches c - Appr. Sidewalk Settlement

There is up to 2 inches of settlement to the Northwest and Northeast approach sidewalks. **See photo 6.** The Southwest approach sidewalk has a leveling bit. conc. patch since the previous inspection

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.4 - Girders or Beams

All of the beams have minor to moderate surface rusting to the flanges. See photo 7.

All beams are W33 x 130 Original flange thickness: 0.855 inch Original web thickness: 0.58 inch

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018

REMARKS

Item 59.4 - Girders or Beams (Cont'd)

There is heavy surface rusting and minor rust flaking to the West end of beam #1, North face. See photo 8.

The South face of beam #2 at the West end has an up to 5 foot long x full height area of heavy rust flaking and section loss. There is a 14 inch high area at the end of the web that has 0.40 inches remaining and tapers back to original thickness 5 feet out from the end. The South side of the bottom flange has 0.60 inches remaining at the face of the bearing and tapers back to original thickness 4 feet out from the end. **See photos 9 & 10**.

The South face of beam #6 has a 6 foot long area of heavy surface rust near midspan, below the utility access manhole in the sidewalk.

Item 59.7 - Conn Pit's, Gussets & Angles

All connection plates have several areas of minor to moderate spot rusting.

Item 59.9 - Bearing Devices

All bearing devices have minor to moderate surface rusting. A few of the anchor bolts for the East bearings are slightly bent.

Item 59.10 - Diaphragms/Cross Frames

All the diaphragms have moderate surface rusting in many areas throughout. There is heavy rust flaking and heavy section loss to the West end diaphragm in bay #2. There is 0.10 inches remaining to the bottom flange at the North end of the diaphragm. **See photo 9.**

Item 59.14 - Paint/Coating

All beams have heavy paint fading throughout. The majority of the paint is gone on the flanges due to surface rust. **See photo 7.** See Item 59.4.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.c - Backwalls

The West backwall has a 1 foot high x 6 inch wide area of delamination in bay #1, and a 6 inch high x 3 inch wide x 1/2 inch deep spall with one exposed rusted rebar in bay #2.

The East backwall has a 6 inch high x 3 inch wide area of delamination in bay #2.

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

The West breastwall has a 1 foot high area of intermittent moderate scaling at the top under bay #1, and full height moderate water stains under bay #5. The East breastwall has minor honeycombing at the top under beam #5. Both breastwalls have minor to moderate abrasion from the high waterline down.

Item 60.1.h - Footings

See the Underwater Inspection Report dated 1/26/2018.

Item 60.1.j - Scour

See the Underwater Inspection Report dated 1/26/2018.

SubStructure Scour Notes

See Item 60.1.j.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018

REMARKS

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.1 - Channel Scour

See the Underwater Inspection Report dated 1/26/2018.

Item 61.2 - Embankment Erosion

See Item 61.9.

Item 61.9 - Channel Wall

The Southeast and Northwest channel walls both have an approximately 25 foot long area of collapse. **See photos 11 & 12.**

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36a - Bridge Railing

The bridge rails consist of 3 steel channel rails, steel posts, and concrete end posts. See Item 58.8.

Item 36b - Transitions

The Southwest, Southeast and Northwest transitions consist of single panel W-beam guardrail with a boxing glove end that is not attached to the bridge rail. There are no traffic safety features at the Northeast corner of the bridge, where there is a business parking lot.

Item 36c - Approach Guardrail

The Southwest approach guardrail has minor collision damage.

Item 36d - Approach Guardrail Ends

The Southwest, Southeast, and Northwest boxing glove ends are not turned from traffic. Both South ends have minor collision scrapes.

Photo Log

- Photo 1 : Several transverse and longitudinal cracks with efflo. throughout bays #2-#4.
- Photo 2: Typical 100% section loss to the nuts at the base plates of both railings.
- Photo 3 : Missing metal sheathing and sagging insulation at the East end in bay #5.
- Photo 4 : Full width transverse cracking to the East approach to deck transition.
- Photo 5: Heavy cracking, breakup, bit. conc. patches and settlement throughout the West approach.
- Photo 6: Typical settlement of the Northeast (shown) and Northwest approach sidewalks.
- Photo 7: Typical surface rusting throughout the superstructure.
- Photo 8: Heavy surface rusting and minor rust flaking to the West end of beam #1, North face.
- Photo 9: Heavy rust flaking and section loss throughout the last 5 feet of beam #2 at the West abutment.
- Photo 10: Heavy rust flaking and section loss to the West end of beam #2, South face.
- Photo 11: Collapsed section of channel wall at the Southeast corner of the bridge.
- Photo 12: Collapsed section of channel wall at the Northwest corner of the bridge.

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE	B.I.N. 1DM	BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-020	8STRUCTURE NO. U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	INSPECTION DATE DEC 20, 2018
		РНО	TOS	
	APP AN	JAMES THE		A CONTRACTOR
1 A To a		TRACT	The second second	
				s p
		34		2
	ALL ALL			

Photo 1: Several transverse and longitudinal cracks with efflo. throughout bays #2-#4.



Photo 2: Typical 100% section loss to the nuts at the base plates of both railings.

Inform Ib.R. Ibr. Der. NO. Ib.R. Der. NO. Ib.S. STRUCTURE NO. Inspectitor Date UXBRIDGE IDM U-02-020 U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI DEC 20, 2018		DIN	DD DEDT NO	9 STRUCTURE NO	
		B.I.N.	BK. DEPT. NO.	8SIKUCIUKE NU.	INSPECTION DATE
PHOTOS	UXBRIDGE	1DM	0-02-020	002020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018
			PHO'	TOS	
			1110		
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			and the second second	
		1974		A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACTACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. OF A CONTRACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTA	4
		ALL NOT			
		The last	and the second second	A BAR	
		K	A HARRY		in the second se
	the set of the set of the	117			Participante a
	and the second sec	1 All P	A BOARD		
					Res The
		The state	and the second second	1 Million	
	and the second sec	and the second			
				A BA	and the second s
					and a start of
				A REAL	and the second
					ないの学習をと
A CONTRACT OF A	and the second second			a state of the second sec	And the Are
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		2	14 Martin	14 1 CA.	
the second se		Contraction of the		the states of th	and a set
AND THE PARTY AND A STATE AND A ST		and the second second		all the here is the state	13 4
a second s		-	the second se	the first of the first of the second	

Photo 3: Missing metal sheathing and sagging insulation at the East end in bay #5.



Photo 4: Full width transverse cracking to the East approach to deck transition.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018
		рно	TOS	
		1110	105	
	And And And And And And	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	And the second	
1. 10	1.2.2	XA	Chiefen Contraction	
	the Second		the second s	
The second second	Quer ha		and a state of the	
	A CONTRACTOR	SAT AN	and the second second	
	- La Lance	and the second s	The second s	
1.1	A March			and the second second
	and the designed of the		and the second	A - Budgelow
4		and the second		
the second				
		Se Land		
	and and			
23				A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE
	1	A Standard States	4	
			1 Contraction	

Photo 5: Heavy cracking, breakup, bit. conc. patches and settlement throughout the West approach.



Photo 6: Typical settlement of the Northeast (shown) and Northwest approach sidewalks.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018
		РНО	TOS	
			12.44 × 1/4 × 0 × 1	
	A CONTRACTOR		A STORE A STORE OF	
	13			
		A CANADA		
and the second s	1		A State of the state	
A reasol and			Station and the state	
and the second s				and the second sec
	in the		and the second sec	
and the second s	1			and the second second
Prov				
		A Craw		
12				
	-			
			the first the second	1
and stephenses	The training of the	the later had a strate of the		Ser SI

Photo 7: Typical surface rusting throughout the superstructure.



Photo 8: Heavy surface rusting and minor rust flaking to the West end of beam #1, North face.

		_			
CITY/TOWN		B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE		1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018
			РНОТО	DS	
_	Carl Mark	100000			
	and the second	No. of Street,	Range The		
	Contraction of the second			and the state of the state	
10	- Stan	-	- Carlos		
			al and the	The second se	
	A CONTRACTOR		A CARE OF THE OWNER	and the second second	
	The State		Real 1	the last the said the said	
	in a				and and a second se
.!				aller to the	
	and the second	1700	1.	Contract of Contract	
8 					
	-			Martin and a	
			and the second second	at the second	N. P.
			NYS CON		18
100	A set of		11 Contraction of the second s		Hear
10				to state the state	and a second
3					and the second s

Photo 9: Heavy rust flaking and section loss throughout the last 5 feet of beam #2 at the West abutment.



Photo 10: Heavy rust flaking and section loss to the West end of beam #2, South face.

CITY/TOWN		B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE		1DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	DEC 20, 2018
			РНОТС	DS	
	APPENDED IN THE STATISTICS.				
					N N H I
	Ver and Alexandra				EL E
		1 Sala	a call a call the state of		the second second
		CTRANS-AND			
		NL -			T.
		MC DA	A FRANK AND		filling"
	The second and	The second			
	《八字号》而 张济	1	CARR TO THE		
		增 國際	March Chille		
		for the second			
		A Real			
	- 18-11 - SA	200 ·			
	TY MALACK	1	Start.		
	Under Star	- Alter Art			
	1	1	- Alexand Contractor	ALL AND	
	11/- 5	5			and the
	1. 233	Se di	And and a second	The second second	and the second s
	17		the star		and the second sec
_					
6	Photo 11: Collaps	sed sec	tion of channel wa	all at the Southeast corner of th	IE
	bridge.				



Photo 12: Collapsed section of channel wall at the Northwest corner of the bridge.

State Information				Classification		Code
BDEPT#= U02020 Age	ency Br.No.	_	(112) NBIS Bridge Length			Y
Town= Uxbridge	L.C	0.	(104) Highway System			N
B.I.N= 1DM	AASHT	O= 050.4	(26) Functional Class -	Urban Minor	Arterial	16
RANK= 792 H.I.= 68.5 %	HWA Select List= Y	(6/21/2017)	(100) Defense Highway			0
	U020201	DMMUNNBI	(101) Parallel Structure			Ν
(5) Inventory Route		151000000	(102) Direction of Traffic -	2-way	y traffic	2
(2) State Highway Department District		03	(103) Temporary Structure			N
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code		71620	(105) Federal Lands Highways			0
(6) Features Intersected	WATER MUMF	ORD RIVER	(110) Designated National Network			Ν
(7) Facility Carried	HWY HAR	TFORD AVE	(20) Toll - On free road			3
(9) Location	.4 MI E 0	OF RTE 122	(21) Maintain - Town Ag	ency		03
(11) Kilometerpoint		0000.612	(22) Owner - Town Ag	ency		03
(12) Base Highway Network		N	(37) Historical Significance	built after	1949 presumed to	be not eligił Z
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			Condition	•	Code
(16) Latitude	42 DEG 05 MIN	35.26 SEC	(58) Deck			5
17) Lonaitude	71 DEG 38 MIN	10.07 SEC	(59) Superstructure			5
(98) Border Bridge State Code	Share	e %	(60) Substructure			6
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. #			(61) Channel & Channel Protection			5
Structure Type and Materi	al		(62) Culverts			N
(43) Structure Type Main: Steel	Code	302	Load	Rating and Po	sting	Code
Stringer/Girder Jointless bri	idge type: Not an	plicable	(31) Design Load - H 20=N	18 Howette Ci		4
(44) Structure Type Appr:	5- 91		(63) Operating Rating Method - A	nowable Stress	s (AS)	2
Other	Code	000	(64) Operating Rating	llowable Street		36.6
(45) Number of spans in main unit	0000	001	(66) Inventory Rating Method - A		s (AS)	20.6
46) Number of approach spans		0000	(70) Bridge Posting			20.0
(107) Deck Structure Type - Concrete Cast-in-P	lace	Code 1	(41) Structure - Posted for	r load		P
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:				_ Appraisal		Code
A) Type of wearing surface - Bituminous		Code 6	(67) Structural Evaluation			5
A) Type of wearing surface - Diturninous		Code 0	(68) Deck Geometry			2
C) Type of deck pretection			(69) Underclearances, vert. and hori	z.		Ν
C) Type of deck protection - Unknown		Code 8	(71) Waterway adequacy			7
		4055	(72) Approach Roadway Alignment			7
(27) Year Built		1955	(36) Traffic Safety Features			0 0 0 0
(106) Year Reconstructed		0000	(113) Scour Critical Bridges			4
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway-Ped				Inspections		24 M
Under - Waterway		Code 55	(90) Inspection Date 12/07/20		(91) Frequency	
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02	Under structure	00	(A) Fracture Critical Detail		00 MO A)	(93) CITDATE
(29) Average Daily Traffic		008085	(A) Fracture Childar Detail	N		00/00/0
(30) Year of ADT 2019 (109) Truck AD	T	03 %	(B) Underwater inspection	Y	36 MOB)	02/09/2
(19) Bypass, detour length		003 KM	(C) Other Special Inspection	N		00/00/0
		0044.014	(*) Other Inspection (FLOOD)	N	00 MO *)	04/05/1
(48) Length of maximum span		0014.0 M	(*) Closed Bridge	Ν	00 MO *)	00/00/0
(49) Structure Length		00014.9 M	(*) UW Special Inspection	N	00 MO *)	00/00/0
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 01.6	M Right	01.6 M	(*) Damage Inspection	Rating Loads	MO ^)	00/00/0
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb		007.8 M	Report Date 07/27/93	H20	Type 3 Type 3	S2 Type HS
(52) Deck Width Out to Out		011.8 M	Operating	30.0	37.0 58.0	40.0
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders)		007.9 M	Inventory	17.0	20.0 32.0	22.0
(33) Bridge Median - No median	Code	e 0		Field Posting		
34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structure Fl	lared	N	Status POSTED		Posting Date 02/	/02/95
10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear		99.99 M	2 Axle	3 Axle	5 Axle	Single
(47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear		07.8 M	Actual 20	25	36	
53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy		99.99 M	Recommended 20	25	36	
54) Min Vert Underclear ref N		00.00 M	Missing Signs N	Misc		
55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N		00.0 M	Bridge Name			
(56) Min Lat Underclear LT		00.0 M	N Anti-missile fence N	Acrow Panel	N . Iointle	ss Bridae
Navigation Data			Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable		N Jointie	SS Enage
38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on w	vaterway	Code 0	Access	ibility (Needed	/Used)	
111) Pier Protection		Code	N / N Liftbucket N /	N Riggina	N / N	Other
39) Navigation Vertical Clearance		000.0 M	Y/Y Ladder N/	N Staging		
116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear		М	N/N Boat N/	N Traffic Con	trol	
40) Navigation Horizontal Clearance		0000.0 M	Y/Y Wader N/		rson Ir	spection
				 Itititiugpoi 		
MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 5

B.I.N.UNDERWATER OPERATIONS TEAM1DMROUTINE UNDERWATER INSPECTION REPORT

BR. DEPT. NO.

			1							
			8-STRUCTURE N	0. 1 היי וא באר		LEVEL	F INSPECTION	93B-DATE IN	SPECTED	021
						DWATED	DED ATIONS ENON		БУ, 2	021
	F							EEK		
	Ľ							Ranovt autorit	ad by:	
WATER MIMFORD RI	VFR		13 m	15m	JOHN	ADER (DIV	KOWSKY	Report submitte	ea by:	
BOTTOM CONDITION		CURRE		TEAM MEMBERS	5					
GRAVEL, BOULDERS, SAI	ND	MOI	DERATE	W. J. COL	LERAN	I, Z. GII	KAS			
ITEM 60			ITEM 6		NET Ø		ITEM 62			
SUBSTRUCTURE		6 DEF	CHANNE	EL PROTECT	ION	6 CULVERTS				DEF
1. Abutments	6		1. Channel	Scour	6	-	1. Roof		Ν	-
a. Pedestals	Ν	-	2. Embankn	nent Erosion	7	-	2. Floor		N	-
b. Bridge Seats	Ν	-	3. Debris		7	-	3. Walls		N	-
^{c.} Backwalls	Ν	-	4. Vegetatio	'n	7	-	4. Headwall		Ν	-
d. Breastwalls	7	-	5. Utilities		N	-	5. Wingwall		N	-
e. Wingwalls	7	-	6. Rip-Rap/S	Slope Protection	5	M-P	6. Pipe		N	-
f. Slope Paving/Rip-Rap	Ν	-	7. Aggradat	ion	7	-	7. Protective	Coating	Ν	-
g. Pointing	Ν	-	8. Fender S	ystem	N	-	8. Embankme	nt	N	-
h. Footings	7	-	a. Piles		N	-	9. Wearing Su	rface	Ν	-
i. Piles	Ν	-	b. Diagona	al Bracing	N	-	10. Railing		Ν	-
j. Scour	6	-	c. Horizor	ntal Bracing	N	-	11. Sidewalks		Ν	-
k. Settlement	8	-	d. Wales		N	-	12. Utilities		Ν	-
I.	Ν	-	e. Fastene	ers	N	-	13. Member A	ignment	Ν	-
2. Piers or Bents	Ν		f. Ladders	s	N	-	14. Deformation	on	N	-
a. Pedestals	Ν	-	9.		Ν	-	15. Scour		Ν	-
^{b.} Caps	Ν	-	ITEM 59	SUPERSTR	UCTUR	E	16. Settlement		Ν	-
^{c.} Columns	Ν	-	_		Ν	DEF	17.		Ν	-
d. Stems/Webs/Pierwalls	Ν	-	_		N		18.		Ν	-
e. Pointing	Ν	-	_					V/A/)		Ν
f. Footing	Ν	-								I
g. Piles	Ν	-			TICIE	NUY K	EFORING	GUIDE		
h. Scour	Ν	-		A defect in a	structure that	t requires cor	rective action.			
i. Settlement	Ν	-	- M = Minor	Deficiency Deficie	encies which are	e minor in nature	, generally do not impact th	e structural integrity o	of the bridge an	d could
j.	Ν	-		easily	be repaired. Exa	amples include b	out are not limited to: Spalled	I concrete, Minor sco	ouring, etc.	
k	Ν	_	S= Severe/M	Major Deficiency-	Deficiencies include but a rebars. Dete	which are more re not limited to: priorated timber r	extensive in nature and ne Moderate to major deterior biles, Considerable settleme	ed more planning and ation in concrete, Ex nt, Considerable sco	l effort to repair posed and corr uring or underr	r. Examples roding nining, etc.
3. Pile Bents	Ν			al Stanotural D-f	ioione-	A deficiency in a	structural element of a brid	ge that poses an ext	reme unsafe or	ondition
^{a.} Pile Caps	Ν	-		ai Su uctural Del	iciency-	due to the failure of the bridge.	e or imminent failure of the e	lement which will affe	ect the structure	al integrity
b. Piles	Ν	-	C-H= Critic	cal Hazard Defici	ency- A de	eficiency in a co dition to the publ	mponent or element of a brid lic, but does not impair the s	dge that poses an ext tructural integrity of t	treme hazard o he bridge. Exa	r unsafe mples
c. Diagonal Bracing	N	-			and	uue but are not li may become a	rnited to: Any part of piles o safety hazard for the naviga	tional traffic, etc.	n are projecting	g outward
d. Horizontal Bracing	N	-	URGENCY	OF REPAIR:						
e. Fasteners	N	-	I=Immediate	 [Inspector(s) immedia further instruction from 	ately contact Dis m him/her.]	trict Bridge Insp	ection Engineer (DBIE) to re	eport the Deficiency a	and to receive	
		L	A=ASAP-	[Action/Repair should bridge) upon receipt of	t be initiated by I of the Inspection	District Maintena Report.]	ance Engineer or the respor	sible party (if not a S	tate owned	
UNDERMINING (Y/N) N [Shall be prioritized by District Maintenance Engineer or the Responsible Party (if not a State repairs made when funds and/or manpower is available.]						not a State owned b	ridge) and			
	N			E						

DIVE-P1(V3)-4/98

2-DIST

03

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE	B.I.N. 1DM	BR. DEPT. NO. I I-02-020	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
OXBRIDGE		0-02-020		1 LD 9, 2021

REMARKS

GENERAL REMARKS

Structure is a single span concrete bridge, dated 1955.

Orientation:

Facing downstream, abutments are labeled as "Left Abutment" and "Right Abutment". Reference Station 10+00 is at the downstream end. Station 10+40 is at the upstream end.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

Left Abutment:

There is minor concrete abrasion in the vicinity of the waterline.

Right Abutment:

There is minor concrete abrasion in the vicinity of the waterline.

Item 60.1.h - Footings

Left Abutment:

The main force of the current is along the left abutment.

The top of the concrete footing is exposed from STA 10+15 to STA 10+26 and is in good condition. The toe width is 2.0'.

Item 60.1.j - Scour

Left Abutment:

The main force of the current is along the Left Abutment.

The top of the concrete footing is exposed from Sta 10+15 to STA 10+26 and is in good condition. The toe width is 2.0'.

Vertical timber sheeting in front of the footing is exposed from STA 9+98 to the upstream end of the upstream wingwall. The maximum exposed height of the sheeting is 1.3'.

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.1 - Channel Scour

Left Abutment:

The main force of the current is along the Left Abutment.

The top of the concrete footing is exposed from Sta 10+15 to STA 10+26 and is in good condition. The toe width is 2.0'.

Vertical timber sheeting in front of the footing is exposed from STA 9+98 to the upstream end of the upstream wingwall. The maximum exposed height of the sheeting is 1.3'.

Item 61.6 - Rip-Rap/Slope Protection

There are cut granite block retaining walls both upstream and downstream of the bridge.

Downstream Left Retaining Wall

A void in the retaining wall at the interface of the Left Abutment at and above the waterline measures 0.5' long, 1.5' high, and 4.0' penetration.

Additionally this wall has an area of undermining beginning at the wingwall interface, 6' long, 0.4' high, 4.2' penetration. The wall has a partial collapse at an area downstream of the bridge.

UXBRIDGE 1	1 DM	U-02-020	U02020-1DM-MUN-NBI	FEB 9, 2021
CITY/TOWN B	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE

REMARKS

Item 61.6 - Rip-Rap/Slope Protection (Cont'd)

Upstream Left Retaining Wall

A void in the retataining wall at the interface of the Left Abutment at the waterline measures 0.6' long, 3.5' high, and 2.1' penetration.

Upstream Right Retaining Wall

The wall has a partial collapse along the top of the wall at an area upstream of the bridge.

Sketch / Chart Log

Sketch 1 : Plan Sketch

Chart 1 : Scour Monitoring Chart



Y/TOWN BRIDGE	B.I.N. 1DM	BR. DEPT. I U-02-020	NO. 8	STRUCTURE	NO. I-MUN-NBI	INSPECTION DATE FEB 9. 2021					
-			СПАРТС				0, _0_				
SCOUR MONITORING CHART											
		@	STA 10+	20							
				1							
OFFSETS	3/24/89	1/18/91	3/11/94	4/4/97	4/14/00	3/5/03	3/9/06				
Right Abutment	0.4'	0.4'	0.4'	0.3'	0.2'	0.5'	0.4'				
1/4 Span	1.1'	1.4'	1.2'	1.0'	0.8'	1.2'	1.0'				
Mid-Span	2.0'	1.6'	1.6'	1.5'	1.2'	2.2'	1.5'				
3/4 Span	3.1'	3.0'	3.0'	3.1'	2.8'	3.1'	3.3'				
Left Abutment:											
Outside Sheeting	4.0'	3.7'	3.7'	3.6'	3.1'	3.8'	3.8'				
On Footing	3.2'	3.3'	3.3'	3.3'	2.8'	3.1'	3.3'				
Y	11.9'	11.1'	10.2'	10.3'	11.3'	11.4'	11.8'				
Correction	-	-0.8'	-1.7'	-1.6'	-0.6'	-0.5'	0.1'				
OFFSETS	1/30/09	1/13/12	1/15/15	1/18/18	2/9/21						
Right Abutment	0.4'	0.1'	0.4'	0.2'	0.4'						
1/4 Span	1.0'	0.9'	0.8'	0.8'	0.9'						
Mid-Span	1.7'	1.4'	1.6'	1.7'	1.6'						
3/4 Span	3.0'	3.1'	3.2'	3.0'	3.1'						
Left Abutment:											
Outside Sheeting	3.5'	3.4'	3.5'	3.3'	3.5'						
On Footing	3.2'	3.2'	3.3'	3.2'	3.3'						
Y	11.3'	10.7'	11.5'	11.0'	11.8'		1				
Correction	-0.6'	-1.2'	-0.4'	-0.9'	0.1'						

Notes

1. Water control shot (Y) = waterline to bottom of beam @ Sta 10+02, Left Abutment.

2. For comparison, all soundings are adjusted to the 03/24/89 water level.

3. Reference Station 10+00 is located at the downstream end.

Chart 1: Scour Monitoring Chart

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Depot Street over the Mumford River (Bridge No. U-02-008)

Priority 11

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The current NBI Structure Inventory and Appraisal shows an AASHTO Sufficiency Rating of 59.6.

A bridge rating report dated February, 1996 was provided by MassDOT. Based on the calculations and the condition of the structure, the report concludes that the bridge capacity is satisfactory and does not require posting.

The most recent MassDOT bridge inspection report on record is dated September 6, 2019.

Depot Street is classified as a Local roadway according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of 9 adjacent precast box beams numbered west to east on concrete abutments. The bridge was constructed in 1994. The structure has an out-to-out width of 36'-0" with a clear span of 75'-0". The hydraulic opening of structure is approximately 15'-2" high by 75'-0" wide. The depth of flow at the time of inspection was approximately 27" and flowing eastward.

The roadway width over the structure is 26'-0" with a 6'-0" sidewalk on the west side of the roadway. There is 3" (minimum) thick asphaltic wearing surface placed directly on top of the box beams. Commercial driveways are located at both approaches. The north approach has a slight horizontal curve with limited visibility.

Overhead wires run along the west fascia of the bridge and a water line is mounted to the east fascia. A USGS gauging station is located at the southwest corner of the bridge. Additionally, there are 2 catch basins at the south approach and one catch basin at the northwest approach.

The bridge railing consists of a concrete bridge rail over the bridge and standard highway guardrail at the approaches. Both are transitioned together by means of a standard concrete highway guardrail transition.

There were no signs noted at the approaches.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is fair with few problems noted.

The box beams were in fair-to-poor-condition with numerous cracks. Heavy moisture with efflorescence is present at joints 3 and 7 (Photo 7). Beams 1-3, 7, and 8 have several pieces of backer rod hanging (Photo 3). There is heavy efflorescence on beam 1 at the north abutment. There are longitudinal cracks stretching approximately 2' in from each

abutment present on all beams, with the most severe cracking at the fascia beams. Additionally, the northwest fascia has a large piece that has spalled off onto the riprap below (Photos 5 and 6).

The abutments were found to be in good condition. Both abutments have exposed steel sheeting (Photos 8) and minor honeycombing throughout. There is a tree growing out of the riprap on the south side (Photo 9). The south abutment also has a full height vertical hairline crack under beam 7 and a 5' hairline crack under beam 2.

The wingwalls are all in good condition. The only deficiencies noted were on the are areas of minor cracks with efflorescence and honeycombing. There is also heavy vegetation typical at the wingwalls.

The pavement over the bridge is in fair condition. There is a ½" full length longitudinal crack near the east curb and a ¼" longitudinal full-length crack near beam 2, which is sealed. There is also minor alligator cracking throughout. The curb at the southeast endpost is settling, and there is map cracking at the northeast endpost. The northwest approach sidewalk is severely scaled (Photo 16). Both bridge joints are cracked (Photo 12 and 13), and the concrete bridge rail has a 5" diameter area of delamination on the east side at center span. There is also minor hairline cracking along the base of the rail and heavier cracking towards the ends of the guardrail base.

RECOMMENDATIONS

This overall structure is in fair condition, with the superstructure exhibiting more advanced deterioration than the substructure. BETA recommends that the following repairs be completed to extend the structure's anticipated service life:

- Remove the pavement over the structure to repair the shear keys. Repave over the structure with a new superpave wearing surface and membrane waterproofing.
- Repair spall at beam 9 fascia.
- Repair all spalled, cracked, and delaminated areas to concrete bridge railing.

At this time, BETA recommends checking each new MassDOT Routine Inspection Report. The cracking of all beams should be closely monitored. Repair measures may be necessary if cracks are found to widen.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$60,000
Engineering:	<u>\$15,000</u>
Total:	\$75,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Inspection Report Dated September 6, 2019

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Photo 1 Looking Southwest: East Bridge Elevation



Photo 2 Looking North: North Abutment



Photo 3 Looking South: South Abutment



Photo 4 Looking West: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 5 Looking East: Northwest Coping Spall



Photo 6 Looking Down: Spall in Rip Rap on Northwest Side

June, 2021 Page 4



Photo 7 Looking South: Efflorescence at Joint 7



Photo 8 Looking West: Steel Sheeting Visible on South Side

June, 2021 Page 5



Photo 9 Looking East: Southeast Riprap Vegetation



Photo 10 Looking East: Southwest Endpost Movement



Photo 11 Looking North: South Approach



Photo 12 Looking East: Cracking at South Joint

June, 2021 Page 7



Photo 13 Looking East: Cracking at North Joint



Photo 14 Looking Southwest: West Barrier and Approach Rail



Photo 15 Looking Southeast: East Barrier and Approach Rail



Photo 16 Looking South: Sidewalk Deterioration at Northwest Side

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 10

STRUCTURES INSPECTION FIELD REPORT BR. DEPT. NO. **ROUTINE INSPECTION**

11-02-008

03	1J2					l	J-02	2-00	8							
CITY/TOW	Ν			8ST	RUCTURE NO.				1	11-Kil	o. POINT	41-STATUS	90-R	OUTIN	VE INS	SP. DATE
UXBRI	DGE				U02008-1.	J2-MU	N-N	BI		00	0.241	A:OPEN		SEP	6, 2	2019
07-FACILIT	Y CARRIED				MEMORIAL NAM	E/LOCAL	NAME		·	27-1	R BUILT	106-YR REBUILT	YR F	REHAR	3'D (N	ON 106)
HWY	DEPOT ST										1994	0000		(000	0
06-FEATUR	RES INTERSECTED				26-FUNCTIONAL	CLASS			DIST. B	RIDG	E INSPECTI	ON ENGINEER	M. Az	tizi		
WATE		D RIVI	ER			al				E.E.						
505 : Prestressed Concrete Box Beam or Girde Multiple					ers - Town Town Agency Agency				ER D. Simkh	lovich						
107-DECK	rype Drooto D rooo		nala		WEATHER TEMP. (air) TEAM MEM				BERS							
		ist Pa	neis		Sunny	23			0.01		<u> </u>					
ITEM	58	5		ITE	CM 59		5	5			ITEM	60		7		
DECK	l	•	DEF	SUP	ERSTRUCTUI	RE			DE	F	SUBST	RUCTURE		-		DEF
1.Wearin	g Surface	5	S-A	1.Str	ingers			N	-		1. Abut	ments	Dive	Cur	7	
2.Deck C	ondition	5	S-A	2.Flo	orbeams			N	-		a. Pedes	tals	Ν	N		-
3.Stay in	Place Forms	N	-	3.Flo	or System Braci	ng		N	-		b. Bridge	e Seats alls	N	7 H		-
4.Curbs		7	-	4.Gir	ders or Beams			5	S-A	4	d. Breast	walls	N	7		-
5. Median		N	-	5.Tru	isses - General			N	-		e. Wingw	alls	N	7	-	M-P
6 Sidewa	liks	7	-	a.	Upper Chords		Ν		-		f. Slope g. Pointii	Paving/Rip-Rap ng	N	N N	-	-
7 Parane	te	N	-	b.	Lower Chords		Ν		-		h. Footin	Ν	Н		-	
9 Pailing	13	7		c. Web Members N			Ν		-		<u>i.</u> Piles		N	N 7	-	-
	acila Fanca	N		d. Lateral Bracing N			Ν		-		k. Settler	nent	N	7		-
9. Anti Mi				e. Sway Bracings N			Ν		-		. m.		N	N	-	
10.Draina	age System		-	f. Portals N			Ν		-		777. 2. Piers	or Bents	N	IN	N	-
11.Lighti	ng Standards		-	g.	End Posts		N				a. Pedes	tals	N	N		-
12.Utilitie	es	8	-	6.Pin	& Hangers			N	-		b. Caps		Ν	N		-
13.Deck	Joints	N	-	7.Co	nn Plt's, Gussets	s & Angl	es	N	-		c. Colum	ns /Wobs/Pierwalls	N	N	-	-
14.		N	-	8.Co	ver Plates			N	-		e. Pointii	ng	N	N		-
15.		Ν	-	9. Bea	aring Devices			H	-		f. Footin	g	N	N	-	
16.		N	-	10. Di	iaphragms/Cross	s Frame	s	N	-		g. Piles		N	N	-	-
		E	w	11. Ri	ivets & Bolts			N	-		i. Settler	nent	Ν	Ν	1	-
	VEAL 2	00	175	12. W	leids			N	-		j. k		N	N	-	-
(In millime	ters)			13. M	ember Alignmen	nt		8	-		A. 3. Pile I	Bents			N	-
APPRO A	ACHES		DEF	14. Pa	aint/Coating			N	-		a. Pile Ca	aps	Ν	N		-
a. Appr. Pa	avement Condition	5	S-A	15.							b. Piles		N	N	-	-
b. Appr. R	oadway Settlement	6	S-P	Year	Painted	1	N				c. Diagoi d. Horizo	nal Bracing Intal Bracing	N	N		-
c. Appr. Si	dewalk Settlement	6	M-P	COLL	ISION DAMAGE:	Please e	xplain				e. Faster	ners	Ν	Ν]	-
d.		N	-	None	e (X) Minor ()	Modera	te ()	Se	vere ()	UNDERM	INING (Y/N) If Y	ES ple	ease e	xplain	N
OVERH	FAD SIGNS			LOAD	DEFLECTION:	Please e	xplain	50	voro (、			-		-	
(Attached	to bridge)	(Y/N)	N			Please	xolain	36	vere (,	None (X	DN DAMAGE:	odera	te () Se	vere ()
a Constitu	n of Wold-	.	DEF None (X) Minor () Moderate () Severe ())	SCOUR:	Please explain				
											None (X) Minor () M	odera	te () Se	vere ()
			-	Any F	-racture Critical	wembe	r: (Y/I 1	N)	Ν		I-60 (Dive	e Report): N	<i>I-</i> 6	0 (This	Repo	rt): 7
		Any Cracks: (Y/N) N								93B-11/	N (DIVF) Insp		00	00/0		
							_				330-0/1					
	X=UNKNC	WN		N=	NOT APPLIC		H-	ни	DEN	/IN/	ACCESS			R-I	2 E M	

2-DIST

B.I.N.

PAGE 2 OF 10

CITY/TOWN B					B.I.I	N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE	NO.		INSPECTIC)N DA	ATE
UXB	RIDO	θE			1J	2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2	-MUN-NE	31	SEP 6	5, 2 0	19
TITE	EM 61						TEM 36 TRAFFIC SA	AFETY		ACCESSIE	SILITY	(Y/I	N/P)
СНА	NNE	L &			7		Dridge Deiling	36 COND	DEF			Needed	Used
СНА	NNE	L PROTECTIO	V			А. Б	Bridge Railing	0 7		Lift Bucket		Ν	Ν
			Dive	Cur	DEE	<u>р.</u>			-	Ladder		N	N
1 Ch	annel	Scour	N	7	-		Approach Guardrail Ends	0 6	M-P	Boat		N	N
2 Em	hankn	ent Frosion	N	7		WF	TICHT POSTINC			Inspector 50		P	N
3 Del	hrie		N	8		VV L		NOT Applicable	gle X	Rigging		N	N
4 Vo	notatio	n	N	7		Ac	tual Posting			Staging		N	Ν
4. VC			N	/		R	commended Posting			Traffic Cont	ol	Ν	Ν
5.00	Den/	Nama Drotootian	IN N	7	-				/00/0000	RR Flagger		N	N
0.RIP	-Rap/3	sope Protection	IN N	1	-	Wa	ived Date: 00/00/0000	EJDM I Date: 00/	00/0000	Police		N	N
7.Ag	gradat	on	N	8	-	Sig	Ins In Place N	S N	S	Other:			
8.Fei	nder S	/stem	N	N	-	(Y=	Yes,N=No, =NotRequired)					N	N
						Leg	gibility/			TOTAL H	OURS		8
						CLF	EARANCE POSTING	E W		PLANS	(Y/N	I): [Y
						No	ot X ft	in ft	in meter	1.5	(.,.	
<u>STRE</u>	EAM FL	OW VELOCITY:	_	_		Act	ual Field Measurement	0	0	(V.C.R.)	(Y/N):	Ν	
Tidal () High	() Moderate () L	.ow ()	🅻) Nor	ie()	Sig	At brid	dge Adva	ance	TAPE#:			
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): N ITEM 61	(This	Repo	rt): 7	(Y=	Yes,N=No,			List of field tes	sts performed.	:	
93b-U/W INSP. DATE: 00/00/0000 NR=Not Required) Legibility/ Visibility													
RATING													
Deter						Reco	ommend for Rating or Re	rating (Y/N):	HIG	H () MEDIUM) LOW ()	
Date.	nspecti	on data at time of e	xistin	na rati	na	REA	ISON:						
l 58: 8	3 59	: 8 60: 9 Da	te : 0	9/01	/1995								
							CONDITION R	ATING GUID	(For	Items 58 59 60	and 61)		
	CODE	CONDITION					DEFECTS	5					
	Ν	NOT APPLICABLE											
G	9	EXCELLENT	E	xcellen	t condition.								
G	8	VERY GOOD	N	o probl	em noted.								
G	7	GOOD	S	ome m	inor proble	ms.							
F	6 5	FAIR	S	Il prim	arv structure		some minor deterioration.	section loss cracking of	nalling or scour				
P	4	POOR		dvance	d section In	oss. det	erioration, spalling or scour	contracting, a	paining of 30001.				
P	3	SERIOUS	Lo	oss of	section, det	erioratio	on, spalling or scour have seriously	affected primary structur	al components.	Local failures are p	ossible. Fatigue		
			A	dvance	d deteriora	tion of p	primary structural elements. Fatigue	e cracks in steel or shear	cracks in concre	te may be present o	or scour may hav	e	
C C	2		re M	emoveo lajor de	l substructu	re supp or secti	oort. Unless closely monitored it ma on loss present in critical structural	ay be necessary to close to components or obvious	the bridge until contract of the bridge until	orrective action is ta tal movement affeo	ting structure		
	0	FAILED	St	ut of se	. Bridge is ervice - bev	closed	to traffic but corrective action may prective action.	out it back in light service.					
	•								IDE				
DEFI	CIENC	Y: A defect in a stru	ucture	that re	quires corre	ective a	ction.						
CATE	EGORI	ES OF DEFICIENC	IES:										
M= N	/linor E	eficiency - Deficiencies holes, Minor	which a corrosi	are mind ion of ste	r in nature, ge eel, Minor sco	enerally d uring, Cl	to not impact the structural integrity of the ogged drainage, etc.	bridge and could easily be rep	aired. Examples incl	ude but are not limited t	b: Spalled concrete,	Minor po	t
S= Se	evere/M	ajor Deficiency - De	eficienc d corro	ies whic ded reba	h are more ex ars, Considera	ttensive i able settl	in nature and need more planning and effo ement, Considerable scouring or undermin	rt to repair. Examples include b ing, Moderate to extensive co	out are not limited to rrosion to structural	Moderate to major det steel with measurable le	erioration in concrete oss of section, etc.	e, Expose	ed
C-S=	Critica	l Structural Deficie	ncy -	A defi	ciency in a st	ructural e	element of a bridge that poses an extreme	unsafe condition due to the fa	ilure or imminent fail	ure of the element whic	n will affect the struc	tural inte	grity
C-H=	- Critic	al Hazard Deficienc	y -	A deficie include t etc.	ncy in a comp out are not lim	oonent or ited to: L	r element of a bridge that poses an extrem oose concrete hanging down over traffic o	e hazard or unsafe condition to or pedestrians, A hole in a side	o the public, but doe walk that may cause	s not impair the structur injuries to pedestrians	al integrity of the brid , Missing section of	dge. Exar bridge rai	nples iling,
URG	ENCY	OF REPAIR:											
I = Im	mediate	[Inspector(s) immedia	tely cor	ntact Dis	trict Bridge In	spection	Engineer (DBIE) to report the Deficiency	and to receive further instructi	on from him/her].	Poporti			
A = A P = Pi	sar- rioritize-	[Action/Repair should [Shall be prioritized by	/ Distric	ateu by ct Mainte	nance Engine	er or the	Responsible Party (if not a State owned)	orate owned bridge) upon reco	funds and/or manpo	ower is available].			
·													

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

The approaches are South and North, and the elevations are West and East (from the plans). This structure is a prestressed concrete box beam bridge with 9 beams and 8 longitudinal beam joints numbered from West to East. The river flows from West to East.

GENERAL REMARKS

A-62 was not available to perform this inspection. An "Other" inspection has been scheduled in 12 months to perform a hands-on inspection with the A-62 to check the condition of the beams on either side of joints #3 and #7.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing Surface

There are longitudinal reflective cracks(cracking), up to 1 in. wide, over joints #3 and #7. **See photo 1.** The pavement on the East side of the crack over joint #7 is up to 3/4 in. higher than the opposite side.(No misalignment of the box beams below this area). **See photo 2.** There is heavy moisture staining on the box beams below these cracks, predominantly to the North 2/3 of the span. There is minor to moderate transverse cracking in throughout the rest of the wearing surface.

Item 58.2 - Deck Condition

See Item 59.4 comments.

Item 58.6 - Sidewalks

The West concrete sidewalk has isolated areas of minor scaling along the back of the granite curbs. The West sidewalk fascia overhang has a 6 in. wide x 3-1/2 in. long x 2 in. deep spall near midspan. There is evidence of water infiltration through the construction joint at midspan at the rail base. The Northwest corner of the sidewalk slab, below the endpost, has a 1 ft. high x 1.5 ft. wide x 6 in. deep spall with exposed rebar. **See photo 3.** It appears the rebar was not properly placed in this area reslting in the spall.

Item 58.8 - Railing

The East bridge rail has a 5 in. diameter x 1 in. deep spall to the top of the post on the South face near midspan (possibly as built). The end posts have minor hairline map cracking. Both bridge rails have hairline cracks at the bottom corners of the posts and several at the top throughout.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. Pavement Condition

There is full width moderate transverse cracking and breakup to both approach to deck transitions. Both approach pavements have heavy isolated longitudinal and transverse cracks throughout. **See photos 4 and 5.**

Approaches b - Appr. Roadway Settlement

There is minor settlement at both approach to deck transitions.

Approaches c - Appr. Sidewalk Settlement

There is up to 1/2 in. of settlement to both approach sidewalks. There is heavy scaling to the Northwest approach sidewalk, and a full width x 1/4 in. settlement crack.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019

REMARKS

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.4 - Girders or Beams

There is evidence of water infiltration through joints #3 and #7, which are located below the cracks in the wearing surface described under Item 58.1. See photos 6 and 7. Beams #3 and #4 on either side of joint #3 have heavy moisture and efflorescence staining mostly to the North 2/3 of the span. Beam #3 has several longitudinal cracks in this area up to 1/8 in. wide. See photo 8. The longitudinal cracks on beam #2 are mostly hairline, with one area where one longitudinal crack is up to 1/16 in. wide and has rust staining due to exposed rebar chair wire. Beams #7 and #8 on either side of joint #7 have moisture and efflorescence staining to the North 2/3 of the span. The underside of the rest of the beams have minor longitudinal cracks. The longitudinal cracks could be seen on the sides of beams #1 and #9. See photo 9. The post tensioning rods at midspan and near the North abutment have rust stains due to water infiltration at joints #8 and joint #2. See photo 10.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

The South breastwall has a full height hairline crack under beam #2 and a 5 ft. high hairline crack under beam #7.

Item 60.1.e - Wingwalls

The Northwest wingwall has an area of hairline cracking with efflorescence leaching at the top of the wall above the bridge seat and at the backwall interface.

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.4 - Vegetation

There is moderate vegetation growing at all four corners of the bridge, encroaching on the superstructure.

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36a - Bridge Railing

See Item 58.8 comments.

Item 36b - Transitions

All transitions are not sufficiently stiffened.

Item 36d - Approach Guardrail Ends

The Northwest, Southeast, and Northeast guardrail ends have minor collision damage.

		REMA	RKS	
UXBRIDGE	1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019
CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
amumaun	5.11			BUGBE GETONE DA EE

Photo Log

- Photo 1 : View of the wearing surface looking South.
- Photo 2 : Longitudinal crack over joint #7.
- Photo 3: A spall to the Northwest corner of the sidewalk slab, below the Northwest endpost.
- Photo 4 : The North approach to deck transition.
- Photo 5 : The South approach to deck transition.
- Photo 6 : Beam joint#3 looking North.
- Photo 7 : Beam joint #7, looking North.
- Photo 8 : Joint #3, looking North.
- Photo 9 : Cracks on the side of beam #1 at the South end. Typical on both fascia beams.
- Photo 10: Rust staining below the post tensioning rods.

CITY/TOWN	В	.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1	IJ2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019
			PHO	TOS	
			TIRO		
	STORE AN COM	IIII	TITTT		
		1111	IIIIII	and the second se	and the second
		and the second		and the second sec	
8	Barrow		1		- Andrew
		Contraction of the second			
	Destant in		Reflective cracking abo	ove beam joints #3(right) and #7	
				and the second	
					T.
	1	-	the factor		
			the first of		
			1 - 2		
	- na		2 Provense		
			to the second		
	man the 1		HARL		
	- San Ale and		man-it	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	
	and the second	-dista	and the second second	the the second	
		53 B 18			

Photo 1: View of the wearing surface looking South.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019
		PHO	TOS	
>4				-
	2 A Char	Sam .		
The second se	Charles .	Alle		
200	COK PA	R R.	150 60- 1	
	A Later			and the second se
	10 CK			
	ST.	TER IN	Martin Carlos	and the second second
- the	The cold	an the set	K	a de la constante de
	11 FANT			
				Terre
	A CALLER			1 million
	No.			and the second
	Still 1	the set of the	NY AND	
				23
	Val	- ARK		
				and the second se

Photo 3: A spall to the Northwest corner of the sidewalk slab, below the Northwest endpost.





CITY/TOWN]	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE		1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019
			PHO	TOS	
	1. All courses	Contra -			
	TTTTT	E N I			Learning .
	11111	11			
	n all h			A COMPANY AND A COMPANY	
				X	
			X		
	and the second				
				A CARLES AND A	
			and the second		100
				e en la compañía	
	and the sec			A LONG MANY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PRO	
	and the second second	Carly City La Min		Real Property and the second	Concernence of
		an an faire a	- Alt and and a	the first	

Photo 5: The South approach to deck transition.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1J2	U-02-008	U02008-1J2-MUN-NBI	SEP 6, 2019
		PHO	ΓΟS	
	and the second second	All And		
				1 the second
				and the second
1.00	The second			a the
1-1	and the second			
1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			and the second se
	and the second			and the second s
			R	1
	- 11	in the second		
	and hard	yes you for	5-3-1	and the second s
1	The second second	F		-
		F		A.A.
		K	1 1000	
	1 7	E /		-
		1-1-	CONTRACTOR OF	The second s
				the second s
			and the second second	and the
Zu	* Para			A.
	24	1000日本市 2001		The second s

Photo 7: Beam joint #7, looking North.



CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1J2 	0-02-008		SEP 6, 2019
		РНО	105	
10.000		-		
10				Sec. Sec.
the first			*	
	4			
the second	the the		the second second second	
	for the second			
	Sala			
	and the second			
	and the			
		The second	-	
She be			The second second	The second se
for				
Photo 9:	Cracks on th	e side of beam #	1 at the South end. Typical o	on both
1				and the second
100				and the second
Rust	staining below the	post tensioning rod at	midspan, joint #8.	and the second s
and the second		1-	the second se	all and the
tion does not				
Chen and a				
			The state of the s	a to all
			ALL STREET	100
1. S. S.			inter and	S. A.
Ser 1			Q.	
a second				Provide State
				and the second se



Report Date: April 15, 2021		Classification
BDEPT#= U02008 Agency Br.No.		(112) NBIS Bridge Length
Town= Uxbridge L.O.		(104) Highway System
B.I.N= 1J2 AASHTO=	059.6	6 (26) Functional Class - Urban Local 1
RANK= 3591 H.I.= 99.0 % FHWA Select List= N (6/21	1/2017)	7) (100) Defense Highway
(0) Otherstein Number [1020081.12M]	UNNBI	(101) Parallel Structure
(8) Structure Number 55100 101111	000000	0 (102) Direction of Traffic - 2-way traffic
(3) Inventory Route	03	3 (103) Temporary Structure
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code	71620	0 (105) Federal Lands Highways
(6) Features Intersected WATER MUMFORD	RIVER	R (110) Designated National Network
(7) Facility Carried HWY DEP	OT ST	T (20) Toll - On free road
(9) Location 0.1M E JCT RTS 16	8 & 122	2 (21) Maintain - Town Agency 0
(11) Kilometerpoint 00	00.241	1 (22) Owner - Town Agency 0
(12) Base Highway Network	Ν	N (37) Historical Significance not eligible
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 0000000000		ConditionCoc
(16) Latitude 42 DEG 04 MIN 30.7	7 SEC	_C (58) Deck
(17) Longitude 71 DEG 37 MIN 31.1	8 SEC	_C (59) Superstructure
(98) Border Bridge State Code Share	%	(60) Substructure
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. #		(61) Channel & Channel Protection
Structure Type and Material		(62) Cuiverts
(43) Structure Type Main: Prestressed Concrete Code	505	(31) Design Load - HS 20-MS 18
Box Beam or Girders - Multiple Jointless bridge type: Not applicate	ble	(63) Operating Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS)
(44) Structure Type Appr:		(64) Operating 46
Other Code	000	(65) Inventory Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS)
(45) Number of spans in main unit	001	(66) Inventory Rating 33
(46) Number of approach spans	0000	0 (70) Bridge Posting
(107) Deck Structure Type - Concrete Precast Panels Code	e 2	2 (41) Structure - Open
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:		AppraisalCoc
A) Type of wearing surface - Bituminous Code	e 6	(67) Structural Evaluation
B) Type of membrane - Preformed Fabric Code	92	(68) Deck Geometry
C) Type of deck protection - Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Code	ə 1	(09) Onderclearances, vert. and horiz.
Age and Service		(71) Waterway adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment
(27) Year Built	1994	4 (36) Traffic Safety Features 1 0 1
(106) Year Reconstructed	0000	0 (113) Scour Critical Bridges
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway		Inspections
Under - Waterway Code	15	(90) Inspection Date 09/06/19 (91) Frequency 24
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02 Under structure	00) (92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI DA
(29) Average Daily Traffic 0	00780	D (A) Fracture Critical Detail N 00 MO A) 00/00
(30) Year of ADT 2013 (109) Truck ADT 1	10 %	(B) Underwater Inspection N 00 MO B) 10/07
(19) Bypass, detour length	99 KM	(C) Other Special Inspection N 00 MO C) 09/06
Geometric Data	00.014	(*) Other Inspection () N 00 MO*) 00/00
(48) Length of maximum span 002 (40) Structure Length 000	22.9 M	1 (*) Closed Bridge N 00 MO *) 00/00
(49) Structure Length 0002	24.7 IVI	 (*) Dvv Special Inspection N 00 MO *) 00/00 MO *) 00/00
(50) Curb of Sidewark. Left 00.0 Wi Right (Rating Loads
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb (52) Deck Width Out to Out	10.7M	Report Date 02/01/96 H20 Type 3 Type 3S2 Type H
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/choulders)	10.7 W	Operating 39.0 52.0 50.0 0.0
(32) Approach Roadway Width (Wishoulders)	0	Inventory 28.0 37.0 35.0 0.0
(34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structure Elared	N	
		Status LEGAL Posting Date 06/25/96
(10) Inventory Route MINI Vert Clear		2 AXIE 3 AXIE 5 AXIE SINGLE
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear	9.99 M	1 Actual
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 00 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 00	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M	r Actual Recommended
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear (10) (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M	1 Actual 1 Recommended 1 Missing Signs N
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Linderclear RT ref N	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Misc.
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear I 01	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 07 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 07	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 01 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M 00.0 M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used)
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 01 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (11) Pier Protection Code Code	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M 00.0 M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used)
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 01 02 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 00	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M 0 0	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name Misc. N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Ladder N / N Staging
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 01 02 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 00 00 (116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear 00	9.99 M 07.9 M 0.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M 00.0 M 0 0.0 M M	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name Misc. N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 95 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 01 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 95 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 01 02 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 00 00 (116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear 000 (40) Navigation Horizontal Clearance 000	9.99 M 07.9 M 9.99 M 0.00 M 00.0 M 00.0 M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Actual Recommended Missing Signs N Bridge Name Misc. N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used) N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Ladder N / N Staging N / N Boat N / N Traffic Control P / N Wader N / N RR Flagperson

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Mill Street over Emerson Brook (Bridge No. U-02-002)

Priority 12

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The current NBI Structure Inventory and Appraisal shows an AASHTO Sufficiency Rating of 23.9.

Mill Street is classified as a Local roadway according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a mortared stone masonry arch with a date of construction circa 1850. The structure has an out-to-out width of 21'-6" with a clear span of 10'-0". The hydraulic opening of the arch structure is approximately 9'-10" high by 10'-0" wide. The flow was 1' deep at the time of inspection on the west side, but was deeper on the east side, measuring 3' deep. The brook was flowing eastward. The depth of fill over the structure is approximately 4'-9".

The roadway width over the structure is 18'-0" and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. The width of roadway narrows from the north and south approaches, which have approximate widths of 22'-0" and 21'-0", respectively. There are no sidewalks on either side. A sharp horizontal curve is present at the north approach and an intersection with Pond Street is located approximately 120' north of the structure.

Overhead wires run along the east side of the roadway. There is also a riprap drainage waterway at the Southwest corner of the structure.

The bridge railing consists of timber bridge rail behind standard guardrail. The embankments are very steep, and the only approach guardrail is a single segment of Jersey barrier at the south side of the south approach.

No signs were noted at the approaches.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is fair with several deficiencies noted.

Inspection of the masonry arch revealed that there is a concrete facing on the underside that has random cracking with efflorescence throughout (Photos 9 and 10). There is also a larger longitudinal crack on the east side of the arch with two 12" diameter x 3" deep spalls. This crack extends across the entire arch and down the north abutment wall. The face of either side of the arch typically shows voids and missing mortar (Photo 13).

Abutment walls are stone masonry with concrete footings completely exposed and are in fair condition with several deficiencies. The north abutment footing shows heavy deterioration and water staining on the face (Photo 3). Random mortar patches and

moisture spots throughout both walls were found to be typical. There is a 30" deep void at the southwest abutment corner (Photo 12)

The northwest and southwest spandrel walls are in good condition with only few missing cobbles. The northwest training wall has a full height 1" crack where it meets the north abutment (Photo 11). The northwest wall is also partially collapsing at the end (Photo 5). The northeast training wall has large voids at the waterline up to 30" deep (Photo 6).

The floor of the culvert is 75% deteriorated concrete and 25% stones. There is minor scour at the north abutment footing, and heavy scour at the downstream end of the floor where the depth of the water drops from 12" to about 3'-0". There is a makeshift dam located at the upstream end which affects water flow through the culvert (Photo 14).

The pavement over the arch and at the approaches is in good condition. There is a sharp horizontal and vertical curve with poor visibility. The guardrail is in poor condition and is leaning away from the roadway on the west side (Photo 17). The guardrail also has areas where it is not fully connected to the posts. There is slight collision damage at the northeast approach. The roadway geometry, poor condition of the guardrails, and lack of any approach rail make this a safety hazard.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of this structure is fair. BETA recommends the following to extend the life of the structure and improve public safety:

- Encase north abutment footing with concrete. This will help prevent further scour deterioration to the structure.
- Fill all voids and replace all missing and/or loose stones in the stone arch, abutments, spandrels walls, wingwalls.
- Repoint all masonry joints to the stone arch, abutments, spandrel walls, and wingwalls as required.
- Repair cracks and spalls to stone abutment walls and arch.
- Stabilize all wingwalls as required.
- Repair all scour holes.
- Remove the makeshift upstream dam in order to provide better water flow through the arch.
- Install MassDOT approved guardrail on all approaches and over the culvert.
- Roadway width over the culvert is not adequate to carry two lanes of traffic. Add additional "BE PREPARED TO STOP" approach signs stripe roadway centerlines accordingly. All signage and striping should be in accordance with current MUTCD standards.

• Signage in accordance current MUTCD standards is also recommended indicating a sharp curve and/or reduced speed.

Routine inspections should be conducted at intervals not exceeding two years.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$180,000
Engineering:	<u>\$45,000</u>
Total:	\$225,000

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Arch Inspection Report Dated March 1, 2019

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021





Photo 1 Looking Northeast: West Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking Northwest: East Culvert Elevation



Photo 3 Looking West: North Abutment



Photo 4 Looking Southwest: South Abutment



Photo 5 Looking North: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 6 Looking North: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking South: Southwest Wingwall



Photo 8 Looking South: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 9 Looking South: Culvert Roof at Southwest Corner



Photo 10 Looking West: Culvert Roof at East Entrance



Photo 11 Looking North: Separation at Northwest Wingwall



Photo 12 Looking Southeast: Southwest Abutment Corner



Photo 13 Looking West: East Arch Face



Photo 14 Looking West: Dam in Brook



Photo 15 Looking South: North Approach



Photo 16 Looking North: South Approach



Photo 17 Looking Northwest: West Barrier



Photo 18 Looking East: East Barrier

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 11

2-DIST B.I.N. 03 6X5

ROUTINE ARCH INSPECTION

STRUCTURES INSPECTION FIELD REPORT

BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-002

CITY/TOWN			85	STRUCTURE NO.			11-	-Kilo.	POINT	41-STATUS	90-ROUTI	NE INS	SP. DATE
UXBRIDGE			U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI 00			000).402	A:OPEN	MAF	R 1.	2019		
07-FACILITY CARRIED				MEMORIAL NAME/LOCAL NAME 27-			27-YF	R BUILT	106-YR REBUILT	YR REHA	B'D (N	ON 106)	
HWY MILL ST							1	850	0000		000	0	
06-FFATURES INTERSECTED				26-FUNCTIONAL	CLASS		DIST BRI	IDGE	INSPECT	ON ENGINEER M	Δτίτι		•
		OK		Buralloc			DIST. DIG	DOL	Inthe Lett		,		
							TEAMLE						
811 : Masonry Arcl	h - De	ck		Town Agency	Town Agency	AINER	IEAM LE	ADEI	K D.Sm	ith			
107-DECK TYPE N : Not applicable				weather Sunny	TEMP. (air) -1°	c	TEAM MI	embi AIN	ers IEE				
ITEM 58	N		IT	EM 59		-]		ITEM	60		1	
DECK	N	DEE	SU	PERSTRUCTL	IRE	່ວ			SUBST	RUCTURE	5		DEE
1 Wearing surface	5	S-P		reh/Areh Bing		5	S-P		1. Abu	tments	Dive Cur	5	DEF
						5		-	a. Pedes	tals	N N	5	-
2. Deck Condition	IN	-	2. K	eystone Area		5	- 5 -P	$-\ $	b. Bridge	e Seats	N N	1	-
3. Spandrel Fill	6	M-P	3. S	tringers		Ν	-		c. Backv	valls	N N]	-
4. Curbs	N	-	4. F	loorbeams		Ν	-		d. Breas	twalls	N 6	4	M-P
5. Median	N	-	5. S	pandrel Walls		5	S-A		e. Wingv	valls	N 5	-	S-P
C. Cidewells:	N					7		-	f. Slope	Paving/Rip-Rap	N N	-	- M-P
o. Sidewaiks			6. S	pring Lines		, 		$-\ $	h. Footii	irs Igs	N N	1	-
7. Parapets	N	-	7. D	iaphragms/Cros	s Frames	N	-		i. Piles	.90	N N	1	-
8. Railing	4	S-A	8. C	onn Plt's, Gusse	ets & Angle	s N	-		j. Scour		N 5		S-A
9. Anti Missile Fence	N	-	9 P	in & Hangers		Ν	-		k. Settle	ment	N 5	-	S-P
40. Ducine un Cuestem	N		40			6	M_D		I. Curtai	n Walls	N 5	-	S-P
10. Drainage System			10.1	viasonry Joints				-	m. 2 Pior	s or Bonts			-
11. Lighting Standards	N	-	11.	Rivets & Bolts		N	-			S OF DEIILS		N	
12. Utilities	N	-	12.	Welds		Ν	-		a. Pedes	tals	N N	-	-
13. Deck Joints	N	-	13.	Deformation/Flat	tening	7	-		c. Colun	nns	N N	1	-
14.	N	-	14.	Nember Alignme	nt	7	-		d. Stems	/Webs/Pierwalls	N N	-	-
15.	N	_	15.	Paint/Coating		N	-		f. Footi	ng	N N	1	_
40	N		40	j		NI	_		g. Piles	3	N N		-
16.		_	16.			IN	-		h. Scour	,	N N		-
	N	s	Yea	ar Painted		Ν			i. Settle	ment	N N	-	-
	N	N			1				j.		N N	-	-
(In millimeters)			со	LLISION DAMAGE:	Please exp	olain			K. 3 Pilo	Rents			-
APPROACHES		DEF	No	ne (X) Minor () Moderate	() Se	evere (2 11/2 0			N	
	E	<u></u>	LO		Please ex	olain			b. Piles	αμο	N N	-	-
a. Appr. pavement condition	5	о-г м п	No	ne (X) Minor () Moderate	() Se	evere ()		c. Diago	nal Bracing	N N	1	-
o Appr. Sidowalk Sattlamant		101-17			Diagona	- ,	. /	╡┃	d. Horizo	ontal Bracing	N N	-	-
		-		VIBRATION:	riease exp		, -		J. 1 4310				
d.	N	-		ne (X) Minor () Moderate	() Se	evere ()		UNDERN	IINING (Y/N) If Y	ES please e	explain	Ν
OVERHEAD SIGNS (Attached to bridge)	(Y/N)	N DEF	Any	 Fracture Critica 	l Member:	(Y/N)	Ν		COLLISI None (X	DN DAMAGE: () Minor () M	oderate () Se	vere ()
a. Condition of Welds	N	-							1.0-1			_	. –
b. Condition of Bolts	N	-	I —						I-60 (Dive	Report): N	I-60 (Thi	s Repo	rt): 5
c. Condition of Signs	N	-	Any	Cracks: (Y/N)	Ν				93B-U/	W (DIVE) Insp	00	/00/0	0000
					·								
X=UNKNOWN		N=NC	T AF	PPLICABLE		H=HI	DDEN/I	NA	CCESS	SIBLE	R=	RFM	OVED

PAGE 2 OF 11

CITY/	TOWN	1			B.	I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRU	CTURE	NO.		INSPECTIO	DN DA	ŧΤΕ
UXB	RIDO	θE			6	X5 U-02-002 U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI			RI	MAR 1	I, 20	19		
TTE	CM 61					ITEM 36 TRAFFIC SAFETY				ACCESSIF	BILITY	(Y/N	J/P)	
СНА	NNE	.			5			36	COND	DEF			Needed	Used
CHA	NNE	L & L PROTECTION	v				A. Bridge Railing	0	4	S-A	Lift Bucket		Ν	Ν
•			•				B. Transitions	N	N	-	Ladder		Ν	Ν
			Dive	Cur	DEF	-	C. Approach Guardrail	0	4	S-A	Boat		Ν	N
1.Ch	annel	Scour	Ν	5	S-A		D. Approach Guardrail Ends	0	4	S-A	Waders		Y	Y
2.Em	bankn	ent Erosion	Ν	7	-	V	WEIGHT POSTING	Not Ap	plicable	X	Inspector 50		N	N
3.Del	bris		Ν	5	S-P	_			S2 Sing	le	Rigging		N	N
4.Veç	getatio	n	Ν	7	-		Actual Posting				Traffic Cont	rol	N	N
5.Uti	lities		Ν	Ν	-		Recommended Posting		N N		RR Flagger		N	N
6.Rip	-Rap/S	Slope Protection	Ν	Н	-		Waived Date: 00/00/0000	EJDMT Dat	te: 00/0	00/000	Police		Ν	N
7.Ag	gradat	ion	Ν	7	-		At brid	lge	Other Ac	lvance	Other:			
8.Fer	nder Sy	/stem	Ν	Ν	-		(Y=Yes,N=No,		E				Ν	Ν
						_	NR=NotRequired)				TOTAL H	OURS		8
							CLEARANCE POSTING	<u> </u>	S		PI ANS	(<u>\</u> /N	J) · [
							Not X	in	ft	in meter	1 12/11/10	(1/1	•)• [
STRE	AM FL	OW VELOCITY:					Actual Field Measurement Posted Clearance	0		0	(V.C.R.)	(Y/N):	Ν	
Tidal () High	I () Moderate (X) L	ow () Nor	ne()) At bridge Advance TAPE#:								
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): N ITEM 61	(This	Repo	rt): 5	5 (Y=Yes,N=No, (Y=Pes,N=No, Device Dequired) List of field tests performed:			:					
93b-L	J/W IN	SP. DATE : 00	/00/	0000)	Legibility/ Visibility								
RATI	ING			If YES please give priority:										
Rating	Repor	t (Y/N): N		Recommend for Rating or Rerating (Y/N): N HIGH () MEDIUM () LOW ()										
Date:	(0/00/0000				R	REASON:							
1	nspecti	on data at time of ex	xistin	ig rati	ng									
158: -	• 159	: - 160: - Dai	te : ()	00/00	/0000									
	1						CONDITION R	ATING	GUIDE	(For	tems 58, 59, 60	and 61)		
	CODE	CONDITION					DEFECTS	6						
	N	NOT APPLICABLE												
G	9	EXCELLENT	E	xcellen	t conditio	on.								
G	8	VERY GOOD	N		inor prot	d.								
F	6	SATISFACTORY	s	tructura	al elemer	nts sho	ow some minor deterioration.							
F.	5	FAIR	A	ll prima	ary struct	ural el	lements are sound but may have minor	section loss, o	cracking, sp	alling or scour.				
Р	4	POOR	A	dvance	ed sectior	n loss,	, deterioration, spalling or scour.							
Р	3	SERIOUS	L	oss of s racks ir	section, on steel or	deterio shear	pration, spalling or scour have seriously r cracks in concrete may be present.	affected prima	ary structura	al components.	Local failures are p	ossible. Fatigue		
C	2		A	dvance	d deterio	oration	of primary structural elements. Fatigue	cracks in stee	el or shear o	cracks in concre	te may be present o	or scour may hav	е	
c	1	"IMMINENT" FAILURE	M	lajor de	eterioratio	on or s	support. Onless closely monitored it ma section loss present in critical structural sed to traffic but corrective action may r	components c	y to close the probability of th	ertical or horizor	ital movement affect	ting structure		
	0	FAILED	0	out of se	ervice - b	eyond	d corrective action.							
	1	l					DEFICIENCY RE	PORTIN	IG GU	DE				
DEFI	CIENC	Y: A defect in a stru	ucture	that re	quires co	orrectiv	ve action.							
CATE	GORI	ES OF DEFICIENC	IES:											
M=N	/linor D	eficiency - Deficiencies holes, Minor	which a corrosi	are mino ion of ste	er in nature eel, Minor s	, genera scourine	ally do not impact the structural integrity of the g, Clogged drainage, etc.	oridge and could e	easily be repa	ired. Examples inclu	ude but are not limited t	o: Spalled concrete,	Minor pot	t
S= Se	vere/M	ajor Deficiency - De an	eficienc d corro	ies whic ded reba	h are more ars, Consid	e extens derable	sive in nature and need more planning and effor settlement, Considerable scouring or undermin	t to repair. Examp ing, Moderate to o	ples include bu extensive corr	ut are not limited to: rosion to structural	Moderate to major det steel with measurable le	erioration in concrete oss of section, etc.	e, Expose	:d
C-S=	Critics	l Structural Deficier	ncv -	A defi	ciency in a	a structi	ural element of a bridge that poses an extreme	unsafe condition	due to the fail	ure or imminent fail	ure of the element whic	h will affect the struc	tural integ	grity
С-н=	Critic	al Hazard Deficiency	y -	of the A deficie include b etc.	ency in a co out are not	ompone limited	ent or element of a bridge that poses an extrem to: Loose concrete hanging down over traffic c	e hazard or unsaf r pedestrians, A h	ie condition to hole in a sidev	the public, but does walk that may cause	s not impair the structur injuries to pedestrians	al integrity of the brid , Missing section of	dge. Exar bridge rai	nples ling,
URG	ENCY	OF REPAIR:												
I = Im	mediate	[Inspector(s) immedia	tely co	ntact Dis	strict Bridge	e Inspe	ection Engineer (DBIE) to report the Deficiency	and to receive fur	rther instructio	on from him/her].	Poporti			
$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{r}$	5AP- ioritize-	[Action/Repair should [Shall be prioritized by	De Initi Distric	ateo by l ct Mainte	enance Eng	unienan gineer o	or the Responsible Party (if not a State owned I	oridge) and repair	ge) upon rece s made when	ipi or the inspection funds and/or manpo	wer is available].			
L														

	B.I.N. 6¥5	BR. DEPT. NO. 11-02-002	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
OVENIDOE	073	0-02-002		WAR 1, 2013

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the compass the approaches are North and South and the elevations are East and West. This is a single span stone masonry arch. The brook flows West to East.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing surface

The bituminous concrete wearing surface has heavy longitudinal cracking and minor settlement to the Southbound lane. **See Photo 1.**

Item 58.3 - Spandrel Fill

See Item 58.1.

Item 58.8 - Railing

Both bridge rails consist of steel W beam guardrail with 2 in. diameter concrete filled steel pipe rails and 2x6 timber top rails. Both bridge rails have random post spacing and are leaning outward due to the movement of the spandrel wall capstones (rail bases).

West bridge rail: The South end has 15 ft. of missing top timber rail. **See Photo 2.** The spandrel wall capstones are pushed 6 in. out from the top of the spandrel wall. **See Photo 3.**

East bridge rail: One capstone is pushed 6 in. out from the top of the spandrel wall. See Photo 4.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. pavement condition

Both approach pavements have moderate to heavy longitudinal and transverse cracking, with moderate map cracking and minor settlement in the Southbound lane. The North approach has areas of minor to moderate breakup. **See Photos 1 and 5.**

Approaches b - Appr. Roadway Settlement

See Approaches a.

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.1 - Arch/Arch Ring

The arch ring has been coated with concrete and has hairline map cracking with moderate to heavy efflorescence throughout.

Keystone area: At 4 ft. from the West side there is a 6 in. x 6 in. x 2 in. deep spall and an isolated area of minor active leakage (icicle). **See Photo 6.** At 5 ft. from the East side there is a full length x 1/8 in. wide crack with a 3 ft. long x 18 in. wide x 3 in. deep spall. **See Photo 7.**

Item 59.2 - Keystone Area

See Item 59.1.

Item 59.5 - Spandrel Walls

Both spandrel walls have some areas of missing pointing and missing chinking stones throughout. **See Photos 3 and 4.**

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019

REMARKS

Item 59.10 - Masonry Joints

There are areas of minor missing pointing at both arch sides where the concrete coating is missing.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

The center of the North breastwall has an 8 ft. long x full height area of minor missing pointing with one cracked stone 6 ft. in from the West. **See Photo 8.**

Item 60.1.e - Wingwalls

Northwest wingwall: There is a full height x up to 1 in. wide vertical settlement crack, with up to 2 ft. penetration, at the interface with the breastwall and spandrel wall. **See Photo 9.**

Northeast wingwall: The base has voids up to 30 in. long x 18 in. wide with up to 4 ft. deep penetration. The East end stones are leaning away from the wall. **See Photo 10.**

Item 60.1.g - Pointing See Item 60.1.d.

See item 60.1.d.

Item 60.1.j - Scour See Item 60.1.e.

Item 60.1.k - Settlement See Item 60.1.e.

Item 60.1.I - Curtain Walls

The North curtain wall consists of large stones and concrete and has a 13 ft. long x up to full height x full width area that is missing or deteriorated. **See Photo 11.**

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.1 - Channel Scour See Item 60.1.e.

Item 61.3 - Debris

Approximately 80 ft. downstream there are downed trees and several large rocks directing the flow to the North side of the channel. **See Photo 12.**

Item 61.6 - Rip-Rap/Slope Protection

The rip-rap is hidden by snow cover.

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36a - Bridge Railing See Item 58.8.

Item 36c - Approach Guardrail

The approach guardrails are continuous with the bridge rails. See Item 58.8.

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE		B.I.N. 6X5	BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-002	8STRUCTURE NO. U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	INSPECTION DATE MAR 1, 2019
			REMA	ARKS	
Item 36d - A See Item 36	Approach Guardrai Sc.	<u>l Ends</u>			
Photo Log					
Photo 1 :	Wearing surface a	nd Sou	th approach pave	ement.	
Photo 2 :	West bridge rail.				
Photo 3 :	West spandrel wall	Ι.			
Photo 4 :	East spandrel wall.				
Photo 5 :	North approach pa	vemen	t.		
Photo 6 :	West side of arch.				
Photo 7 :	East side of arch.				
Photo 8 :	North breastwall.				
Photo 9 :	Northwest wingwal	I.			
Photo 10 :	Northeast wingwall	l .			
Photo 11 :	North curtain wall.				
Photo 12 :	Downstream chanr	nel.			

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019
		PHO	TOS	
		The set		
		A STATEMENT		
	A CONTRACTOR			
	Start-		Jun Milling	
	23	and the		12
			Monting Circles	
	Carron B		Wearing Sunace	
1		- han	<u></u>	
	- Ld	Marie .	South Approach	
	March Let	WY TOY		
	Party and the second se	ANT .	State State State	
	Det.	1100		
	and the second	- C Varia		and the second

Photo 1: Wearing surface and South approach pavement.



Photo 2: West bridge rail.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019
		РНО	TOS	
	AV PA	2011		
		AN	111 Martin	
			PALLY MAR	
1	TRAL TO DA AND THE OWNER	and the second s		522
	New Providence	D		
		and the second second		and some
			A PULL	and a state of the
		-		A CONTRACTOR
	1-1-4	The second		~
		and the	A second second	- See
	were a state	Marine -1	a contraction	
			A A A A	
E.	mada a		100000	
	A CAL	7 - P		
	The Const			S. M.
		A Long and		

Photo 3: West spandrel wall.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019
		PHO	TOS	
				None I
	The second secon			
			T ZIU	14
				J.F.
Wea	ring Surface			
	ing canado		and the second	and a second sec
			A State of the second s	
			A STATE	
No	rth Approach	A second second	N HAD TON	
		A CONTRACTOR		
				and the second sec
Carrie			A Maria	
		74	Contraction of the second	
		State of the second	State of the second sec	

Photo 5: North approach pavement.





PAGE	9	OF	11

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019
		РНО	TOS	

Photo 7: East side of arch.







Photo 9: Northwest wingwall.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X5	U-02-002	U02002-6X5-MUN-BRI	MAR 1, 2019
		РНО	TOS	
	MAR STANA	the second second		12
				13
	TOT BEAD	a series	Charles Charles	- Alexandre
		Letter of the	15 JANES	K.
ter.	2002	(1) (1) s	The states of the	1
2	30Th - The	Justice		
2	The state	a part of the second	Provide Providence	No.
1	A BEAG	and the	The Process of	
21	Mar Marine L	and the second	P. Martine	No.
	Nor althere			
18	- Rill	hat and	Service Manual Contraction	1
		Contract of the		and an
10				AC.
	NA DO	Missin	g/Deterioration	
	Weather State			
	the man			
-	1 to		and the second second	

Photo 11: North curtain wall.





Report Date: April 15, 2021	Classification
BDEPT#= U02002 Agency Br.No.	(112) NBIS Bridge Length N
Town= Uxbridge L.O.	(104) Highway System N
B.I.N= 6X5 AASHTO= 02	3.9 (26) Functional Class - Rural Local 09
RANK= 0 H.I.= 100.0 % FHWA Select List= N (6/21/20	17) (100) Defense Highway 0
(8) Structure Number U020026X5MUN	BRI (101) Parallel Structure N
(5) Inventory Route 151000	000(102) Direction of Traffic -2-way traffic2
(2) State Highway Department District	03 (103) Temporary Structure N
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code 71	620 (105) Federal Lands Highways 0
(6) Features Intersected WATER EMERSON BRC	OK (110) Designated National Network N
(7) Facility Carried HWY MILL	ST (20) Toll - On free road 3
(9) Location	(21) Maintain - Town Agency 03
(11) Kilometerpoint 0000.	402 (22) Owner - Town Agency 03
(12) Base Highway Network	N (37) Historical Significance undetermined
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 00000000000	CodeCodeCode
(16) Latitude 42 DEG 02 MIN 46.15 S	EC (50) Deck
(17) Longitude 71 DEG 38 MIN 16.27 S	(60) Substructure 5
(98) Border Bridge State Code Share	(61) Channel & Channel Protection 5
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. # Structure Type and Material	(62) Culverts N
(43) Structure Type Main: Masonry Code (Load Rating and PostingCode
Arch - Deck Jointless bridge type	(31) Design Load - Unknown 0
(44) Structure Type Appr:	(63) Uperating Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS) 2
Other Code ((64) Operating Rating 00.0
(45) Number of spans in main unit	01 (66) Inventory Rating 00 - Allowable Sitess (AS) 2
(46) Number of approach spans 00	00 (70) Bridge Posting 0
(107) Deck Structure Type - Not applicable Code	N (41) Structure - Open A
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:	AppraisalCode
A) Type of wearing surface - Bituminous Code	6 (67) Structural Evaluation 3
B) Type of membrane - None Code	0 (68) Deck Geometry 4
C) Type of deck protection - None Code	0 (69) Underclearances, vert. and horiz. N 0 (71) Waterway adequacy
Age and Service	(71) Waterway adequacy 7 (72) Approach Roadway Alignment 7
(27) Year Built	(12) represent reading / ingrinient (12) (36) Traffic Safety Features 0 N 0 0
(106) Year Reconstructed 00	000 (113) Scour Critical Bridges 6
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway	Inspections
Under - Waterway Code	5 (90) Inspection Date 03/04/21 (91) Frequency 24 MO
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02 Under structure	(4) Erzeture Critical Datail (4) Erzeture Critical Datail (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)
(29) Average Daily Traffic 0002	Mode Mode <th< td=""></th<>
(30) Year of ADT 2015 (109) Truck ADT 00	(C) Other Special Inspection N 00 MO C) 00/00/00
(19) Bypass, detour length 001 I	(M_{M}) (c) other operation () (M_{M}) (M_{M}) (M_{M}) (M_{M}) (M_{M})
(48) Length of maximum span 0003.	M () Other Inspection () N OC MC O(00/00) M (*) Closed Bridge N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(49) Structure Length 00003.	M (*) UW Special Inspection N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 00.0 M Right 00.0	M (*) Damage Inspection MO *) 00/00/00
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 006.	M Rating Loads
(52) Deck Width Out to Out 006.4	M Operating 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders) 006.	M Inventory 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
(33) Bridge Median - No median Code	D Field Posting
(34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structure Flared	N Status Posting Date 00/00/00
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.99	M 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single
(47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 06.	M Actual
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.99	M Recommended
(54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00.00	Misc
(55) Min Lat Underclear KT ret N 00.	Bridge Name
(00) Min Lat Underclear L I 00.	N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge
(38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code	Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable
(111) Pier Protection Code	Accessionity (needea/USed)
(39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000.0	N / N LITTDUCKET N / N Kigging N / N Other
(116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear	M N/N Boat N/N Traffic Control
(116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear (40) Navigation Horizontal Clearance 0000.0	M N / N Boat N / N Traffic Control Inspection M Y / Y Wader N / N RR Flagperson

Elmwood Avenue over Aldrich Brook (Bridge No. N/A)

Priority 13

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Elmwood Avenue is classified as a Local roadway according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of an 18" cast-in-place concrete slab on mortared stone masonry abutments. The structure has an out-to-out width of 21'-4" with a clear span of 9'-5". The hydraulic opening of the structure is approximately 8'-9" high by 9'-5" wide. Flow was 18" deep at the time of inspection and flowing southward. Depth of fill over the structure is approximately 20".

The roadway width over the structure is 14'-7" and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. There are no sidewalks on either side of the roadway. A residential driveway is located directly adjacent to the bridge at the southwest approach. The bridge railing consists of a chain link fence on both sides with no approach guardrail.

Overhead wires run along the south fascia of the bridge.

There are currently no posted signs in the vicinity of the bridge.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of this structure is fair with some deficiencies noted.

The concrete slab is in fair condition with a few minor problems. Transverse cracking on the underside of the slab is present and appears to be sealed and/or repaired. There are also several concrete blocks recessed into the underside of slab (Photo 3). These blocks are likely construction related, used as form supports or steel reinforcing spacers. The blocks are not considered a deficiency but do allow water/moisture to penetrate the slab.

The abutments are also in fair condition with a few minor problems. Both abutments exhibit missing pointing and chinking stones at the waterline. There are areas of missing mortar and voids typically throughout. A full height vertical crack was recorded at the east abutment face (Photo 10). Additionally, there is cracking and slight displacement at the west abutment around the north corner (Photo 9) There is also a large void at the north end of the east abutment, which extends 33" into the wall. Similarly, a void at the south end of the west abutment was recorded and measured 41" deep (Photo 11).

Two stone masonry wingwalls on the south side, although heavily covered in vegetation, are in fair condition with some missing pointing and chinking stones. There is a void at the coping above the southwest wingwall measuring approximately 45" long x 16" high x 12" deep (Photo 13). Two stone masonry wingwalls on the north side are also covered with heavy vegetation. The northeast wall is in fair condition with several large voids and

a tree growing out of it (Photo 6). The northwest wall is in poor condition as it is partially collapsing and displacing the northwest abutment corner (Photos 4 and 5). There is a lack of mortar here with several large voids.

The roadway is in fair condition with some minor notes. There is some washout near the southwest corner, near the coping void. There is also some settlement at the northwest corner near the collapsing wall. The chain-link fence bridge rail is wobbly and not crash tested. Additionally, there is collision damage to the fence post at the southeast corner (Photo 15). There is a steep embankment and the lack of approach guardrail makes this a safety hazard.

RECOMMENDATIONS

This structure is in fair condition with several deficiencies noted. BETA recommends that the following repairs are completed to extend the structures anticipated service life:

- Rebuild the northwest masonry wingwall to prevent further erosion of the embankment and deterioration of the roadway surface. Remove all vegetation from the rest of existing wingwalls. Additionally, fill any remaining voids and repoint as required.
- Repair all spalls and cracks to the concrete slab. Also, finish and smooth all recessed block locations at the slab underside.
- Fill all voids, repair all cracks, and repoint the existing stone masonry abutments.
- Place proper signage such as "BE PREPARED TO STOP," as the roadway width over the structure is not adequate to carry two lanes of traffic. The roadway centerlines should be striped accordingly. All signage and striping should be fabricated and placed in accordance with current MUTCD standards.
- BETA also recommends the addition of crash tested guardrail at all approaches and over the structure.

Conduct bi-annual inspections to monitor overall bridge conditions.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$85,000
Engineering:	<u>\$25,000</u>
Total:	\$110,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos





701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225 BRIDGE AND CULVERT EVALUATION UXBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

ELMWOOD AVENUE OVER ALDRICH BROOK

SCALE: 1" = 500'



Photo 1 Looking South: North Bridge Elevation



Photo 2 Looking North: South Bridge Elevation

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Elmwood Avenue over Aldrich Brook



Photo 3 Looking North: Roof



Photo 4 Looking Southwest: Northwest Wingwall


Photo 5 Looking Southeast: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 6 Looking South: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking North: Southwest Wingwall



Photo 8 Looking North: Southeast Wingwall

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Elmwood Avenue over Aldrich Brook

June, 2021 Page 5



Photo 9 Looking South: Cracking at Northwest Abutment Corner



Photo 10 Looking East: East Abutment Cracking on Face



Photo 11 Looking West: Void at West Abutment



Photo 12 Looking West: Debris in Brook

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Elmwood Avenue over Aldrich Brook



Photo 13 Looking North: Large Void on Parapet near Southwest Wingwall



Photo 14 Looking East: West Approach

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Elmwood Avenue over Aldrich Brook June, 2021 Page 8



Photo 15 Looking South: Collision Damage at Southeast Fence

Hazel Street over Cold Spring Brook (Bridge No. N/A)

Priority 14

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Hazel Street is classified as a Local roadway according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a mortared stone masonry arch with dry-laid stone abutments and wingwalls. The date of construction for this structure is unknown. The structure has an out-to-out width of 25'-0" and a clear span of 10'-0". The hydraulic opening of the arch structure is approximately 4'-10" high by 10'-0" wide. The flow was 18" deep at the time of inspection and flowing northward. The depth of fill over the structure is 29".

The roadway width over the structure is approximately 19'-0" and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. There are no sidewalks on either side. A sharp horizontal curve and blind intersection with Cross Road is located at the east approach. The west approach roadway consists of a wider horizontal curve with residential driveways.

Overhead wires run along the north side of the roadway. There is also a drainage outfall in the waterway embankment northeast of the structure (Photo 10).

The bridge railing consists of timber rails mounted to metal posts. There is no approach guardrail.

There is a "Speed Limit 30" sign posted at the east approach.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is fair with several deficiencies noted.

The stone arch underside was found to exhibit moderately size voids missing chinking stones. Approximately 50% of the arch's underside is covered with a mortar patching repair (Photos 5 and 6). The patching shows several random spalls, cracking, and efflorescence throughout. A few large spalled areas were also observed.

The abutments are also in fair condition but do show some minor problems. The abutment walls typically exhibit random voids between stones, especially at the water line (Photo 7). Missing chinking stones are also typical and both abutments show minor crumbling at the north opening. The culvert floor has random large boulders at both entrances.

The north spandrel wall shows heavy mortar deterioration with small voids and loss of chinking stones. There is also heavy vegetation growth at this location. The south spandrel wall also shows small voids and missing chinking stones with a few cracked stones This wall is also crumbling at the wall base on the east end.

The stone training walls are generally in fair condition with the exception of the southwest wall. The northeast, northwest, and southeast walls are mortared stone and support the adjacent roadway. There is cracking at the northeast stone wall (Photo 9). These three walls all typically exhibit deterioration of existing mortar joints, random voids, missing chinking stones, and heavy vegetation growth. The southwest dry-laid stone training wall, which follows Cold Spring Brook, has several large voids. Lateral displacement of this wall was also found and indicates lack of stability.

The roadway condition is in good condition with very minor cracking. Although the roadway is fairly narrow, very high speeds were observed despite a lack of visibility at both approaches. Although the wooden bridge rail is in fair condition, it not a crash tested system. The railings' makeup and lack of approach guardrail makes this a safety hazard.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Due to the structure's apparent age and condition, BETA recommends a complete replacement of this structure as a long-term solution. Alternatively, it may be feasible to rehabilitate the structure by slip-lining with a corrugated metal pipe/arch. If slip-lining is found to be technically feasible, this alternative could provide significant cost savings while minimizing construction impacts to traffic and neighboring residents. In either the case of replacement and/or rehabilitation, a new MassDOT approved bridge railing will be required. In addition, approach guardrail is recommended with the appropriate transitions.

The following recommendations should be implemented in the interim until a culvert replacement/rehabilitation can be scheduled:

- Fill all voids at the outside face, abutments, and wingwalls with chinking stones.
- Repoint all masonry joints as required to the arch, abutments, outside face, and wingwalls.
- Repair the east and west abutment walls at the north opening where partial collapse is present.
- Repoint the northeast, northwest, and southeast training walls. All voids noted in the walls should be filled to prevent further erosion of the embankment and loss of roadway fines. The southwest training also should be stabilized.
- Signage in accordance current MUTCD standards is also recommended at the west approach indicating a sharp curve, narrow roadway, blind intersection, and/or reduced speed.

Annual inspections should be conducted to monitor overall bridge conditions including abutment walls and movement of the southwest stone masonry training wall. Inspections should also be conducted during and following extreme flood events to ensure that the training walls and abutments are performing adequately and that there are no flow obstructions in the channel.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Full Replacement:

\$505,000
<u>\$130,000</u>
\$290,000

Rehabilitation via Slip-Lining:

Construction:	\$125,000
Engineering:	<u>\$35,000</u>
Total:	\$160,000
Interim Repairs	
Construction:	\$19,000

Construction:	\$19,000
Engineering:	<u>\$5,000</u>
Total:	\$24,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos



www.BETA-Inc.com 701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225

SCALE: 1" = 500'

OVER COLD SPRING BROOK



Photo 1 Looking North: South Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking South: North Culvert Elevation



Photo 3 Looking Southeast: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 4 Looking Southwest: Northwest Wingwall





Photo 5 Looking Northeast: Culvert Roof



Photo 6 Looking Northwest: Culvert Roof



Photo 7 Looking East: Voids at the Water Line



Photo 8 Looking South: Cracks and Voids on North Face



Photo 9 Looking East: Cracking at Northeast Wingwall Connection



Photo 10 Looking East: Drainage Pipe



Photo 11 Looking West: East Approach



Photo 12 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 13 Looking South: South Rail



Photo 14 Looking North: North Rail

Laurel Street over Laurel Brook (Bridge No. N/A)

Priority 15

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Laurel Street is classified as a Local roadway according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a mortared stone masonry arch with stone masonry abutments. The structure has an out-to-out width of 20'-6" and a clear span of 12'-0". The hydraulic opening of the arch is approximately 6'-5" high by 12'-0" wide. The flow was 1.3' deep at the west side and 1.7' at the east side while flowing eastward.

The roadway width over the structure, measured from safety barrier to safety barrier, is approximately 16'-6" with no sidewalks and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. There is a sharp horizontal and vertical curve at the south approach. The bridge railing varies. The east railing consists of approximately a 12' length of timber bridge rail mounted to metal posts. Placed in front of the timber rail is a standard highway guardrail that runs the length of the bridge. This guardrail is mounted to timber posts at each end with a single Jersey barrier at the south approach acting as a transition. The west bridge rail consists of a standard highway guardrail mounted to timber posts. There is also a Jersey barrier that runs the length of the bridge in front of the metal guardrail. The only approach guard rail on the west side is a timber railing at the north approach.

Overhead wires run along the east side of the roadway. Also, there is a paved waterway at the southwest edge of pavement.

The only sign posted reads "Narrow Bridge" and is located at the south approach.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the bridge is fair with a few problems noted.

The stone arch itself is in good shape. There are a number of areas of concrete repair on the underside of the arch. The arch underside typically shows cracking, failure of the patching, efflorescence, and some water leakage which is worse on the east side (Photo 3 and 4). The capstones above the arch show cracking and rust stains typically throughout.

The stone abutments were found to be in fair condition. Several areas of missing mortar and chinking stones were noted to be concentrated at the waterline. There are also typically voids present along the water line which is 2' deep at the southwest corner (Photo 14).

Stone training walls line the waterway while stone wingwalls support the roadway. These walls are in fair condition but typically exhibit several areas of missing mortar and small voids. The southeast wingwall also has heavy vegetation growing over it. The most

notable wall deficiency is bulging and/or lateral displacement of the northeast wingwall; this wall is experiencing stability failure (Photos 6-8). The wall movement at this location appears to be affecting the northeast face of arch.

Minor amounts of debris and wheel line rutting were typically found in the roadway. There is an area of washout of the wearing surface over the arch at the northeast corner near the northeast wingwall. The south approach alignment consists of a sharp horizontal and vertical curve resulting in minimal sight distance approaching the structure. The guardrail on the east side of the roadway is in fair condition with slight damage and displacement. However, the guardrail on the west side of the roadway is in poor condition with extensive damage. A Jersey barrier has been placed along the length of the roadway to protect this damaged guardrail and has resulted in a significant reduction in roadway width. The reduction in width coupled with poor sight distance at the south approach has created a safety hazard.

RECOMMENDATIONS

This structure is in fair condition with several deficiencies noted. BETA recommends that the following repairs be completed to extend the structure's anticipated service life:

- Replace northeast wingwall with a new masonry wall or a concrete wall to prevent further damage to the roadway above.
- Replace all missing and/or loose chinking stones in the stone arch, abutments, training walls, and wingwalls.
- Repoint all joints to the stone arch, abutments, training walls, and wingwalls.
- Fill all voids noted at the stone wingwalls to prevent erosion of the embankment and loss of roadway fines.

Roadway width over the culvert is not adequate to carry two lanes of traffic. Additional "BE PREPARED TO STOP" approach signs should be added. The roadway centerlines should be striped accordingly. All signage and striping should be fabricated and placed in accordance with current MUTCD standards. Signage in accordance current MUTCD standards is also recommended at the south approach indicating a sharp curve and/or reduced speed. BETA also recommends the installation of new guardrail at all approaches and over the structure.

The clear span of this structure is greater than 10'-0". The Town should contact MassDOT to have a bridge number assigned to this structure and begin a bi-annual inspection program.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$70,000
Engineering:	<u>\$20,000</u>
Total:	\$90,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos





www.BETA-Inc.com 701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225

BRIDGE AND CULVERT EVALUATION UXBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

LAUREL STREET OVER LAUREL BROOK

SCALE: 1" = 500'



Photo 1 Looking West: East Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking East: West Culvert Elevation



Photo 3 Looking Northwest: North Abutment



Photo 4 Looking Southwest: South Abutment



Photo 5 Looking West: Culvert Roof



Photo 6 Looking Southwest: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking South: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 8 Looking North: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 9 Looking West: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 10 Looking North: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 11 Looking East: Southwest Wingwall



Photo 12 Looking South: Southwest Wingwall
Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Laurel Street over Laurel Brook



Photo 13 Looking East: Cracked Mortar at Southwest Arch Corner



Photo 14 Looking East: Southwest Corner Void

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Laurel Street over Laurel Brook



Photo 15 Looking South: North Approach



Photo 16 Looking North: South Approach

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Laurel Street over Laurel Brook



Photo 17 Looking Northwest: West Barrier



Photo 18 Looking East: East Barrier

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Hartford Avenue East over Mumford Tail Race (Bridge No. N/A)

Priority 16

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Hartford Avenue East is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a concrete box culvert with dry laid stone masonry training walls. The structure has an out-to-out width of 52'-0" with a clear span of 8'-0". The rectangular hydraulic opening is 4'-0" high by 8'-0" wide. The flow was moving in a southward direction, and the depth was not measured.

The roadway width over the structure is 30'-6" with 5'-0" sidewalks on both sides. The pavement consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. Residential and commercial driveways are located directly adjacent to the structure on both sides of the roadway in the east approach.

Directly adjacent to the structure, the Hartford Avenue East over the Mumford River Bridge carries several utilities. No utilities were found to cross this culvert, but the adjacent structure indicates the presence of a sewer and water line. Overhead wires also run along the south side of the roadway. Additionally, there is a drain line that runs along the roadway. Catch basins are present in the gutter line near the structure and a drainage outfall is located in the northwest training wall.

The guardrail over the culvert consists of a standard highway guardrail with a chain link fence attached. On the north side of the roadway, the guardrail is mounted to the culvert's concrete headwall. Alternatively, the guardrail posts are driven into the ground on the south side of the roadway. Approach guardrail is present at the east approach. At the west approach, there is approach guardrail on the north side only.

There are "6 Ton Limit Ahead", "Road Narrows", and "Speed Limit 30" at both approaches. All signs are for the Hartford Avenue East over Canal crossing located approximately 400' east.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is good with few minor deficiencies noted.

The concrete box culvert is in good condition but does exhibit minor scaling of the sidewalls, minor efflorescence at the culvert joints, and minor scaling on the north face of the headwall. There are also areas of rust staining on the culvert roof.

The training walls are in fair-to-poor condition. The walls are made up of dry-laid stone masonry. Loose chinking stones and medium-sized voids are random but typical on all wall location. The northwest wall is un-mortared and experiences some shifting (Photo 6). The southwest training wall is experiencing stability failure (Photo 10). Approximately 15'

of the wall shows horizontal displacement into the channel. There is also a large amount of debris at the upstream opening of the culvert (Photo 13).

The roadway over the culvert and at the approaches are newly paved and are good condition. The north sidewalk is completely undermined in an area near the northwest wingwall (Photos 19 and 20). The SS guardrail is in good condition other than minor collision damage at the northeast corner. The alignment of the roadway is straight with clear visibility.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of the structure is fair-to-good. BETA recommends that the following items be addressed:

- Fill in void under the northwest corner of the sidewalk with control density fill in order to prevent deterioration of sidewalk.
- Fill all voids to the adjacent training walls to prevent loss of roadway fines.
- Remove debris from the upstream culvert opening.
- Investigate possible stability and repair measures for the southwest training wall. It is possible the wall is on private property.

Conduct bi-annual inspections to monitor overall bridge conditions.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$25,000
Engineering:	<u>\$10,000</u>
Total:	\$35,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





www.BETA-Inc.com 701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225 BRIDGE AND CULVERT EVALUATION UXBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

HARTFORD AVENUE EAST OVER MUMFORD TAIL RACE

SCALE: 1" = 500'

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Photo 1 Looking North: South Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking South: North Culvert Elevation



Photo 3 Looking North: Through Culvert



Photo 4 Looking South: Through Culvert



Photo 5 Looking South: Culvert Roof



Photo 6 Looking West: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking West: Northwest Training Wall



Photo 8 Looking East: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 9 Looking North: Northeast Training Wall



Photo 10 Looking Southwest: Southwest Training Wall



Photo 11 Looking Northeast: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 12 Looking Southeast: Southeast Training Wall



Photo 13 Looking South: Debris in River



Photo 14 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 15 Looking West: East Approach



Photo 16 Looking North: North Barrier



Photo 17 Looking South: South Barrier



Photo 18 Looking West: North Sidewalk



Photo 19 Looking South: Undermining of North Sidewalk



Photo 20 Looking North: Undermining of North Sidewalk

Rivulet Street over Rivulet Brook (Bridge No. N/A)

Priority 17

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Rivulet Street is classified as an Urban Collector according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This culvert consists of several separate structures, each of different construction types, adjacent to one another. The culvert's inlet is located at Taft Pond west of Rivulet Street and extends under Foam Concepts at 44 Rivulet Street. Two structures are located within the public right-of-way. For the purpose of this inspection, only the two structures within the right-of-way were inspected. Here, the east structure will be referred to as structure 1, and the west structure will be called structure 2.

Structure 1 consists of a 3-sided concrete box culvert. It has a width of 23'-0" with a clear span of 15'-0". The hydraulic opening of the structure is 7'-2" high by 15'-0" wide. The depth of flow in structure 1 was recorded at 10" at the time of inspection.

Structure 2 consists of a mortared stone masonry arch. It has a width of 41'-0" before reaching the third structure within the property of Foam Concepts. The arch has a clear span of 15'-6". The hydraulic opening of the structure is 6'-6" high by 15'-6" wide. The depth of flow at the time of inspection was approximately 12" and flowing east.

The roadway width over both structures is 42'-1" with a 5'-6" sidewalk on the east side of the roadway. The roadway consists of a bituminous surface course.

Overhead wires run along the east side of the roadway. Also, there are 2- 12" diameter pipes spanning the abutment walls that appear to be damming the flow of the stream.

The guardrail consists of only concrete posts spaced approximately 5' apart with nothing connecting them.

There were no signs noted at the approaches.

FINDINGS

Both structures are overall in fair condition with a few problems noted.

The concrete roof of structure 1 shows random spots of efflorescence and moisture, but otherwise is in good condition (Photo 2). The concrete abutment walls both show moderate scaling up to the high waterline and minor honeycombing throughout. There is also deterioration at the utility pipe penetrations measuring about 9" deep (Photo 12). Both concrete wingwalls are in good condition with random cracking and heavy vegetation leading to the training walls. Both stone training walls around the brook have collapsed (Photos 5 and 7).

The stone arch underside of structure 2 shows random voids and some loss of pointing but is otherwise in fair condition (Photo 9). There are voids up to 2' deep present at the entrance from structure 1. The stone abutment walls show some loss of mortar and chinking stones with several voids along the water line up to 3' deep (Photo 14). The arch ring at the west end of structure 2 has areas of missing stones (Photos 16).

There is a large amount of debris on the channel floor, and the existing utility pipes appear to be damming stream flow. No scour was detected.

The roadway over the structure is in good condition with minor cracking. The bridge rail only consists of concrete posts on the east side. This poses a safety hazard due to the presence of a 15' vertical drop that cannot be seen from the roadway. Structure 2 is visible from the parking lot to the west side (Photo 20).

RECOMMENDATIONS

This structure is in fair-to-good condition with few problems noted. BETA recommends that the following repairs be completed to extend the structures anticipated service life:

- Replace all missing and/or loose chinking stones in the stone arch and abutments of structure 2.
- Repoint all masonry joints to the stone arch and abutments of structure 2.
- Repair concrete at pipe penetrations of structure 1 side walls.
- Remove all debris from waterway and protect utilities from future channel debris.
- Install MassDOT approved guardrail over structure on the east side of the roadway.

The clear span of this structure is greater than 10'-0". The Town should contact MassDOT to have a bridge number assigned to this structure and begin a bi-annual inspection program.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$110,000
Engineering:	<u>\$30,000</u>
Total:	\$140,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





www.BETA-Inc.com 701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225 BRIDGE AND CULVERT EVALUATION UXBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

RIVULET STREET OVER RIVULET BROOK

SCALE: 1" = 500'

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Photo 1 Looking West: East Elevation of Structure 1



Photo 2 Looking Northwest: North Abutment of Structure 1



Photo 3 Southwest: South Abutment of Structure 1



Photo 4 Looking North: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 5 Looking Northeast: Northeast Training Wall



Photo 6 Looking Southwest: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking South: Southeast Training Wall



Photo 8 Looking West: Elevation of Structure 2





Photo 9 Looking West: Structure 2 Roof



Photo 10 Looking Northwest: North Abutment Wall of Structure 2



Photo 11 Looking Southeast: South Abutment Wall of Structure 2



Photo 12 Looking North: Pipe Running Across Structure 1



Photo 13 Looking Up: Catch Basin Present in Structure 2



Photo 14 Looking South: Voids at Water Line on Structure 2



Photo 15 Looking South: Effloresce at Southwest End of Structure 2



Photo 16 Looking West: Voids at West Arch Ring of Structure 2



Photo 17 Looking South: North Approach



Photo 18 Looking North: South Approach



Photo 19 Looking South: Concrete Posts on East Side



Photo 20 Looking North: Structure 2 Visible in Parking Lot on the West
Hartford Avenue East over the Blackstone River (Bridge No. U-02-018)

Priority 18

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The current NBI Structure Inventory and Appraisal shows an AASHTO Sufficiency Rating of 77.1.

A bridge rating report dated September 1, 2012 was provided by MassDOT. The report notes that no posting is required because the bridge capacity is satisfactory.

MassDOT has most recently completed a routine arch inspection dated October 9, 2020.

Hartford Avenue is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a mortared stone masonry arch. Original bridge construction is dated circa 1900. The structure was then rebuilt in 1960. There is a 7' high dam located directly upstream of the structure and a concrete spillway through the arch. The structure has an out-to-out width of 24'-0" with a clear span of 39'-0". The hydraulic opening of structure is approximately 11'-0" high by 39'-0" wide. The river was flowing southward rapidly and no depth was recorded. The depth of fill over the bridge is approximately 9'-0".

The bridge carries a roadway 20'-0" wide with no sidewalks on either side and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. Both approaches are straight with a posted 30 mph speed limit.

Overhead wires run along the north fascia of the bridge. Hydraulic and drainage structures include the dam noted above and rip-rap drainage swales at the southeast and southwest approaches.

The bridge railing consists of concrete posts and railings. The bridge rail across the structure is transitioned to standard SS highway guardrail at both approaches.

The only posted sign noted reads "Watch for Pedestrians" and is located approximately 250' west of the bridge.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is good with minor deficiencies noted.

The underside of the arch was found to be in fair condition with a few areas of missing mortar with minor to moderate efflorescence (Photo 3). The arch ring has some abrasion and water staining at the water line (Photo 11).

The abutments were also found to be in good condition with some minor problems. All wingwall and abutment faces exhibit random areas of missing mortar and chinking

stones. A full height vertical crack approximately ½" wide was found at the southwest wingwall (Photo 12). Also, the southeast wingwall has a large void with some missing stones (Photo 13). The north wingwalls typically have voids along the water line. The concrete parapets typically exhibit areas of minor scaling. A spall with exposed reinforcement was also recorded at the southeast corner of the south parapet (Photo 10). The southwest embankment is partially eroded (Photo 7).

The approach roadway is in fair condition with longitudinal cracking, while the roadway over the culvert is in slightly worse condition with cracking and patches. The concrete bridge rail randomly exhibits areas of minor scaling and spalling with exposed reinforcement, primarily at the post bases (Photo 18). There is also hairline cracking with rust in the post bases and minor collision damage at the northwest transition.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of the structure is good. BETA recommends that the following items be addressed:

- Replace all missing and/or loose chinking stones in the stone arch, wingwalls, and abutments.
- Repoint all masonry joints as required to the stone arch, wingwalls, and abutments.
- Repair any cracked stones in the stone arch, wingwalls, and abutments.
- Repair all concrete deficiencies to concrete bridge railing and parapet.
- Address erosion of the southwest embankment.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$85,000
Engineering:	<u>\$25,000</u>
Total:	\$110,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Arch Inspection Report Dated October 9, 2020

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021





Photo 1 Looking Northeast: South Bridge Elevation



Photo 2 Looking Southwest: North Bridge Elevation



Photo 3 Looking Northeast: Underside of Arch



Photo 4 Looking West: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 5 Looking East: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 6 Looking North: Southwest Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking South: Northwest: Southwest Embankment



Photo 8 Looking East: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 9 Looking Southeast: Southeast Riprap



Photo 10 Looking North: South Parapet Spall



Photo 11 Looking Northeast: Southwest Arch Corner



Photo 12 Looking Northwest: Southwest Wingwall Crack



Photo 13 Looking North: Southeast Wingwall Voids



Photo 14 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 15 Looking West: East Approach



Photo 16 Looking South: South Barrier



Photo 17 Looking North: North Barrier



Photo 18 Looking North: North Barrier Deterioration

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 9

STRUCTURES INSPECTION FIELD RI	EPORT
ROUTINE ARCH INSPECTION	

BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-018

03 1DL			RO	UTINE A	RCH INS	SPEC	TION				ι	J-02	-01	8
CITY/TOWN			8STRUC	TURE NO.			11-	Kilo.	POINT	41-STATUS	90-R0	DUTIN	IE INS	P. DATE
UXBRIDGE			U U	02018-1[DL-MUN	-NBI		001	.996	A:OPEN	0	ост	9,	2020
07-FACILITY CARRIED			ME	MORIAL NAM	E/LOCAL NA	ME		27-YF	R BUILT	106-YR REBUILT	YR R	EHAB	'D (N	ON 106)
HWY HARTFORD	AVE							1	900	1960		0	000)
06-FEATURES INTERSECTED			26-I	FUNCTIONAL	CLASS		DIST. BRI	DGE	INSPECTI	ON ENGINEER M .	Azizi			
WATER BLACKST	ONE	RIVER	Ur	ban Minor	Arterial									
43-STRUCTURE TYPE	_		22-0 To	OWNER WN	21-MAINTA Town	INER	TEAM LE	ADEI	R D. Sim	khovich				
811 : Masonry Arc	n - De	eck	Ag	ency	Agency									
N : Not applicable			C	lear	16°	c	T. TO	LO	CZKO					
		1	TTEM	50					TTEM	60	_			
	Ν			59		7		1		00	· ·	7		
DECK		DEF		STRUCTU	RE	1	DEF	_	SUBSTI	RUCTURE	<u> </u>			DEF
1. Wearing Surface	5	S-P	1. Arch/A	rch Ring		7	M-P		1. Abu	tments	Dive	Cur	7	
2. Deck Condition	N	-	2. Keysto	one Area		7			a. Pedest	tais Seats	N N	N N		-
3. Spandrel Fill	6	M-P	3. Stringe	ers		Ν	-		c. Backw	alls	N	N		-
4. Curbs	6	M-P	4. Floorbeams N -			-		d. Breast	walls	N	7		M-P	
5. Median	N	-	5. Spandrel Walls 7 M-F			M-P		e. wingw f. Slope	alis Paving/Rip-Rap	N	7 N		-	
6. Sidewalks	N	-	6. Spring Lines 7 M-I			M-P		g. Pointir	ng	Ν	6		M-P	
7. Parapets	N	-	7. Diaphragms/Cross Frames N			-		h. Footin	gs	N	H		-	
8. Railing	6	M-P	8. Conn Pit's, Gussets & Angles N			j. Scour		N	Н		-			
9. Anti Missile Fence	N	-	9. Pin & I	langers	<u> </u>	Ν	-		k. Settler	nent	Ν	7		-
10. Drainage System	N	-	10.Maso	nrv Joints		7	M-P		I. Erosio m.	n	N	_7 N		-
11. Lighting Standards	N	-	11.Rivets	s & Bolts		N	-		2. Piers	s or Bents	1		Ν	
12. Utilities	N	-	12.Welds	•		N	-		a. Pedesi	tals	N	N		-
13. Deck Joints	N	_	13 Defor	nation/Flatte	enina	7	-		b. Caps	ns	N	N N		-
14	N		14 Momh	or Alignmor		7			d. Stems	/Webs/Pierwalls	N	N		-
15						/ N		_	e. Pointir	ıg	N	N		-
15.	N	-	15.Paint/	Coating					f. Footin g. Piles	g	N	N N		-
16.	IN	-	16.			N	-		h. Scour		Ν	Ν		-
	N .	S	Year Pai	nted		N			i. Settler	ment	N	N		-
CURB REVEAL (In millimeters) 2	10	260			Please expl	lain			j. k.		N	N		-
			None (X) Minor ()	Moderate () Se	vere ()		3. Pile	Bents			Ν	
APPROACHES		DEF				,	. /		a. Pile Ca	ips	N	N		-
a. Appr. Pavement Condition	6	M-P			Please exp	iain			b. Piles c. Diagor	nal Bracing	N	N N		-
b. Appr. Roadway Settlement	7	-) iviinor ()	ivioderate () Se	vere ()		d. Horizo	ntal Bracing	Ν	Ν		-
c. Appr. Sidewalk Settlement	N	-	LOAD VIB	RATION:	Please exp	lain			e. Fasten	iers	Ν	N		-
d	N	-	None (X) Minor ()	Moderate () Se	vere ()		UNDERM	INING (Y/N) If Y	ES ple	ase ex	xplain	N
OVERHEAD SIGNS (Attached to bridge)	(Y/N)	N DEF	Any Frac	ture Critical	Member:	(Y/N)	Ν		COLLISIC None (X	DN DAMAGE:) Minor () M	oderat	e () Sev	vere ()
a. Condition of Welds	N	-							1.00 /01			o (** ·	D	
b. Condition of Bolts	N	-							ו-טט (Dive F	Report): N	1-6	u (This	керо	ту: /
c. Condition of Signs	Ν	-	Any Crac	:ks: (Y/N)	Ν				93B-U/V	V (DIVE) Insp		00/	00/0	000
		N-NC				LI_LIIF		NIA	00500			D_F		

2-DIST

B.I.N.

PAGE 2 OF 9

CITY/	TOW	J			BI	N	BR DEPT NO	8 -STRI	ICTURE	ENO		INSPECTIC		ATE
		, F			10	1DL U-02-018 U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI OCT 9, 202					20			
								00201					, 2 0	20
ITH	EM 61				7		TRAFFIC SA	36 AFETY	COND	DEF	ACCESSIE	SILITY	(Y/r	N/P)
CHA	NNE					A. E	Bridge Railing	0	6	M-P	Lift Ducket	1	Needed	Used
СНА	CHANNEL PROTECTION				В. 1	Transitions	0	6	M-P	Ladder		N	N	
			Dive	Cur	DEF	C. /	Approach Guardrail	1	7	-	Boat		N	N
1.Ch	annel	Scour	Ν	н	-	D. /	Approach Guardrail Ends	1	7	-	Waders		Р	Y
2.Em	bankn	nent Erosion	N	7	-	WE	IGHT POSTING	Not Ar	oplicable	e Y	Inspector 50		Р	Ν
3.De	bris		N	7	-			I	3S2 Sin	gle	Rigging		Ν	Ν
4.Ve	aetatio	n	N	7	-	Act	tual Posting	N	NN	N	Staging		Ν	Ν
5 Uti	lities		N	N	_	Red	commended Posting	J N	NN	J	Traffic Cont	ol	Ν	Ν
6 Rin	-Ran/9	Slone Protection	N	7		Wei				/00/0000	RR Flagger		N	N
7 4 9	arodot	ion	N	0		VVal	At brid		Other A		Police		Р	N
7.Ag	grauat			0	-	Sig	ns In Place	W	E	W	Other:			
8.Fei	naer S	ystem	N	N	-	(Y=` NR=	Yes,N=No, =NotRequired)						N	N
						Leg	jibility/				TOTAL H	OURS		8
									<u> </u>					
							$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} $	in	ft	in meter	PLANS	(Y/N	I):	Y
OTD					Actu	ual Field Measurement	0		0		0.400			
$\frac{SIREAM FLOW VELOCITY:}{Idel() High() Moderate (X) Low() None ()$				Pos	ted Clearance	0		0	(V.C.K.)	(Y/N):	Ν			
Tiuai () Higi		.0w () 1101	ie()	At bridge Advance TAPE#:								
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): N ITEM 6	1 (This	Repo	rt): 7	Signs In Place N S (Y=Yes,N=No, Image: Signs In Place Image: Signs In Place								
				0000		NR=	=Not Required)		\vdash		List of neid tes	as periorinea.		
93b-0	93b-U/W INSP. DATE: 00/00/0000 Legibility/ Visibility													
RATI	ING									If YE	S please give pr	iority:		
Rating	g Repor	t (Y/N): Y				Reco	ommend for Rating or Re	ating (Y/N	N): N	I HIG	H() MEDIUM	() LOW ()	
Date:	(09/01/2012				REA	SON:							
I	nspect	ion data at time of e	xistir	ng rati	ng									
I 58: •	· 159	: 7 I60: 7 Da	te : 1	0/20	/2010									
						1	CONDITION R	ATING	GUID	(For	Items 58 59 60	and 61)		
	CODE	CONDITION					DEFECT				110113 30, 33, 00			
	N	NOT APPLICABLE						·						
G	9	EXCELLENT	E	xcellen	t condition.									
G	8	VERY GOOD	N	lo probl	lem noted.									
G	7	GOOD	s	ome m	inor proble	ms.								
F	6	SATISFACTORY	S	structura	al elements	show s	ome minor deterioration.							
F	5	FAIR	A	ll prima	ary structura	al eleme	ents are sound but may have minor	section loss,	cracking, s	spalling or scour.				
P	4	POOR	A	dvance	ed section lo	oss, dete	erioration, spalling or scour.	affected prim	arv etructu	ral componente	Local failures are n	ossible Fatique		
Р	3	SERIOUS	C	racks in	n steel or sh	near cra	cks in concrete may be present.		ary sudciu	ar components.		oosibie. i aliyue		
с	2	CRITICAL	A re	dvance	ed deteriora substructu	tion of p ire supp	rimary structural elements. Fatigue ort. Unless closely monitored it ma	cracks in ste y be necessa	el or shear ry to close	r cracks in concre the bridge until c	te may be present or prrective action is ta	or scour may have	Ð	
с	1	"IMMINENT" FAILURE	N	lajor de	eterioration	or section	on loss present in critical structural	components	or obvious	vertical or horizor	ntal movement affect	ting structure		
			S		. Driuge IS	ciusea t	o name our corrective action may	NUL IL DACK IN II	igin service					
	0	FAILED	0	Jut of se	ervice - bey	ond corr	ective action.							
DEE	015110	V. A J-4-		4h - 1			DEFICIENCY RE	PORTIN	NG GL	JIDE]
DEFI		Y: A defect in a str		that re	quires corre	ective ac	ction.							
	EGORI Ainon T	ES OF DEFICIENC	which	; are mino	or in nature, ge	enerally do	o not impact the structural integrity of the	oridge and could	easily be rep	aired. Examples incl	ude but are not limited t	o: Spalled concrete,	Minor pot	t
	amor L	holes, Minor	corrosi	ion of ste	eel, Minor sco	ouring, Clo	egged drainage, etc.		noles include	but are not limited to	Moderate to major dat	erioration in concrete	Evnos	h.
5= Se	vere/M	ar ar ar ar	nd corro	ded reba	ars, Considera	able settle	ement, Considerable scouring or undermin	ng, Moderate to	extensive co	prosion to structural	steel with measurable l	oss of section, etc.	.,puat	~
C-S=	Critica	l Structural Deficie	ncy -	A defi of the	iciency in a st bridge.	ructural el	lement of a bridge that poses an extreme	unsafe condition	due to the fa	ailure or imminent fail	ure of the element whic	n will affect the struc	tural integ	grity
C-H=	Critic	al Hazard Deficienc	у-	A deficie include b	ency in a comp out are not lim	ponent or iited to: Lo	element of a bridge that poses an extrem pose concrete hanging down over traffic of	e hazard or unsa r pedestrians, A	fe condition t hole in a side	to the public, but doe ewalk that may cause	s not impair the structur injuries to pedestrians	al integrity of the brid , Missing section of I	lge. Exan oridge rai	nples ling,
				eic.										
I = Im	mediate	Inspector(s) immedia	ately co	ntact Dis	strict Bridge In	nspection	Engineer (DBIE) to report the Deficiency	and to receive fu	urther instruct	ion from him/her].				
A = A	SAP-	[Action/Repair should	l be initi	iated by	District Mainte	enance Er	ngineer or the Responsible Party (if not a	State owned brid	dge) upon rec	ceipt of the Inspectio	n Report].			
$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{I}$	P = Prioritize- [Shall be prioritized by District Maintenance Engineer or the Responsible Party (if not a State owned bridge) and repairs made when funds and/or manpower is available].													

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DL	U-02-018	U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	OCT 9, 2020

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the plans, the approaches are east and west and the elevations are north and south. This is a single span rough cut stone masonry arch structure. There is a concrete dam upstream with a spillway floor that extends under the bridge. The Blackstone River flows north to south.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing Surface

There is moderate transverse, longitudinal, and map cracking in several areas, heaviest in the westbound lane, which also has minor breakup and several bituminous concrete patches, up to 6' diameter. There is minor uneveness indicating possible minor loss of spandrel fill. **See Photos 1 & 2.**

Item 58.4 - Curbs

See Item 58.8.

Item 58.8 - Railing

Both bridge rails: The bases/curbs have minor cracking, delamination, and spalling with rust staining throughout, heaviest at the north side. The rails have minor scaling and shallow spalling throughout with a few areas of exposed rebar due to insufficient cover. Most of the tops of the posts have small chipouts due to collision damage.

South rail: The rail base outside face bottom east corner has a 2' high x up to 1' wide x 5" deep spall with exposed rusted rebar. The rail base has several full height hairline cracks, some with efflorescence, and some reflecting through the curb.

North rail: The 2nd bottom rail from the west inside face has moderate delamination cracking. **See Photo 3.**

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. Pavement Condition

The west approach pavement has isolated minor longitudinal and transverse cracking. The east approach has moderate to heavy transverse and longitudinal cracking.

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.1 - Arch/Arch Ring

There is minor missing pointing at intermittent locations throughout and minor to moderate efflorescence staining with efflorescence icicles throughout, heaviest at the bottom 10' at each end. See Photos 4 & 5. The south extrados bottom west end has minor missing pointing.

Item 59.5 - Spandrel Walls

The south spandrel wall top west end stone has a full height x 1/8" wide crack (below the joint in the concrete joint in the rail base). **See Photo 6.** Both extrados have several areas of missing pointing along the top. **See Photo 7.** The north spandrel wall has isolated areas of minor missing pointing, up to 3" deep.

Item 59.6 - Spring Lines

Both spring lines have missing pointing in many areas throughtout.

Item 59.10 - Masonry Joints

See Items 59.1, 59.5, and 59.6.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DL	U-02-018	U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	OCT 9, 2020

REMARKS

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

Both breastwalls have several areas of missing pointing and chinking stones above the waterline. **See Photos 4 & 5.**

Item 60.1.e - Wingwalls

The southwest wingwall has a full height x 1/2" wide crack. The northwest wingwall and northeast wingwall bottom have missing pointing and chinking stones in several areas throughout. **See Photos 8 & 9.**

Item 60.1.g - Pointing

See Items 60.1.d and 60.1.e.

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.1 - Channel Scour

The spillway under midspan just beyond the slope has an approximately 4' deep hole. See Photo 10.

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36a - Bridge Railing

Both bridge rails are reinforced concrete rails and posts. See Item 58.8.

Item 36b - Transitions

All transitions are single panel W-beam steel guardrail with posts improperly spaced. The northwest transition attachment has minor collision damage with a 1' long tear. The east transition attachments each have one missing anchor bolt. The northeast transition has a minor collision dent.

Item 36c - Approach Guardrail

Several of the Southwest approach guardrail posts are slightly out of plumb with minor collision damage to the tops.

Photo Log

- Photo 1: Wearing surface overview, looking northeast.
- Photo 2: Westbound lane overview, looking east.
- Photo 3: North rail, 2nd bottom rail from west end.
- Photo 4 : West half of arch.
- Photo 5 : East half of arch.
- Photo 6 : South spandrel wall top west end, cracked stone.
- Photo 7: South spandrel wall, typical missing pointing.
- Photo 8 : Northwest wingwall.
- Photo 9 : Northeast wingwall.
- Photo 10 : Approximately 4' deep hole in spillway center just below slope.

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE	B.I.N. 1DL	BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-018	8STRUCTURE NO. U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	INSPECTION DATE OCT 9, 2020
		PHO	TOS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		A DE V		
	and the second second	Sec. The ser		
		a south	Constanting of the second	
	Station and			
			and and a second s	The second se
		To and the second second		
State 1	But last	A.		
	and the second	a a company		
	-			
617				

Photo 1: Wearing surface overview, looking northeast.





	DR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
1DL	U-02-018	U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	OCT 9, 2020
	PHO	TOS	
8			
		The second second	The second s
	A State of States		
			- Marine
Charles Sector	ALL ALL AND ALL		
	and a set of the		
		And the second s	S. S. T.
	- 1000		
	-		
			C. C
		the second s	
		44. 5	Ser.
		the second second	
		PHO	

Photo 3: North rail, 2nd bottom rail from west end.



Photo 4: West half of arch.

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE	B.I.N. 1DL	BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-018	8STRUCTURE NO. U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	INSPECTION DATE OCT 9, 2020
		РНО	TOS	
	the second of	the state		T.
		RE THE		
I.				
1			1 de la	AND AND
100	K -			A Company
7	and a	Land and		-2
are a		A THE REAL		A STATE
Land Contract		CIL-	30	1
			A TOTAL	
	-			
				- 3

Photo 5: East half of arch.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DL	U-02-018	U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	OCT 9, 2020
		PHO'	ГОЅ	
			- 0.2	
		Nither 1		5
				A set
				A set
			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	the second second
	1. 200			
			and the second s	
÷.		11 the sector		and the second sec
		LAT)		
111	and the second s	Lan	Contraction of the second	
	The state	T Man		
5- 778		十八四 东-	1 million	
1				
	III Julie	The second second		2
	The second			
	and the			
	and the second second			
in motion of	an it the worth	Service a list		54

Photo 7: South spandrel wall, typical missing pointing.



Photo 8: Northwest wingwall.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	1DL	U-02-018	U02018-1DL-MUN-NBI	OCT 9, 2020
		РНО	TOS	
		and the set		
2	C. Y. LAN SALE	Alter Mark		and the second se
	ALL	sine see the matter of		2-1-1-1-
		Sec. Sec.	a second second second	
	a start		and the second s	
		No There is		and the second se
		The states	-DUTTE STAR	2 F
	astak	The sea	ALL	
	U The	ALL MAN		
	Prop.			and the second sec
	- Kanto de la			
			The second second	No. 1
di secondo de la constancia de la consta				
			and the second s	

Photo 9: Northeast wingwall.





State Information		Classification
BDEPT#= U02018 Agency Br.No.		(112) NBIS Bridge Length Y
Town= Uxbridge L.O.		(104) Highway System N
B.I.N= 1DL AASHTO= (077.1	(26) Functional Class - Urban Minor Arterial 16
RANK= 1986 H.I.= 92.9 % FHWA Select List= Y (6/21/2	/2017)	(100) Defense Highway 0
(0) Structure Number U020181DI MU	INNBI	(101) Parallel Structure N
(5) Inventory Route 15100	00000	(102) Direction of Traffic - 2-way traffic 2
(2) State Highway Department District	03	(103) Temporary Structure N
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code 7	71620	(105) Federal Lands Highways 0
(6) Features Intersected WATER BLACKSTONE R	RIVER	(110) Designated National Network N
(7) Facility Carried HWY HARTFORD	D AVE	(20) Toll - On free road 3
(9) Location 400 FT. EAST OF OA	AK ST	(21) Maintain - Town Agency 03
(11) Kilometerpoint 000°)1.996	(22) Owner - Town Agency 03
(12) Base Highway Network	Ν	(37) Historical Significance undetermined
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute 00000000000		Code
(16) Latitude 42 DEG 05 MIN 54.15	5 SEC	(58) Deck N
17) Longitude 71 DEG 37 MIN 20.30	0 SEC	(59) Superstructure 7
(98) Border Bridge State Code Share	%	(60) Substructure 7
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. #		(61) Channel & Channel Protection 7
Structure Type and Material		(62) Culverts N
(43) Structure Type Main: Masonry Code	811	(31) Design Load - Unknown
Arch - Deck Jointless bridge type: Not applicabl	le	(63) Operating Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS) 2
(44) Structure Type Appr:		(64) Operating Rating 99.9
Other Code	000	(65) Inventory Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS) 2
(45) Number of spans in main unit	001	(66) Inventory Rating 99.9
(46) Number of approach spans	0000	(70) Bridge Posting 5
(107) Deck Structure Type - Not applicable Code	Ν	(41) Structure - Open A
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:		AppraisalCode
A) Type of wearing surface - Not applicable=no deck Code	Ν	(67) Structural Evaluation 7
B) Type of membrane - Not applicable=no deck Code	Ν	(68) Deck Geometry 2
C) Type of deck protection - Not applicable=no deck Code	Ν	(69) Underclearances, vert. and horiz.
Age and Service		(71) Waterway adequacy 6
(27) Year Built	1900	(72) Approach Roadway Anghiment 6 (36) Traffic Safety Features 0 0 1 1
(106) Year Reconstructed	1960	(113) Scour Critical Bridges
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway		Inspections
Under - Waterway Code	15	(90) Inspection Date 10/09/20 (91) Frequency 24 MC
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02 Under structure	00	(92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI DATE
(29) Average Daily Traffic 00	38085	(A) Fracture Critical Detail N 00 MO A) 00/00/00
(30) Year of ADT 2019 (109) Truck ADT 04	4 %	(B) Underwater Inspection N 00 MO B) 11/29/02
(19) Bypass, detour length 006	6 KM	(C) Other Special Inspection N 00 MO C) 00/00/00
Geometric Data		(*) Other Inspection () N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(48) Length of maximum span 0011	1.9 M	(*) Closed Bridge N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(49) Structure Length 00011	1.9 M	(*) UW Special Inspection N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 00.2 M Right 00	0.2 M	(*) Damage Inspection MO *) 00/00/00
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 006	6.1 M	Report Date 09/01/12 H20 Type 3 Type 3S2 Type HS
(52) Deck Width Out to Out 007	7.3 M	Operating 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders) 006	6.4 M	Inventory 99.0 99.0 99.0 99.0
(33) Bridge Median - No median Code	0	Field Posting
(34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structure Flared	Ν	Status LEGAL Posting Date 10/01/12
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.	.99 M	2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single
(47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Cloar	6.1 M	Actual
	.99 M	Keconinended Missing Signe N
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.		iviissiny signs in Misc
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy99.1(54) Min Vert Underclear refN00.1	.00 M	10130.
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N	0.00 M 0.0 M	Bridge Name
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99: (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. Navigation Data 38) Navigation Control - 38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code Code	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M 0	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used)
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (58) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code 111) Pier Protection 200 Navigation Vertical Clearance 000.	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M 0	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used) N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Other
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (58) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code 38) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000. 116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear 000.	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M 0 0	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used) N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Other N / N Ladder N / N Staging
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99. (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (57) Min Lat Underclear LT 00. (58) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code 000. (11) Pier Protection Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000. (16) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear 000. (10) Navigation Horizontal Clearance 0000.	0.00 M 0.0 M 0.0 M 0 0 0.0 M M	Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable Accessibility (Needed/Used)

Blackstone Street over Meadow Brook (Bridge No. U-02-037)

Priority 19

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Blackstone Street is classified as an Urban Collector according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a mortared stone masonry arch with corrugated iron lining. The date of construction as noted by existing bridge plans is August 1938. The structure has an out-to-out width of 50'-6" with a clear span of 9'-0". The hydraulic opening of the arch structure is approximately 12'-0" high by 10'-0" wide. The depth of flow at the time of inspection was 36" on the west side, and was deeper on the East side. The brook was flowing Westward. The depth of fill over the structure is approximately 6'-6"

The roadway width over the structure is 25'-0" with no sidewalks on either side and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. There are sharp horizontal curves with poor visibility at both approaches. Also, there are driveways directly adjacent to the structure at the north approach.

Overhead wires run along the west side of the roadway. There are also paved waterways at the east side of the north approach and the west side of the south approach.

The bridge guardrail consists of standard highway guardrail that runs continuously from approach to approach on both sides of the roadway.

The structure is posted at both approaches for a weight limit of 20T, 36T, 52T for Type H, Type 3, and Type 3S2 trucks, respectively. However, no rating report is on file with the Massachusetts Department of Transportation.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is good with few minor deficiencies noted.

The corrugated lining at the underside of the arch shows no signs of corrosion or missing connection bolts (Photos 2 and 4).

The concrete abutment caps are also in good condition. However, both typically exhibit light-to-moderate scaling and abrasion at the waterline. There is a spall on the south abutment at approximately midspan (Photo 11). Also noted on the masonry portion of the abutments are large voids, up to 7" deep, specifically at the northwest corner (Photo 10).

All wingwalls and headwalls are in good condition with minor loss of pointing at the waterline. No scour was detected. There is a full height crack at the midspan of the east parapet.

The approach roadway shows moderate cracking throughout. The roadway over the culvert is slightly worse with cracking and areas of patching (Photo 13). Both approaches have limited visibility as a result of the horizontal curves. The SS guardrail is also in good condition. It is also noted that the roadway is narrower than the bridge. As a result of the roadway width and depth of fill over the bridge, steep embankments extend down the structures headwalls at both openings.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of this structure is good and requires little maintenance at the present time. However, it is recommended that the existing posting be enforced. BETA recommends the following:

- Fill all voids and re-point masonry wingwalls.
- Repair cracks and spalls on concrete footings
- The Town should follow up and clarify the origins and validity of current posting and/or loading restrictions.
- Replace existing wearing surface to minimize possible water infiltration through the structure.

Conduct routine inspections at intervals not exceeding two years

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$40,000
Engineering:	<u>\$10,000</u>
Total:	\$50,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Arch Inspection Report Dated April 11, 2018

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021





www.BETA-Inc.com 701 GEORGE WASHINGTON HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, RI 02865 P: 401.333.2382 F: 401.333.9225 BRIDGE AND CULVERT EVALUATION UXBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS BLACKSTONE STREET OVER MEADOW BROOK

> BRIDGE NO. U-02-037

SCALE: 1" = 500'



Photo 1 Looking East: West Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking East: Culvert Pipe



Photo 3 Looking West: East Culvert Elevation



Photo 4 Looking West: Culvert Pipe
Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Blackstone Street over Meadow Brook



Photo 5 Looking Northeast: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 6 Looking Southeast: Southwest Wingwall



Photo 7 Looking South: Path to Northeast Wingwall



Photo 8 Looking Northwest: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 9 Looking West: Southeast Wingwall



Photo 10 Looking East: Void at Northwest Water Line



Photo 11 Looking South: Spall at Midspan



Photo 12 Looking South: North Approach

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Blackstone Street over Meadow Brook



Photo 13 Looking South: Roadway Over Culvert



Photo 14 Looking North: South Approach

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation Blackstone Street over Meadow Brook



Photo 15 Looking North: East Guardrail

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 6

2-DIST B.I.N. S	TR	UCTU	RE	S INSPE	CTIO	NF	IELD	REP	ORT 🥅	BR. DE	PT. N	Ю.
03 6X8				ROUTINE A	RCH INS	PEC	CTION			U-02	2-037	7
CITY/TOWN			8ST	RUCTURE NO.			11-Kil	o. POINT	41-STATUS	90-ROUTIN	JE INSI	P. DATE
UXBRIDGE				U02037-6)	K8-MUN-	BRI	00	1.915	P:POSTED	APR	11. 3	2018
07-FACILITY CARRIED				MEMORIAL NAM	E/LOCAL NAM	ЛЕ	27-1	YR BUILT	106-YR REBUILT	YR REHAE	D (NO	N 106)
HWY BLACKSTNE	E ST							1938 0000 0000				
06-FEATURES INTERSECTED	- • ·			26-FUNCTIONAL	CLASS	DIST. BRIDG	EINSPECT	ION ENGINEER M .	Azizi			
WATER MEADOW	BRO	эк		Urban Collec	tor							
43-STRUCTURE TYPE				22-OWNER	21-MAINTAI	NER	TEAM LEAD	ER D. Sm	ith			
311 : Steel Arch - D	Deck			lown Agency	Lown Agency							
107-DECK TYPE				WEATHER	TEMP. (air)		TEAM MEM	BERS				
N : Not applicable				Clear	6°C		T. TOLC	CZKO				
ITEM 58	Ν		ITTE	M 59	Г	7	7	ITEM	60	6		
DECK	IN	DEF	SUP	ERSTRUCTU	RE L	1		SUBST	RUCTURE	0		DEF
1. Wearing surface	5	S-A	1. Arc	ch/Arch Ring		7	-	1. Abu	tments	Dive Cur	6	
2. Deck Condition	N	-	2. Ke	vstone Area		Ν	-	a. Pedes	tals	N N		-
3 Spandrel Fill	7		3.5+	ingers		N	-	b. Bridge	e Seats	N N		-
	N	<u> </u>		orbeams		N		c. Backv	vails twalls	N N N 6	-	- M-P
						7		e. Wingw	valls	N N		-
5. Median		-	5. Sp	andrei walls		7		f. Slope	Paving/Rip-Rap	N N		-
6. Sidewalks	N	-	6. Sp	ring Lines		/	-	g. Pointi h Footii	ng 1as	N H		-
7. Parapets	N	-	7. Dia	phragms/Cross	Frames	N	- -	i. Piles	.90	N N		-
8. Railing	7	-	8. Co	nn Plt's, Gusset	s & Angles	Ν	-	j. Scour		N 7		-
9. Anti Missile Fence	Ν	-	9. Pir	& Hangers		Ν	-	k. Settle	ment	N 7		-
10. Drainage System	Ν	-	10.M	asonry Joints		6	M-P	т. т.		N N		-
11. Lighting Standards	Ν	-	11.Ri	vets & Bolts		7	-	2. Pier	s or Bents		Ν	
12. Utilities	Н	-	12.W	elds		Ν	-	a. Pedes	tals	N N		-
13. Deck Joints	N	-	13.De	eformation/Flatte	enina	7		b. Caps	าทร	N N		-
14			14 M	ambar Alianmar	,+	7	-	d. Stems	/Webs/Pierwalls	N N		-
15			15 P	vint/Costing		N	-	e. Pointi	ng	N N		-
10.		-	10.10	ant/coating			-	g. Piles	ig	N N		-
16.	IN	-	16.			IN	-	h. Scour		N N		-
E	<u> </u>	w	Year	Painted	1	N		<u>i.</u> Settle	ment	N N	-	-
CURB REVEAL (In millimeters))	0	COLL		Please evola	ain		j. k.		N N		-
			None	e(X) Minor()	Moderate () Se	evere ()	3. Pile	Bents		Ν	
APPROACHES		DEF					. ,	a. Pile C	aps	N N		-
a. Appr. pavement condition	5	S-P		DEFLECTION:	Please expl	ain	,	b. Piles	nal Bracing	N N N N		-
b. Appr. Roadway Settlement	7	-	None	e(X) Minor()	Moderate () Se	evere ()	d. Horizo	ontal Bracing	N N		-
c. Appr. Sidewalk Settlement	N	-	LOAD	VIBRATION:	Please expl	ain		e. Faste	ners	N N		-
d.	N	-	None	e (X) Minor ()	Moderate () Se	evere ()	UNDERN	IINING (Y/N) If YE	ES please e	xplain	Ν
OVERHEAD SIGNS	Y/N)	Ν	Any	Fracture Critical	Mombor: (Y/N)	N	COLLISI	ON DAMAGE:			
(Attached to bridge)		DEE				,		None (X	() Minor () Mo	oderate () Seve	ere ()
a. Condition of Welds	N	-										
b. Condition of Bolts	N	-	I-60 (Dive Report): N I-60 (This Report):): 6		
c. Condition of Signs	N	-	Anu	Cracks: (V/NI)	Ν						00/0	
Ľ				JIACKS. (1/19)				93B-U/	W (DIVE) Insp	00/	00/00	000

H=HIDDEN/INACCESSIBLE

PAGE 2 OF 6

CITV	TOW	T			DI	N	DD DEDT NO	9 STDUCT	TUDE NO		INSPECTIO		TE	
					D.I.	.1N. 70		8SIKUC	EVO MIINI DE	51		ADD 11 2018		
UXB	RID	7 E			67	(8	0-02-037	002037-	-678-MON-BE	KI	APR 11	, 2 0	718	
ITF	EM 61				6	IT	EM 36 TRAFFIC S	AFETY		ACCESSIE	BILITY	(Y/N	√ P)	
СНА	NNE	L &			0		ridae Railina	<u> </u>		[N	leeded	Used	
CHA	NNE	L PROTECTIO	N					N	<u>,</u>	Lift Bucket		Ν	N	
			D	0	DFF			1	7 -	Ladder		Ν	N	
		_	Dive	Cur	DEF		Approach Guardrail	1	7	Boat		Ν	N	
1.Ch	annel	Scour	N	6	М-Р	D. A	Approach Guardrail Ends			Waders		Y	Y	
2.Em	bankn	nent Erosion	N	7	-	WEI	GHT POSTING	Not Appli	icable	Inspector 50)	N	N	
3.Del	oris		Ν	5	S-A		Γ	H 3 3S2	Single	Rigging		N		
4.Veç	getatio	n	Ν	7	-		ual Posting	20 36 52		Staging		N		
5.Uti	ities		N	Ν	-	Rec	ommended Posting	N N N	N	Traffic Cont	rol	N		
6.Rin	-Rap/	Slope Protection	N	7	-	Waiv	ved Date: 00/00/0000			RR Flagger		N		
7 4 9	nradat	ion	N	7	-		At br	idae O	ther Advance	Police		N		
0.5.	gradat			, ,		Sign	Is In Place N		N S	Other:		N1		
8.⊦er	nder S	ystem	N	N	-	(Y=Y	(es,N=No, NotRequired)	Y	N N			Ν	Ν	
						Legi	bility/	77		TOTAL H	OURS		8	
						CLEA	ARANCE POSTING	E	W	PLANS	(Y/N): [Y	
						Not		ft in	ft in meter		(<i>/</i> .	<u> </u>	
STRE	AM FL	OW VELOCITY:				Actua	al Field Measurement	0	0	(V.C.R.)	(Y/N):	N		
Tidal () Higl	n () Moderate () L	.ow (🕽	🕻) Nor	ne()			idge	Advance	TAPE#:				
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): N ITEM 61	(This	Repo	rt): 6	(Y=Y	res,N=No,			List of field tes	sts performed:			
93b-U/W INSP. DATE: 00/00/0000 NR=Not Required) Legibility/ Visibility														
RATI	NG					Τ				S please give pr	iority:			
Rating	Repo	t (Y/N): N				Reco	mmend for Rating or Re	erating (Y/N):	N HIG	H() MEDIUM	() LOW ()		
Date:	(00/00/0000				REAS	SON:							
1	nspect	ion data at time of e	xistir	ng rati	ng									
l 58: -	I 59	:- I60:- Da	te:C	00/00	/0000									
						1				toma 59 50 60	and 61)			
	CODE	CONDITION						<u></u>		items 56, 59, 60	anu o rj			
	N						DEFECT	3						
6	N 0		-	vaallan	toondition									
	0				em noted									
G	0 7	GOOD	S		inor proble	ms								
F	، ۵	SATISFACTORY		tructure	al elemente	s show so	me minor deterioration							
F	5	FAIR	A	II prima	ary structura	al elemen	nts are sound but may have mind	or section loss, crac	cking, spalling or scour.					
Р	4	POOR	A	dvance	d section le	loss, deter	rioration, spalling or scour.							
Р	3	SERIOUS	L	oss of s	section, det	terioration	n, spalling or scour have serious	ly affected primary	structural components.	Local failures are p	ossible. Fatigue			
			A	dvance	d deteriora	ation of pri	imary structural elements. Fatiou	ue cracks in steel o	or shear cracks in concre	te may be present of	or scour may have	1		
C	2	CRITICAL	re	emoved	substructu	ure suppo	rt. Unless closely monitored it m	ay be necessary to	o close the bridge until co	prrective action is ta	aken.			
С	1	"IMMINENT" FAILURE	N S	tablility	Bridge is	or section closed to	o traffic but corrective action may	a components or o put it back in light	service.	nal movement affec	sung structure			
	0	FAILED	C	Out of se	ervice - bey	yond corre	ective action.							
		V: A defect in a st-	ucture	that re		rective act		PORTING	GUIDE]	
		. A delect in a str		unat re	yunes com	ecuve act	uon.							
	GORI	LS OF DEFICIENC	Which	are mino	r in nature o	enerally do	not impact the structural integrity of the	e bridge and could easi	ilv be repaired. Examples inclu	ude but are not limited t	o: Spalled concrete	/inor not		
	11nor I	holes, Minor	corrosi	ion of ste	eel, Minor sco	ouring, Clog	iged drainage, etc.		vipoludo but ere est l'articit	Modorota ta antica t			-	
S= Se	vere/N	lajor Deficiency - Deficiency - ar	d corro	ides which ided reba	are more es ars, Consider	rable settlen	mature and need more planning and efferences of the ment, Considerable scouring or undermined and efferences of the ment of th	ining, Moderate to exte	ensive corrosion to structural	steel with measurable l	enoration in concrete oss of section, etc.	, ⊏xpose	u	
C-S=	Critica	l Structural Deficie	ncy -	A defi	ciency in a st	structural ele	ement of a bridge that poses an extreme	e unsafe condition due	e to the failure or imminent fail	ure of the element whic	h will affect the struct	ural integ	rity	
C-H=	Critic	al Hazard Deficienc	y -	A deficie include t etc	ency in a com out are not lim	nponent or e nited to: Loc	element of a bridge that poses an extremose concrete hanging down over traffic	me hazard or unsafe co or pedestrians, A hole	ondition to the public, but does a in a sidewalk that may cause	s not impair the structur injuries to pedestrians	al integrity of the brid , Missing section of b	ge. Exan ridge rai	nples ling,	
URG	ENCY	OF REPAIR:												
I = Im	mediate	 [Inspector(s) immedia 	itely co	ntact Dis	trict Bridge Ir	nspection E	ingineer (DBIE) to report the Deficiency	y and to receive furthe	r instruction from him/her].					
A = AS	SAP-	[Action/Repair should	be initi	ated by	District Maint	tenance Eng	gineer or the Responsible Party (if not a	a State owned bridge)	upon receipt of the Inspection	n Report].				
$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Pr}$	ioritize-	[Shall be prioritized by	/ Distric	ct Mainte	nance Engine	eer or the R	Responsible Party (if not a State owned	1 bridge) and repairs m	ade when funds and/or manpo	ower is available].				

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X8	U-02-037	U02037-6X8-MUN-BRI	APR 11, 2018

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the plans, the approaches are South and North and the elevations are West and East. This is a single span galvanized corrugated structural steel arch. The brook flows from East to West.

GENERAL REMARKS

Weight posting note: There are At-bridge signs for 20T - 36T - 52T limits with no rating report on file.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing surface

See Approaches, section a - Appr. pavement condition.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. pavement condition

Both approaches and the wearing surface have a thin asphalt overlay that has raveled away in many areas throughout. There is minor to moderate transverse, longitudinal, and map cracking throughout with several bituminous concrete patches in the Northbound lane, up to 12 foot long x 3 foot wide. **See photo 1.**

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.5 - Spandrel Walls

There is minor missing pointing throughout both spandrel walls. The bottom of the Southwest spandrel wall at the springline interface has a loose stone. **See photo 2.**

Item 59.10 - Masonry Joints

See Item 59.5.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

The breastwalls consist of cement (pointed) stone masonry with concrete caps from springline to waterline. Both concrete caps have intermittent vertical hairline cracks and moderate waterline abrasion throughout. The South concrete cap has a 4 inch diameter x 1 inch deep spall above the waterline at midspan. **See photo 3.**

At the time of inspection the masonry portion of both breastwalls was hidden by high water. There is a 2.5 inch high x 1 foot long x up to 9 inch deep gap in the South breastwall masonry adjacent to the loose stone in the West spandrel wall. **See photo 2.**

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.1 - Channel Scour

The upstream (East) half of the channel is at least 1 foot deeper than the West half, suggesting minor scour action at the upstream side.

Item 61.3 - Debris

There is a downed tree blocking the downstream channel. See photo 4.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X8	U-02-037	U02037-6X8-MUN-BRI	APR 11, 2018

REMARKS

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36d - Approach Guardrail Ends All four approach guardrail ends are turned from traffic but the Northeast (trailing) end is only partially turned.

Photo Log

- Photo 1 :
- Wearing surface, looking Northwest. West spandrel wall at South breastwall. Photo 2 :
- Photo 3 : Spall at South breastwall cap.
- Photo 4 : Felled tree in downstream channel.

CITY/TOWN UXBRIDGE	B.I.N. 6X8	BR. DEPT. NO. U-02-037	8STRUCTURE NO. U02037-6X8-MUN-BRI	INSPECTION DATE APR 11, 2018
		PHO	гоз	·
				.
	A DEALE	EARLEND	Auger .	
	B. C.			
and the second se		1		
			- And B	
1	11 A			
and the second se	and the second sec			
and the second se		10 20		-
	the select		The Alter	

Photo 1: Wearing surface, looking Northwest.



Photo 2: West spandrel wall at South breastwall.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	6X8	U-02-037	U02037-6X8-MUN-BR	APR 11, 2018
		PHO	TOS	
		1		
1200				
0				
	the Col is	0		and the second se
	the second secon		9	1
The second s	and the second		the second	
1	The second	The second s		
	and the second	Contraction Contraction	and the second	
	Marken Mark	Marine Marine		and the second sec
		Stranger Line		
			Marken and	
			A State of the second	Contraction of the second s
			the state of the state	
		A 40		

Photo 3: Spall at South breastwall cap.



Photo 4: Felled tree in downstream channel.

Report Date: April 15, 2021			Code
BDEPT#= U02037	Agency Br.No.	(112) NBIS Bridge Length	N
Town= Uxbridge	L.O.	(104) Highway System	Ν
B.I.N= 6X8	AASHTO= 033.4	(26) Functional Class - Urban Collector	17
RANK= 0 H.I.= NA	FHWA Select List= N (6/21/2017)	(100) Defense Highway	0
(8) Structure Number	U020376X8MUNBRI	(101) Parallel Structure	Ν
(5) Inventory Route	15100000	(102) Direction of Traffic - 2-way traffic	2
(2) State Highway Department District	03	(103) Temporary Structure	Ν
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code	71620	(105) Federal Lands Highways	0
(6) Features Intersected	WATER MEADOW BROOK	(110) Designated National Network	Ν
(7) Facility Carried	HWY BLACKSTNE ST	(20) Toll - On free road	3
(9) Location	2000 FT S. OF RT. 16	(21) Maintain - Town Agency	03
(11) Kilometerpoint	0001.915	(22) Owner - Town Agency	03
(12) Base Highway Network	N	(37) Historical Significance undetermined	Codo
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute	0000000000	(58) Deck	
(16) Latitude	42 DEG 04 MIN 39.67 SEC	(59) Superstructure	7
(17) Longitude	71 DEG 36 MIN 19.67 SEC	(60) Substructure	6
(98) Border Bridge State Code	Share %	(61) Channel & Channel Protection	6
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. # Structure Type and Ma	aterial	(62) Culverts	Ν
(43) Structure Type Main: Steel	Code 311	Load Rating and Posting	Code
Arch - Deck Jointless	s bridge type: Not applicable	(31) Design Load - H 20=M 18	4
(44) Structure Type Appr:		(63) Operating Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS)	2
Other	Code 000	(04) Operating Rating (65) Inventory Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS)	00.00 2
(45) Number of spans in main unit	001	(6) Inventory Rating Method - Allowable Stress (AS)	2
(46) Number of approach spans	0000	(70) Bridge Posting	0
(107) Deck Structure Type - Not applicable	Code N	(41) Structure - Posted for load	Р
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:		Appraisal	Code
A) Type of wearing surface - Bituminous	Code 6	(67) Structural Evaluation	3
B) Type of membrane - None	Code 0	(68) Deck Geometry	5
C) Type of deck protection - None	Code 0	(69) Underclearances, vert. and horiz.	N
Age and Service		(71) waterway adequacy (72) Approach Roadway Alignment	0 8
(27) Year Built	1938	(36) Traffic Safety Features 1 N	1 1
(106) Year Reconstructed	0000	(113) Scour Critical Bridges	6
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway		Inspections	
Under - Waterway	Code 15	(90) Inspection Date 03/10/21 (91) Frequency 24 (90) Ortical Eastern (90	1 MC
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02	Under structure 00	(92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI	
(29) Average Daily Traffic	001500	(A) Fracture Critical Detail N 00 MO A) 00)/00/00
(30) Year of ADT 2005 (109) Truck	ADT 05 %	(B) Underwater inspection N 00 MO B) 00)/00/00
(19) Bypass, detour length	001 KM	(C) Other Special Inspection N 00 MO C) OC)/00/00
(48) Length of maximum span	0003 1 M	(*) Other Inspection () N 00 MO *) OC)/00/00
(49) Structure Length	00003.1 M	(*) LIW Special Inspection N 00 MO *) 00)/00/00
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 00.	0 M Right 00.0 M	(*) Damage Inspection MO *) 00)/00/00
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb	008.8 M	Rating Loads	
(52) Deck Width Out to Out	000.0 M	Report Date 00/00/00 H20 Type 3 Type 3S2 Type	HS
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders)	007.9 M	Operating 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	0
(33) Bridge Median - No median	Code 0	Field Postina	0
(34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structur	e Flared N	Status Posting Date 00/00/00	
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear	99.99 M	2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single	;
(47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear	08.8 M	Actual 20 36 52	
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy	99.99 M	Recommended	
(54) Min Vert Underclear ref N	00.00 M	Missing Signs N Misc	
(55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N	00.0 M	Bridge Name	
(56) Min Lat Underclear LT	00.0 M	N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge	
Navigation Data		Freeze/Thaw N : Not Applicable	
(38) Navigation Control - No navigation control c	on waterway Code 0	Accessibility (Needed/Used)	
(11) Mevination Vertical Clearance		N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Other	
(116) Vert-lift Bridge Nev Min Vert Close		N / N Ladder N / N Staging	
(10) Verteint Bruge Nav Will Vert Clear		N/N Boat N/N Traffic Control	
(+0) Manyalion Honzoniai Clearance	0000.0 M	Y / Y Wader N / N RR Flagperson Hours:	008
(40) Navigation Horizontal Clearance	0000.0 M	Y/Y Boat N/N Trainc Control Inspection Y/Y Wader N/N RR Flagperson Hours:	0

Hartford Avenue East over the West River (Bridge No. U-02-017)

Priority 20

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Hartford Avenue is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a double-barrel concrete box culvert, numbered west to east. The boxes and joints are numbered south to north. The structure length is 105'-0", while both boxes have equal spans of 9'-0" each. The hydraulic opening of each box culvert is 6'-0" high by 9'-0" wide. The flow was traveling southward, and depth was not recorded. The structure is considerably lower than the roadway and as a result the depth of fill over the structure is approximately 16'.

The roadway width over the structure is approximately 35'-6" with no sidewalks on either side and consists of an asphaltic wearing surface. There are moderate horizontal and vertical curves with low visibility at both approaches. Residential driveways are also located at both approaches.

Overhead wires run along the north side of the roadway. At the culvert approaches, there are catch basins that connect to a drainage line running down the center of the roadway.

The safety barrier consists of standard SS highway guardrail that is continuous on both sides.

There were no signs noted at the approaches.

FINDINGS

The overall condition of the structure is good with few minor deficiencies noted.

The concrete box culverts are in good condition. Both boxes typically exhibit minor spalling at the culvert joints. Joint 8 in the West Box has spalling and heavy rust stains with moisture (Photos 8 and 9). There is also random spalling on the roof of the west box (See Photo 10). There is an area of exposed rebar near joint 3 in the east box (Photo 11). Both the inside of the culverts and all wingwalls have minor scaling up to 12" above the waterline.

There is a large accumulation of debris at the upstream entrance of the culvert. The west box also has random debris throughout the concrete culvert floor. There is heavy vegetation around the culvert, typical at both sides.

The roadway over the culvert and at both approaches is in good condition. The SS guardrails are continuous across the culvert and are in good condition. Minor collision

damage at the southwest corner of the approach rail was noted. A larger area of collision damage at the southeast corner was also noted (Photo 15).

RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall condition of this structure is good and requires little maintenance at the present time. BETA recommends the following:

- Repair all spalled areas in the concrete box joint and roof.
- Remove all debris from the upstream opening and inside the western box.

Conduct routine inspections at intervals not exceeding two years

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

Repairs

Construction:	\$10,000
Engineering:	<u>\$5,000</u>
Total:	\$15,000

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Culvert Inspection Report Dated June 16, 2020

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021





Photo 1 Looking Southeast: North Culvert Elevation



Photo 2 Looking South: West Box 1



Photo 3 Looking Southwest: Northwest Wingwall



Photo 4 Looking South: East Box 2



Photo 5 Looking Southeast: Northeast Wingwall



Photo 6 Looking North: West Box 1



Photo 7 Looking North: East Box 2



Photo 8 Looking East: Box 1 Joint #8 Rust Staining with Efflorescence



Photo 9 Looking East: Box 1 Joint #8 Rust Staining with Efflorescence



Photo 10 Looking East: Box 1 Joint #3 Spaling at Midspan



Photo 11 Looking West: Box 2 Spalls near Joint #3



Photo 12 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 13 Looking West: East Approach



Photo 14 Looking North: North Guardrail



Photo 15 Looking Southwest: Southeast Guardrail Collision Damage

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 5

2-DIST	В	.I.N		1 \$	STR	UCT	URE	S	NS	PE	-	21	TIC	DN	F	IE	LD	REP	OF	₹Т		BR. D	EPT. N	10.
03	В	W	-				C	UL	VE	RT		NS	SPE	PECTION U-02-017						7				
CITY/TOW	'N DGE	Ξ					8S	TRUC U	TURE	NO. 7-B	N	H-	MUN	N-N	BI		11-Kilo 00	. POINT 3.653	41-S A	TATUS	N.	90-ROL JUI	TINE INS	SP. DATE 2020
07-FACILIT	TY CAI	RRIE Y E	D E H	AR	TFO			MEMORIAL NAME/LOCAL NAME 27-YR						r built 1990	106-	YR REB 000(UILT [`]	YR REH	iab'd (NG 0000	ON 106)				
06-FEATU WATE	RES IN	NTEF AT	RSE	CTEC	o V RIVI	ER		26- Ur	UNCT	IONAL linor	. C	LAS	ss erial		I	DIST	. BRIDGE	E INSPECT	ION E	NGINEE	r N	1. Azizi		
43-STRUC 119:0		TYF cre	∘⊧ te	Cu	lvert			22-OWNER 21-MAINTAINER TEAM L					M LEADE	R D. Simk	hovi	ch								
107-DECK 2 : Со і	TYPE 1Cre	te	Pr	eca	ast Pa	anels		WE C	ather lear		Т	EM	^{IP. (air 18°}))	1	TEAN T. 1		ERS CZKO						
TYPE	OF C	UL	VE	RT	:							E	BARI	REL	S:	(In	Meters)							
SHAP	SHAPE: RECTANGULAR										SIZ	ZE:	2.7	74W	/x1.83I	4	NU	MBER		2				
MATE	RIAI ·	C	ON	ICR	ETE																		N	S
COAT	COATING: NONE															VER	(To the	near	est tenth	of a m	eter)	7.6	7.6	
ITEM	62	CU	LVI	ERT	r & RI	ETAININ	IG WAL	LS									L 162 (Dive Ren	ort).			(This	Report	
		Dive Rpt.	This Rpt.	DE	F		Div Rp	e This t. Rpt.	DEF		,				Dive Rpt.	e This . Rpt.	DEF					- (1113	Report	
1. Roof		Ν	6	M·	- P 7.	Protective Co	ating N	N - 13.Membe			nber	r Alignm	nent	Ν	8	-		MININ	IG (Y/N) If YE	ES pleas	e explain	N	
2. Floor 3. Walls		N N	7 7	- -	. 8. . 9	Embankmen Wearing Sur	t N ace N	N 7 - 14.De				orma	ation		N N	8	-					0000 01	nloin	
4. Headwal	I	N	7	- 101	• P 3.	Railing	N N	N 6 M-P 16.8				leme	ent		N	8	-	None (X)	Minor (.: P) Mo	oderate	() Se	vere ()
5. Wingwal	I	Ν	7	-	. 11.	Sidewalks	N	N N - 17.							Ν	Ν	-		IBRA	TION:	PI	ease ex	plain	
6. Pipe		Ν	Ν	-	. 12.	Utilities	N	X	-	18.					Ν	N	-	None ()	X)	Minor () Mo	oderate	() Se [.]	vere ()
ITEM	61	СН	AN Dive Rpt.	NEL This Rot.	& Ch	IANNEL	PROTE	стю	N Dive This Rot. Rot.	7		S T	STREA	M FL	ow ' h (VELO	OCITY: derate ()Low (X)	A	PPRO	АСН	CONL	DITION	DEE
1.Channel S	cour		N	7	-	5. Utilities			NX	-									a. Appr. Pavement Condition			7	M-P	
2.Embankm	ent Ero	sion	N	7	-	6. Rip-Rap/	Slope Protec	tion	N 7	-			IT	EM 6	1 (E	Dive	Report): N	b.	b. Appr. Roadway Settlement 8				-
3Debris			N	7	-	7. Aggradat	ion		N 7	-			IT	EM 6	1 (1	This	Report): 7	с.	Appr. Sic	lewalk S	Settlemer	nt N	-
4.Vegetation	ı		N	7	M-P							9 U)3b- J/W IN	ISP L	DAT	E:	00/00	0/0000	d.				N	-
WEIGH	Т РО	STI	NG	;					н :	3 3	S2	, , (Single	1		L			- <u> </u>	At br	idge		Advan	ce
Not An	nliaak		v	1	Act	ual Postir	g			1	N		Ν]			Signs (Y=Yes	In Place s,N=No,		E	W			W
ΝΟΣΑΡ	oncab	ne [X		Rec	commend	ed Postin	g		1 1	N		Ν				NR=No Legibi	otRequired) -	$\overline{}$		۶ŀ		
					Wai	ved Date:	00/00/	000) EJI	DMT D	Dat	e: [00/	00/0	000)	Visibil	ity						
ITEM	36	TR	AF	FIC	C SAF 36		DEF		CCES	SSIB.	IL	.17	TY Need	led Us	(Y/N ed	I/P):		N	eedec	Used	тот	AL HO	OURS	8
A. Bridge	Raili	ng			1	6	M-P	₋║∟	adder				N	1	1	Othe	er:				PLA	NS	(Y/N):	Ν
B. Trans	itions				N	I N	-	_	oat				N	1	1	Tub	e		Ρ	Y			. ,	
C. Appro	ach G	iuaro	drail		1	5	S-A	_ v	/aders				Y	<u> </u>	1						(V.C.	R.)	(Y/N):	Ν
D. Appro	ach G	iuaro	drail	End	ls C) 7	-														TAPE	#:		_
RATING Rating R	G eport (Y/N):	Y	1		Re	ecom	mend	for Ra	ati	ng	or Re	eratin	ig (1	Y/N) :	: N		YES HIGH (olease g) ME	ive pri DIUM (ority:	W ()]
Date:	02	/01	/20	18			R	EASC)N: _															
Insp	pection	n da	ta at	time	e of exis	ting rating	n		_															
V_UN	 IIZNK		NI-	U	aເອ. ປ					_				11-1				CCEC				B	DEM	
	INN		IN I													лJГ		UUED	лы					OVED

Rtn.Cul.(1)04-07

UXBRIDGE	BWH	U-02-017	U02017-BWH-MUN-NBI	JUN 16, 2020
CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE

REMARKS, PHOTOS & SKETCHES

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the compass the approaches are East and West and the elevations are South and North. This is a 2 barrel precast concrete culvert with the barrels numbered from West to East. There are 14 precast boxes with 13 joints numbered from South to North. The river flows North to South.

ITEM 62 - CULVERT

Item 62.1 - Roof

Barrel #1:

At joint #2, there is a 6 inch long x 2 inch wide x 3 inch deep chipout near the East end. Joints #7 - #10 have up to full length minor longitudinal hairline cracking with water staining, rust and efflorescence, up to 1 foot from the joint. All segments have minor transverse hairline cracking in isolated areas. **See photo 1.**

Barrel #2:

Joint #2 has a 1 foot long x 3 inch wide x 3 inch deep chipout near the West end. Joint #4 has minor water staining throughout. Joints #7, #9, and #10 have minor longitudinal hairline cracking with water staining, efflorescence and rust staining. Joint #13 has an 8 inch long x 5 inch wide x 1 inch deep chipout near midspan. All segments have minor transverse hairline cracking in isolated areas.

CONDITION RATING GUIDE

	CODE	CONDITION	DEFECTS				
	Ν	NOT APPLICABLE	Use if structure is not a culvert.				
G	9	EXCELLENT	No deficiencies.				
G	8	VERY GOOD	No noticeable or noteworthy differences which affect the condition of the culvert. Insignificant scrape marks caused by drift.				
G	7	GOOD	Shrinkage cracks, light scaling, and insignificant spalling, which does not expose reinforcing steel. Insignificant damage caused by drift with not misalignment and not requiring corrective action. Some minor scouring has occurred near curtain walls, wingwalls, or pipes. Metal culverts have a smooth symmetrical curvature with superficial corrosion and no pitting.				
F	6	SATISFACTORY Deterioration or initial disintegration, minor chloride contamination, cracking with some leaching, or spalls on concrete or masonry walls and slabs. Local minor scouring at curtain walls, wingwalls, or pipes. Metal culverts have a smooth curvature, non-symmetrical shape, significant corrosion or moderate pitting.					
F	5	FAIR	Moderate to major deterioration, or disintegration, extensive cracking and leaching, or spalls on concrete or masonry walls and slabs. Minor settlement or misalignment. Noticeable scouring or erosion at curtain walls, wingwalls, or pipes. Metal culverts have significant distortion and deflection in one section, significant corrosion or deep pitting.				
Р	4	POOR	Large spalls, heavy scaling, wide cracks, considerable efforescence, or opened construction joints permitting loss of backfill. Considerable settlement or misalignment. Considerable scouring or erosion at curtain walls, wingwalls, or pipes. Metal culverts have significant distortion and deflection throughout, extensive corrosion or deep pitting.				
Р	3	SERIOUS Any condition described in Code 4 but which is excessive in scope. Severe movement or differential settlement of the segments, or loss of fill. Ho may exist in walls or slabs. Integral wingwalls, nearly severed from culvert. Severe scour or erosion at curtain walls, wingwalls, or pipes. Metal cu have extreme distortion and deflection in one section, extensive corrosion, or deep pitting with scattered perforations.					
С	2	CRITICAL	Advanced deterioration of primary structural elements. Fatigue cracks in steel or shear cracks in concrete may be present or scour may have removed substructure support. Unless closely monitored it may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is taken.				
С	1	"IMMINENT" FAILURE	Bridge closed. Corrective action may put back in light service.				
	0	FAILED	Bridge closed. Replacement necessary.				
			DEFICIENCY REPORTING GUIDE				
DEFI	CIENC	A defect in a structure	that requires corrective action.				
CATE	GORI	ES OF DEFICIENCIE	S:				
M= M	Minor 1	Deficiency - (Examples inc	lude but are not limited to: Spalled concrete, minor to moderate corrosion to steel culverts, minor settlement or misalignment, minor scouring, minor damage to guardrail, etc.)				
S= Se	evere/N	Aajor Deficiency - (Exar exten	nples include but are not limited to: Large spalls, wide cracks, moderate to major deterioration in concrete, considerable settlement, considerable scouring or undermining, sive corrosion and deflection in steel culverts, etc.)				
C-S=	Critic	al Deficiency - A def	iciency in a structural component or element of a bridge that poses an extreme hazard or unsafe condition to the public. (Follow-up Critical Deficiency Report must be submitted rately)				

URGENCY OF REPAIR:								
I = Immediate-	[Inspector(s) stay at the bridge until the District Maintenance crew or the responsible Agency crew(if not a State bridge) show up and corrective action is taken.]							
A = ASAP-	[Action will be taken by the District Maintenance Engineer or the Responsible Agency (if not a State owned bridge) upon receipt of the Inspection Report].							
P = Prioritize-	[Shall be prioritized by District Maintenance Engineer or the Responsible Party (if not a State owned bridge) and repairs made when funds and/or manpower is available].							

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	BWH	U-02-017	U02017-BWH-MUN-NBI	JUN 16, 2020

REMARKS

Item 62.3 - Walls

Barrel #1:

The East wall has a 2 foot high x 7 inch wide area of delamination near the top of joint #8.

Barrel #2:

The East wall has two sections of exposed rusted shallow rebar (1 foot high and 4 inches high) at the top North end of section #3. See photo 2.

Item 62.9 - Wearing Surface

The bituminous concrete wearing surface and both approaches have wheel wear with minor transverse and longitudinal cracking.

Item 62.10 - Railing

There are minor collision dents throughout.

ITEM 61 - CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

Item 61.4 - Vegetation

The upstream channel has minor vegetation growth throughout.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. Pavement Condition

See Item 62.9.

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36c - Approach Guardrail

The Southeast approach guardrail has a 30 foot long area of heavy collision damage. **See photo 3.** The Northwest approach guardrail has minor collision damage.

Item 36d - Approach Guardrail Ends

The West guardrail ends are buried in level ground and not turned from traffic.

Photo Log

- Photo 1 : Joint #8 in barrel #1.
- Photo 2 : Shallow rebar spalls at the North end of section #3, barrel #2.
- Photo 3 : Southeast approach guardrail.

CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	BWH	U-02-017	U02017-BWH-MUN-NBI	JUN 16, 2020
		РНО	TOS	
	-	MAR INCOME		and the
	and the second s	. n	A A A	
	a ser and		a start	
	and a second	and the	The Man	
	i this the		The set of the set	
	and in the	The second	K. C.	
	and the second	- 34		
			all and a second second	1 million
	The second	Contraction of the second		
		and the second s	and the state of the	
	THE REAL			
		the second second		
	States -	The states	a subscription of the second sec	Sec. 1
	1 100	·	A CONTRACTOR OF	
				and
	The second second second			

Photo 1: Joint #8 in barrel #1.





CITY/TOWN	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	BWH	U-02-017	U02017-BWH-MUN-NBI	JUN 16, 2020
		PHO	TOS	
	Server and Martin			
		这些限制在 1111		
			The state of the s	itte Willi
1 Aller and a	ELCO .			A State
	and the			
	and and the		Alter State	and the
1000	A MARKEN			
	and freeze			
			ALA THE ALA	
			A TOTAL CALL	
a sector				
	Carlos and			S.F. H.
20				
1.	the the second second second second			

Photo 3: Southeast approach guardrail.

Report Date: April 15, 2021	Classification
BDEPT#= U02017 Agency Br.No.	(112) NBIS Bridge Length Y
Town= Uxbridge L.O.	(104) Highway System N
B.I.N= BWH AASHTO= 096	8 (26) Functional Class - Urban Minor Arterial 16
RANK= 2351 H.I.= 94.0 % FHWA Select List= N (6/21/201	7) (100) Defense Highway 0
(0) Structure Number U02017BW HMUNN	N (101) Parallel Structure N
(8) Structure Number 1510000	0 (102) Direction of Traffic - 2-way traffic 2
(2) State Highway Department District	3 (103) Temporary Structure N
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code 716	0 (105) Federal Lands Highways 0
(6) Features Intersected WATER WATER W RIVE	R (110) Designated National Network N
(7) Facility Carried HWY HWY E HARTF	O (20) Toll - On free road 3
(9) Location 600' E OF CONNOR PAS	S (21) Maintain - Town Agency 03
(11) Kilometerpoint 0003.6 ^t	3 (22) Owner - Town Agency 03
(12) Base Highway Network	N (37) Historical Significance
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute	Code
(16) Latitude 42 DEG 06 MIN 01.46 SE	C (58) Deck N
(17) Longitude 71 DEG 36 MIN 04.37 SE	C (59) Superstructure N
(98) Border Bridge State Code Share %	(60) Substructure N
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. #	(61) Channel & Channel Protection 7
Structure Type and Material	(62) Culverts 6
(43) Structure Type Main: Concrete Code 11	Code
Culvert Jointless bridge type: Not applicable	(31) Design Load - Unknown 0
(44) Structure Type Appr:	(63) Operating Rating Method - Load Factor (LF) 1
Other Code 00	(04) Operating Kating 88.2
(45) Number of spans in main unit 00	(65) Inventory Rating Method - Load Factor (LF)
(46) Number of approach spans 000	(00) Inventory Nating 52.0
(107) Deck Structure Type - Concrete Precast Panels Code	2 (41) Structure - Open A
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:	AppraisalCode
A) Type of wearing surface - None Code	o (67) Structural Evaluation 6
R) Type of membrane - None Code	(68) Deck Geometry N
C) Type of deck protection None Code	(69) Underclearances, vert. and horiz.
C) Type of deck protection - None Code	(71) Waterway adequacy 9
(27) Voor Built 100	(72) Approach Roadway Alignment 5
(106) Year Bacapetrugted 000	(36) Traffic Safety Features 1 N 1 0
(100) Teal Reconstructed 000	(113) Scour Critical Bridges 5
(42) Type of Service. Off - Thighway	(90) Inspection Date 06/16/20 (91) Frequency 24 MC
(28) Lanas: On Structure 02 Linder structure ((92) Critical Feature Inspection: (93) CFI DATE
(20) Austrace Deliv Treffie	2 (A) Fracture Critical Detail N 00 MO A) 00/00/00
(29) Average Daily Franc 00577	(B) Underwater Inspection NL 00 MO B) 00/00/00
(30) Year of ADT 2015 (109) Truck ADT 03 9	(C) Other Special Inspection N 00 MO C) 00/00/00
(19) Bypass, detour length 008 KI	= (*) Other large star () = 0000000000000000000000000000000000
(48) Length of maximum span	- (*) Other Inspection () N 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(49) Structure Length 00006.11	Λ (*) LIW Special Inspection NL 00 MO *) 00/00/00
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 00.0 M Right 00.0	(*) Damage Inspection MO *) 00/00/00
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 000 01	A Rating Loads
(52) Deck Width Out to Out	Report Date 02/01/18 H20 Type 3 Type 3S2 Type HS
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders) 011 1	Operating 82.0 96.0 99.0 98.0
(33) Bridge Median - No median Code 0	" Inventory 49.0 58.0 76.0 59.0
	Field Posting
(34) SKew (1/ 1)EG (35) Structure Flored	
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Heriz Clear 1111	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Educt 00.001	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 M 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single M Actual M Recommended
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (44) Min Vert Lladoreloar ref 00.000	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 M 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single M Actual M Recommended M Missing Signs N
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Let Underclear PT ref N	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual A Recommended A Missing Signs N
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (50) Min Lat Underclear IT 00.01	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Actual Actual Actual M Missing Signs N Misc.
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00.01	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Actual Actual Actual Missing Signs N Misc. Actual Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N Navigation Data	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Actual Actual Actual Missing Signs N Misc. Actual Bridge Name N Action Panel N Freeze/Thaw Actual Actual Actual
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N Navigation Data	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Accommended Accommended Accommended Missing Signs N Misc. Accommended Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N Acrow Panel N Jointless Bridge Freeze/Thaw Accessibility (Needed/Used) Accessibility (Needed/Used) Accessibility (Needed/Used)
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00.001 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00.01 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00.01 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000.01	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Accommended Missing Signs N Missing Signs N Misc. Misc. Bridge Name N Accessibility (Needed/Used) N N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging P / Y
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00.001 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00.01 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00.01 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (39) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000.01M (16) Vert-lift Bridge Nay Min Vert Clear Main Vert Clear	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Actual Missing Signs N Missing Signs N Misc. Misc. Bridge Name N Anti-missile fence N A Accessibility (Needed/Used) Misc. N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging N / N Liftbucket N / N Signing
(34) Skew 07 DEG (35) Structure Flared (10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear 99.991 (47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear 11.11 (53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy 99.991 (54) Min Vert Underclear ref N 00.001 (55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N 00.01 (56) Min Lat Underclear LT 00.01 (38) Navigation Control - No navigation control on waterway Code (38) Navigation Vertical Clearance 000.0M (116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear M	N Status LEGAL Posting Date 12/24/18 A 2 Axle 3 Axle 5 Axle Single A Actual Accommended Missing Signs N Missing Signs N Misc. Misc. Bridge Name N Accessibility (Needed/Used) N N / N Liftbucket N / N Rigging Tube N / N Ladder N / N Staging Tube N / N Boat N / N Traffic Control Inspection
River Road over Ironstone Brook (Bridge No. U-02-030)

Priority 21

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The current NBI Structure Inventory and Appraisal shows an AASHTO Sufficiency Rating of 92.6.

A bridge rating report dated October 1, 2017 was provided by MassDOT. Based on the calculations and the condition of the structure, the report concludes that the bridge capacity is satisfactory and does not require posting.

The most recent MassDOT bridge inspection report on record is dated March 1, 2019.

River Road is classified as a Rural Minor Collector according to the MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION

This structure consists of a single span, galvanized folded plate girder bridge on concrete abutments with mechanically stabilized earth walls at each corner. There are 4 girders and 3 bays which are numbered south to north. The structure length is 50'-0" with a span length of 46'-0". The flow was traveling southward, and depth was not recorded. There is no fill over the structure.

The roadway width over the structure is approximately 32'-0" with an out-to-out of 35'-0" The roadway is an asphalt wearing surface at the approach and concrete grooved pavement over the culvert. There are no sidewalks present at either side. The north approach forks in two directions and the south approach has a moderate horizontal curve with a slight change in elevation.

Overhead wires run along the south side of the roadway. At the culvert approaches, there are catch basins on both sides.

There is new bridge rail on the north and south sides, with SS highway guardrail on each approach leading up to it.

There was a speed limit sign of "35mph" on the west approach.

FINDINGS

The structure was completed in 2011 and is in good condition with a few minor notes.

The entirety of the superstructure and substructure is set up with bridge monitoring equipment (Photo 8). Th beams are in good condition with some minor rusting and efflorescence at the fascia beams. The deck is in good condition with some hairline cracks and efflorescence at the fascia overhangs.

The abutments are also in good condition. The east abutment has graffiti over the entire face and the west abutment has only minor graffiti. There are a few hairline cracks with efflorescence extending down at the beam ends for beams 2-4.

The MSE walls are in good condition. The southwest MSE wall has a 2.5" gap from the superstructure (Photo 6). The joint at the northwest MSE wall has exposed filter fabric (Photo 7). Additionally, all MSE Walls have cap displacement and some fabric exposure in the joints.

The roadway over the culvert and at both approaches is in good condition. There is some slight ponding on the grooved pavement over the culvert and tire marks in the road (Photo 10). The bridge rail is fairly new and is in good condition and the SS guardrail at the approaches are in good condition.

RECOMMENDATIONS

BETA has no recommendations for this bridge at this time as it was recently completed.

BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE

NA

Attachments

Locus Map

Inspection Photos

MassDOT Routine Inspection Report Dated March 1, 2019

National Bridge Inventory Sheet Dated April 15, 2021





Photo 1 Looking South: North Bridge Elevation



Photo 2 Looking West: West Abutment

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation River Road over Ironstone Brook



Photo 3 Looking East: East Abutment



Photo 4 Looking East: Northeast MSE Wall

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation River Road over Ironstone Brook

June, 2021 Page 3



Photo 5 Looking East: Southwest MSE Wall



Photo 6 Looking North: Southwest MSE Wall Gap

Town of Uxbridge Bridge and Culvert Evaluation River Road over Ironstone Brook

June, 2021 Page 4



Photo 7 Looking West: Northwest Joint



Photo 8 Typical Bridge Equipment



Photo 9 Looking East: West Approach



Photo 10 Looking North: Roadway Condition

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAGE 1 OF 6

STRUCTURES INSPECTION FIELD REPORT ROUTINE INSPECTION

BR. DEPT. NO. 11-02-030

03 B	BAM			R	OUTINE I	NSPE	CTI	ON				ι	J-02	-03	0
	=			8ST	RUCTURE NO.	M-MI IN		11	-Kilo.	POINT		90-R		IE INS	SP. DATE 2010
					002030-07				001	.055		N		•••	2013
HWY RIV	ER RD				MEMORIAL NAMI	E/LOCAL NA	ME		27-YR 2	2011	0000	YRR	енав С)000)N 106))
06-FEATURES IN	TERSECTED				26-FUNCTIONAL C	CLASS		DIST. BR	IDGE	INSPECTI	ON ENGINEER	M. Az	izi		
WATER IR	ONSTO	NE BF	ROOK		Urban Loca	al									
43-STRUCTURE T	ГҮРЕ				22-OWNER	21-MAINTA	INER	TEAM LE	EADEI	R D. Smith					
302 : Steel	l Stringe	er/Gird	der		Town	Town									
107-DECK TYPE	J				Agency WEATHER	TEMP. (air)		TEAM M	EMB	ERS					
2 : Concre	ete Preca	ast Pa	nels		Sunny	-4°C	;	D. TR	AIN	IEE					
ITEM 58		7		Ine	CM 59	<u></u>	8]		ITEM	60		8		
DECK		4	DEF	SUP	ERSTRUCTUI	RE L	0] DEF	,	SUBST	RUCTURE		•		DEF
1.Wearing Sur	rface	7	M-P	1.Str	ingers		Ν	-		1. Abut	ments	Dive	Cur	8	
2. Deck Condit	ion	7	-	2.Flo	orbeams		Ν	-		a. Pedes	tals	Ν	Н		-
3. Stav in Place	e Forms	N	-	3.Flo	or System Braci	ng	Ν	1 -		b. Bridge	Seats	N	H		-
4 Curbo		8	_	4.Gir	ders or Beams		8	1 -		с. васки d. Breast	walls	N	1N 8		
4.Curbs				5. Tru	isses - General		N	-		e. Wingw	valls	N	8		-
5.Median		N	-	- a.	Upper Chords	N		-		f. Slope	Paving/Rip-Rap	N	8		
6.Sidewalks		N	-	<u> </u>	Lower Chords	N	-	-		g. Pointii	ng as	N	N N		
7.Parapets		N	-		Woh Momboro	N	-	_		i. Piles	ys	N	Н		-
8.Railing		8	-	<i>c.</i>	Veb Members		-	-		j. Scour		Ν	8		-
9.Anti Missile	Fence	N	-	a.	Lateral Bracing		-	-	_	k. Settler	nent	Ν	8		-
10.Drainage Sy	vstem	N	-	e.	Sway Bracings		-	-		і. m.		N	N N		
11.Lighting St	andards	N	-	. f.	Portals		-	-	_	2. Piers	or Bents	1		Ν	
12 Utilitios		N		g.	End Posts	N	N	₁ ├ -	-	a. Pedes	tals	Ν	Ν		-
12.0tilities		0		6.Pin	& Hangers		N O	-	_	b. Caps		N	N		
13.Deck Joints	S	o	-		nn Pit's, Gussets	s & Angles	8	-		c. Colum	ns /Webs/Pierwalls	N	N N		
14.		N	-	8.Co	ver Plates		N	-	_	e. Pointii	ng	N	N		-
15.		N	-	9.Bea	aring Devices		Ν	-	_	f. Footin	g	Ν	Ν		
16.		Ν	-	10. Di	iaphragms/Cross	s Frames	8	-		g. Piles		N	N		
				11. Ri	ivets & Bolts		8	-		n. scour i. Settler	nent	N	N		
CURB REVEAU			5	12. W	elds		н	-		л. ј.		Ν	Ν		-
(In millimeters)	- 2	15	215	13. M	ember Alignmen	ıt	8	-		<i>k.</i>	D 4	Ν	Ν		-
APPROACHE	ES		DEE	14. Pa	aint/Coating		8	-		5. Pile I	sents		N	Ν	
	ant Condition	7		15.			Ν	-		a. Pile Ca b. Piles	ips	N	N		
a. Appr. Paveme			-	- V	Pointed	N]		c. Diagor	nal Bracing	N	Ν		-
b. Appr. Roadwa	ay Settlement	7	-	Year	Painted	IN				d. Horizo	ntal Bracing	Ν	Ν		
c. Appr. Sidewall	k Settlement	N	-	COLL	ISION DAMAGE:	Please expl	ain			e. Fasten	iers	Ν	Ν		-
d.		N	-	None	e (X) Minor ()	Moderate () Se	evere ()		UNDERM	INING (Y/N) If Y	ES ple	ase e	kplain	N
OVERHEAD	SIGNS	(¥/NI)	N	LOAD None	DEFLECTION:	Please expla	ain) Se	evere (
(Attached to brid	idge)	(1/14)	14			Please expl	, co	- ()		None (X) Minor () M	oderat	e () Sev	/ere()
a. Condition of W	Velds	N	DEF	None	e () Minor (X)	Moderate () Se	evere ()		SCOUR:	<u>Please explain</u>	oderat	e () 501	
b. Condition of B	olts	N	-	Anv	Fracture Critical	Member: ((Y/N)	NI]	110110 (Jucid	(, 081	
c. Condition of S	Signs	N	-		Cracks: (V/M)		····)	N	J	I-60 (Dive	Report): N	<i>I-6</i>	0 (This	Repo	rt): 8
			L		JI AUNS. (T/IN)	N				93B-U/V	V (DIVE) Insp		00/	00/0	000

RTN(1)7-96

2-DIST

B.I.N.

N=NOT APPLICABLE H=HIDDEN/INACCESSIBLE

PAGE 2 OF 6

CITV	TOWN	T			DI	NI	DD DEDT NO		UCTU	DE NO		INCDECTIC		ATE
					B.I.	N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	5SIR		RE NO.		INSPECTIC		AIE
UXB	RID	έE			BA	AIVI	0-02-030	0020	30-B	AM-MUN-N	BI	MAR 1	1, 20	119
ITF	EM 61				-	Iť	TEM 36 TRAFFIC SA	FETY			ACCESSIB	BILITY	(Y/I	N/P)
СНА	NNE	L &			1		Deides Deiling	36		DEF			Needed	Used
CHANNEL PROTECTION						A. E	Bridge Railing		0		Lift Bucket		Ν	N
						B.	Iransitions		8		Ladder		Р	N
			Dive	Cur	DEF		Approach Guardrail	1	8		Boat		Ν	N
1.Ch	annel	Scour	N	8	-	D. /	Approach Guardrail Ends	1	5	S-A	Waders		Р	N
2.Em	bankn	nent Erosion	Ν	8	-	WE	IGHT POSTING	Not A	pplica	ble X	Inspector 50		Ν	N
3.De	bris		N	7	-		н	3	3S2	Single	Rigging		Ν	N
4.Ve	getatio	n	N	8	-	Act	tual Posting N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Staging		Ν	N
5.Uti	lities		N	N	_	Red	commended Posting	N	Ν	N	Traffic Cont	rol	Ν	N
6 Dir	Don/	Siona Protoction	N							00/00/0000	RR Flagger		N	N
0.RI	-кар/з	sope Protection	N	•		Wai	Ived Date: 00/00/0000 E	JDMIL	Date:	00/00/0000	Police		Ν	N
7.Ag	gradat	ion	N	8	-	Sig	ns in Place E	e W	Othe	er Advance W	Other:			
8.Fei	nder S	ystem	Ν	Ν	-	(Y=	Yes,N=No,							
						I NR⊧	=NotRequired)				TOTAL H	OURS		•
						Visi	ibility				IUIALIN	JUKS		8
						CLE	EARANCE POSTING	Ν		S	PLANS	(Y/N	n: [Y
						No	ot X ft	in	ft	in meter		(1/1	•) · _	
STRE	AM FL	OW VELOCITY:				Actu	ual Field Measurement	0		0	(V.C.R.)	(Y/N)·	Ν	
Tidal () High	n () Moderate (X) L	.ow () Noi	ne()	Pos	sted Clearance	0		0	(,	(1/14).		
	, ,			,		Sig	At bridg	e S	A	Advance	TAPE#:			
ITEM 61	(Dive R	eport): N ITEM 61	(This	s Repo	ort): 7	(Y=)	Yes,N=No,				List of field tes	sts nerformed		
			100	0000		NR=	=Not Required)				None	sis performed.	•	
93b-l	J/W IN	SP. DATE: 00	//////	/0000	0	Visi	ibility							
RATI	ING								_	If YE	S please give pr	iority:		
Rating	Repor	t (Y/N): N				Reco	ommend for Rating or Rera	ting (Y	/N):	N HIC	GH () MEDIUM	() LOW ()	
Date:	(00/00/0000					CON Deting needing							
	nspecti	ion data at time of e	victir	na rati	ina	REA	SON: Rating pending							
58: -	· 159	: - 160: - Da	te : ($\frac{19}{00}$)/0000									
	1						CONDITION RA	IING	i GU	IDE (For	ltems 58, 59, 60	and 61)		
	CODE	CONDITION					DEFECTS							
	Ν	NOT APPLICABLE												
G	9	EXCELLENT	E	Exceller	nt condition.									
G	8	VERY GOOD	N	lo prob	lem noted.									
G	7	GOOD	s	Some n	ninor proble	ms.								;
F	6	SATISFACTORY	S	Structur	ral elements	show s	some minor deterioration.							
F	5	FAIR	A	All prima	ary structura	al eleme	ents are sound but may have minor se	ction loss	s, crackin	ng, spalling or scour.				
P	4	POOR	A	Advance	ed section lo	oss, dete	erioration, spalling or scour.	factod n=	manuatr	ictural components	Local failures are a	ossible Estimus		
Р	3	SERIOUS	c	racks i	in steel or sh	hear cra	icks in concrete may be present.		mary Sut			allyue		
с	2	CRITICAL	A	dvance	ed deteriora	ition of p	primary structural elements. Fatigue c	racks in s	teel or sh	near cracks in concre	ete may be present o	or scour may hav	е	
	-		N	Aajor de	eterioration	or section	on loss present in critical structural co	mponent	s or obvio	ous vertical or horizo	ntal movement affect	ting structure		
C	1	"IMMINEN (" FAILURE	s	tablility	. Bridge is	closed t	to traffic but corrective action may put	it back in	light ser	vice.		-		
	0	FAILED	c	Out of s	ervice - bey	ond corr	rective action.							
·							DEFICIENCY REP	ORT	NG (GUIDE				
DEFI	CIENC	Y: A defect in a str	ucture	e that re	equires corre	ective ac	ction.							
CATE	GORI	ES OF DEFICIENC	IES	:										
M=N	/inor L	eficiency - Deficiencies	which	are mind	or in nature, ge	enerally do	lo not impact the structural integrity of the brid	lge and cou	ld easily be	e repaired. Examples inc	lude but are not limited t	o: Spalled concrete,	Minor po	t
S= Se	vere/M	aior Deficiency - De	eficienc	cies which	ch are more ex	xtensive ir	n nature and need more planning and effort to	repair. Exa	amples incl	lude but are not limited to	: Moderate to major det	erioration in concrete	e, Expose	ed
		an	nd corro	oded reb	ars, Consider	able settle	ement, Considerable scouring or undermining	Moderate	to extensiv	ve corrosion to structural	steel with measurable k	oss of section, etc.		
C-S=	Critica	l Structural Deficie	ncy -	A def	riciency in a st e bridge.	tructural el	element of a bridge that poses an extreme un	ate conditi	on due to ti	ne tailure or imminent fai	ure of the element which	n will affect the struc	tural integ	grity
С-Н=	Critic	al Hazard Deficienc	у-	A deficie include	ency in a com but are not lim	ponent or hited to: Lo	element of a bridge that poses an extreme h oose concrete hanging down over traffic or p	azard or un edestrians,	safe condit A hole in a	tion to the public, but doe a sidewalk that may caus	es not impair the structur e injuries to pedestrians	al integrity of the brid , Missing section of	dge. Exar bridge rai	mples iling,
				etc.										
URG		UF KEPAIK:	tely co		and a Beller L	apostion	Facineses (DDIF) to second the Definitions							
I = Im	mediate			ntact De	Strict Bridde in	Sperment	Engineer (DBIE) to report the Detrementor and	to receive	further inc	truction from him/herl				
I = Im $A = As$	mediate SAP-	[Action/Repair should	be init	iated by	District Bridge In	enance Er	ingineer or the Responsible Party (if not a Sta	I to receive ite owned b	further ins ridge) upor	truction from him/her]. n receipt of the Inspectic	n Report].			

UXBRIDGE	BAM	U-02-030	U02030-BAM-MUN-NBI	MAR 1, 2019
CITY/TOWN E	B.I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE

REMARKS

BRIDGE ORIENTATION

According to the plans the approaches are East and West and the elevations are North and South. This is a single span galvanized steel folded plate girder bridge with 4 girders and 3 bays numbered South to North. The brook flows South to North.

ITEM 58 - DECK

Item 58.1 - Wearing Surface

The concrete wearing surface has several up to 6 ft. long x 0.02 in. wide longitudinal cracks at both ends. **See Photo 1.**

Item 58.2 - Deck Condition

Both ends of bay #1 and the West end of bay #3 each have a 2 ft. diagonal hairline crack. **See Photo 2.** There are isolated full width transverse hairline cracks with efflorescence to both deck fascia overhangs.

APPROACHES

Approaches a - Appr. Pavement Condition

There is minor heaving at the Southeast corner of the approach to deck transition. See Photo 3.

ITEM 59 - SUPERSTRUCTURE

Item 59.4 - Girders or Beams

There are isolated areas of minor rusting on girder #1.

SuperStructure Load Deflection Notes

Minor under heavy live loads.

SuperStructure Load Vibration Notes

Minor under heavy live loads.

ITEM 60 - SUBSTRUCTURE

Item 60.1 - Abutments

Item 60.1.d - Breastwalls

There is minor graffiti on the East breastwall. There are 8 in. high vertical hairline cracks with efflorescense extending down from the edges of both ends of girders #2 and #3. **See Photo 4.**

TRAFFIC SAFETY

Item 36d - Approach Guardrail Ends

There is minor collision damage to the Northwest approach guardrail. See Photo 5.

Photo Log

- Photo 1: Typical Wearing Surface crack.
- Photo 2: West end of bay #1 at girder #2.
- Photo 3: Minor heaving at the Southeast approach to deck transition.
- Photo 4 : Typical cracks under girders.
- Photo 5 : Minor collision damage at the Northwest approach guardrail.



Photo 1: Typical Wearing Surface crack.





CITY/TOWN	B.I	I.N.	BR. DEPT. NO.	8STRUCTURE NO.	INSPECTION DATE
UXBRIDGE	В	AM	U-02-030	U02030-BAM-MUN-NBI	MAR 1, 2019
			РНОТ	OS	
			and and a second second		i De
	and the second			a the second	3.4
	and the start of		ALL CONTRACT		1.
		and the second sec		A BOOK A	1 Alexandre
					é
			And Party		
					Carlow and
					in the second
		•			
		the second			12
	Contract of the				
	S. Second		the states		100 M
	an e here				
		the second		Ship the state of the	0.00
	0.0% T / 9757 1	1 3 4			

Photo 3: Minor heaving at the Southeast approach to deck transition.





PHOTOS	
The second s	
	4

Photo 5: Minor collision damage at the Northwest approach guardrail.

State Information				Classification		Code
BDEPT#= U02030	Agency Br.No.		(112) NBIS Bridge Length			Y
Town= Uxbridge	L	.0.	(104) Highway System			N
B.I.N= BAM	AASH	TO= 092.6	(26) Functional Class -	Urban Local		19
RANK= 5158 H.I.= 100.0 %	FHWA Select List= I	N (6/21/2017)	(100) Defense Highway			0
(9) Structure Number	U02030	BAMMUNNBI	(101) Parallel Structure			N
(6) Inventory Route		151000000	(102) Direction of Traffic -	2-wa	y traffic	2
(2) State Highway Department District		03	(103) Temporary Structure			Ν
(3) County Code 027 (4) Place code		71620	(105) Federal Lands Highways			0
(6) Features Intersected	WATER IRONSTO	ONE BROOK	(110) Designated National Network			Ν
(7) Facility Carried	HWY	RIVER RD	(20) Toll - On free road			3
(9) Location	BTWN 146A&122	NR MILLVILL	(21) Maintain - Town Ag	ency		03
(11) Kilometerpoint		0001.835	(22) Owner - Town Ag	ency		03
(12) Base Highway Network		N	(37) Historical Significance			
(13) LRS Inventory Route & Subroute	000000000000			Condition		Code
(16) Latitude	42 DEG 01 MIN	47.49 SEC	(58) Deck			7
(17) Longitude	71 DEG 36 MIN	11.67 SEC	(59) Superstructure			8
(98) Border Bridge State Code	Shai	re %	(60) Substructure			8
(99) Border Bridge Structure No. #			(61) Channel & Channel Protection			7
Structure Type and Ma	terial		(62) Culverts			N
(43) Structure Type Main: Steel	Code	302	Load	Rating and Po	osting	Code
Stringer/Girder Jointless	bridge type: Not a	pplicable	(31) Design Load - HL 93			A .
(44) Structure Type Appr:	5. 51		(63) Operating Rating Method - L	RFR rating by	RF method using HL-	93 8
Other	Code	000	(64) Operating Rating	DED rating by		2.80
(45) Number of spans in main unit		001	(66) Inventory Rating Method -	IN IN FAULTY DY		2 20
(46) Number of approach spans		0000	(70) Bridge Posting			2.20
(107) Deck Structure Type - Concrete Precas	st Panels	Code 2	(41) Structure - Open			A
(108) Wearing Surface / Protective System:		0000 2		Appraisal		Code
A) Type of wearing surface - Concrete		Code 1	(67) Structural Evaluation			8
B) Type of membrane Epony		Code 1	(68) Deck Geometry			4
C) Type of deck protection Epoxy Costed	Reinforcing	Code 1	(69) Underclearances, vert. and hor	Z.		Ν
C) Type of deck protection - Epoxy Coated	Reinforcing	Code 1	(71) Waterway adequacy			9
(27) Year Duilt		2014	(72) Approach Roadway Alignment			7
(27) Year Built		2011	(36) Traffic Safety Features			1 1 1 1
(106) Year Reconstructed		0000	(113) Scour Critical Bridges			8
(42) Type of Service: On - Highway		o	(00) Increation Data 02/04/2/	Inspections		24 M
Under - Waterway		Code 15	(90) Inspection Date 03/04/2		(91) Frequency	
(28) Lanes: On Structure 02	Under structure	00	(A) Fracture Critical Datail		(S	
(29) Average Daily Traffic		002700	(A) Fracture Critical Detail	N	00 MO A)	00/00/0
(30) Year of ADT 2013 (109) Truck	ADT	01 %	(B) Other Graniel Inspection	N		00/00/0
(19) Bypass, detour length		003 KM	(C) Other Special Inspection	N		00/00/0
Geometric Data			(*) Other Inspection ()	N	00 MO *)	00/00/0
(48) Length of maximum span		0014.0 M	(*) Closed Bridge	Ν	00 MO *)	00/00/0
(49) Structure Length		00015.2 M	(*) UW Special Inspection	N	00 MO *)	00/00/0
(50) Curb or sidewalk: Left 00.0	D M Righ	t 00.0 M	(*) Damage Inspection	Rating Loads	MO *)	00/00/0
(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb		009.7 M	Report Date 10/01/17	H20	Type 3 Type 3S2	Type HS
(52) Deck Width Out to Out		010.7 M	Operating	76.0	90.0 99.0	0.0
(32) Approach Roadway Width (w/shoulders)		010.7 M	Inventory	58.0	70.0 99.0	0.0
(33) Bridge Median - No median	Cod	e 0		Field Posting		
(34) Skew 00 DEG (35) Structure	e Flared	Ν	Status LEGAL		Posting Date 06/04	1/19
(10) Inventory Route MIN Vert Clear		99.99 M	2 Axle	3 Axle	5 Axle	Single
(47) Inventory Route Total Horiz Clear		09.7 M	Actual			
(53) Min Vert Clear Over Bridge Rdwy		99.99 M	Recommended			
(54) Min Vert Underclear ref N		00.00 M	Missing Signs N	Miec		
(55) Min Lat Underclear RT ref N		00.0 M	Bridge Name	101150.		
(56) Min Lat Underclear LT		00.0 M	N Anti-missile fence		N lointloor	Bridge
Navigation Data			Reeze/Thaw		IN JUITUESS	Bhuge
38) Navigation Control - No navigation control o	n waterway	Code 0	Acces	sibility (Needeo	I/Used)	
111) Pier Protection		Code	N/N Liftbucket N/	N Rigging	·	Other
39) Navigation Vertical Clearance		000.0 M	P/N Ladder N/	N Stading	C	
116) Vert-lift Bridge Nav Min Vert Clear		Μ	N/N Boat N/	N Traffic Cor	ntrol	
40) Novigation Horizontal Clearance		0000.0 M			Ins	pection
40) Navigation nonzoniai Clearance		0000.0101	P/N Wader N/	N КК Fladbe	rson	